Vietnam SID Narrative Cover Sheet

The HIV/AIDS Sustainability Index and Dashboard (SID) is a tool completed every two years by PEPFAR teams and partner stakeholders to sharpen the understanding of each country's sustainability landscape and to assist PEPFAR and others in making informed HIV/AIDS investment decisions. Based on responses to 110 questions, the SID assesses the current state of sustainability of national HIV/AIDS responses across 17 critical elements. Scores for these elements are displayed on a color-coded dashboard, together with contextual charts and information. As the SID is completed over time, it will allow stakeholders to track progress and gaps across these key components of sustainability.

Sustainability Element Score Criteria
Dark Green Score (8.50-10.00 pts) (sustainable and requires no additional investment at this time)
Light Green Score (7.00-8.49 pts) (approaching sustainability and requires little or no investment)
Yellow Score (3.50-6.99 pts) (emerging sustainability and needs some investment)
Red Score (<3.50 pts) (unsustainable and requires significant investment)

Country Overview: The HIV response in Vietnam is shifting from a donor-dependent program to a domestically funded one. Bilateral donors have consistently reduced funding since 2013. According to preliminary available information at the end of 2018, government/public spending on HIV has reached 35% of total expenditure. The overall proportion of domestic resources (including both government/public and private sources) has increased from 36 % in 2016 to an estimated 49 % in 2018. External funding from the Global Fund and PEPFAR in 2018 only contributed to approximately half of total expenditure for the national HIV response. Since 2016 the Vietnamese government has sought ways to mobilize domestic HIV resources through provincial government budgets, SHI contributions, and user fees. Going forward, Vietnam will rely heavily on the national Social Health Insurance to fund HIV/AIDS treatment costs.

SID Process: The SID tool was shared with all stakeholders in August 2019 for their research, data collection and preparation for a one-day consultative workshop on September 17, 2019, co-hosted by PEPFAR, UNAIDS and the Vietnam Administration of AIDS Control (VAAC), and included participation from the Global Fund Portfolio Manager in Geneva. The workshop gathered over 60 individuals representing government offices, development partners, implementing partners, civil society and community organizations. Participants were divided into five groups (the four SID domains and the RM) based on their interest, expertise and experience, for an in-depth discussion of every single element in each of the domains. The full group then reconvened, and each group briefed the group on their findings, gave feedback and asked questions. The head of VAAC, in his closing comments explained that the SID is a tool that realistically assesses progress toward sustainability of the HIV program in the context of vulnerabilities, including financing, the quality of HIV services, and systemic and patient treatment disruptions during the transition to domestically-funded models. He expressed his hope that the improvement in the SID element scores compared to 2017, would be acknowledged by stakeholders and the international community, while still underscoring the need to support the transition to full sustainability.

Sustainability Strengths: *Describe in brief bulleted paragraphs 2-3 of the elements (or if more appropriate, element components) that represented the most important sustainability strengths. Please also note any nuances that you believe merit highlighting.*

Planning and Coordination (8.29) – The Government of Vietnam maintains transparency and accountable resolve to be responsible to its citizens and development partners for achieving planned HIV/AIDS results. In addition, the country ethically manages donor funds, widely disseminates program results, and elicits feedback. There are relevant policies and strategies in place for an enabling environment. The national HIV strategy, with costing information, is an example. The national strategy, however, may have limited function as a 'joint operational plan'. The strategy may lack strong identification of partners' roles and responsibilities. The strategy does not outline annual plans with input from stakeholders, budgets and clear implementation plans.

Financial/Expenditure Data (9.17) - The government has had a long partnership with PEPFAR, UNAIDS, and WHO in the collection and analyses of financial data related to total health expenditures and sub analysis for HIV/AIDS annually since 2008. Much of the HIV expenditure data is captured and disseminated in response to donor-funded

reporting requirements including PEPFAR, the Global Fund and the UN as a requirement for submission of country progress reports.

Market Openness (9.33) – This is a new element in SID 4.0. The government basically has no policies limiting freedom of local organizations to deliver HIV services, produce or import HIV commodities, or for patients in accessing services by non-state providers. However, the local HIV market is still growing and more players are expected to join in the next few of years, both for service delivery and commodities.

	2015 (SID 2.0)	2017 (SID 3.0)	SID 2019 (4.0)
Governance, Leadership, and Accountability			
1. Planning and Coordination	7.83	9.00	8.29
2. Policies and Governance	4.62	5.75	6.38
3. Civil Society Engagement	4.69	4.04	4.25
4. Private Sector Engagement	4.03	6.14	6.99
5. Public Access to Information	5.00	5.00	6.11
National Health System and Service Delivery			
6. Service Delivery	4.26	5.79	7.20
7. Human Resources for Health	6.92	7.22	7.54
8. Commodity Security and Supply Chain	4.93	5.90	6.86
9. Quality Management	6.43	6.43	8.76
10. Laboratory	4.31	7.92	7.79
Strategic Financing and Market Openness			
11. Domestic Resource Mobilization	5.28	7.65	8.21
12. Technical and Allocative Efficiencies	4.47	9.10	8.66
13. Market Openness	N/A	N/A	9.33
Strategic Information			
14. Epidemiological and Health Data	5.50	5.18	8.06
15. Financial/Expenditure Data	6.67	8.33	9.17
16. Performance Data	6.92	7.63	8.73
17. Data for Decision-Making Ecosystem	N/A	N/A	3.67

Sustainability Vulnerabilities: *Among those SID elements identified as sustainability vulnerabilities, describe in bulleted paragraphs those which the team regards as priorities. Based on the indicators that comprise these elements, note which specific aspects of these elements require attention during COP 18. Please also note any nuances that you believe merit highlighting.*

Civil Society Engagement (4.25) –Local civil society in Vietnam has been an active partner in the HIV/AIDS response through service delivery provision, advocacy efforts, and as a key stakeholder to inform the national HIV/AIDS response. Civil society's role in oversight, however, may not be as strong. In addition, domestic funding is limited for civil society. With steady decreases in donor budgets, and no formal national mechanism for civil society funding, their sustainability is threatened.

Public Access to Information (6.11) – While performance data is made available to the general public within a year, government procedures do not require public sharing of HIV expenditure data. The government does meet development partners and donors' financial reporting requirements though.

A**dditional Observations:**

As in 2017, questions and answer options under Domain C neither capture nor reflect the complexity of the Vietnam financial sustainability context. For example, the availability of policies and regulations in place for HIV financing does not reflect the reality of budget availability, allocation and execution annually. Although the SHI policy for HIV treatment services is available and SHI enrollment is higher than expected, it is vulnerable. Poor patient uptake and system weaknesses are two threats. This score is unusually high and may not truly represent the sustainability of strategic investment and financial sustainability in Vietnam.

Contact: For questions or further information about PEPFAR's efforts to support sustainability of the HIV response in Vietnam, please contact PEPFAR Country Coordinator Mark P. Troger at TrogerMP@state.gov.

Sustainability Analysis for Epidemic Control: Vietnam

Epidemic Type: Concentrated
Income Level: Lower middle income
PEPFAR Categorization: Targeted Assistance
PEPFAR COP 19 Planning Level: $38,250,000

SUSTAINABILITY DOMAINS and ELEMENTS

	2015 (SID 2.0)	2017 (SID 3.0)	2019	2021
Governance, Leadership, and Accountability				
1. Planning and Coordination	7.83	9.00	8.29	
2. Policies and Governance	4.62	5.75	6.38	
3. Civil Society Engagement	4.69	4.04	4.25	
4. Private Sector Engagement	4.03	6.14	6.99	
5. Public Access to Information	5.00	5.00	6.11	
National Health System and Service Delivery				
6. Service Delivery	4.26	5.79	7.20	
7. Human Resources for Health	6.92	7.22	7.54	
8. Commodity Security and Supply Chain	4.93	5.90	6.86	
9. Quality Management	6.43	6.43	8.76	
10. Laboratory	4.31	7.92	7.79	
Strategic Financing and Market Openness				
11. Domestic Resource Mobilization	5.28	7.65	8.21	
12. Technical and Allocative Efficiencies	4.47	9.10	8.66	
13. Market Openness	N/A	N/A	9.33	
Strategic Information				
14. Epidemiological and Health Data	5.50	5.18	8.06	
15. Financial/Expenditure Data	6.67	8.33	9.17	
16. Performance Data	6.92	7.63	8.73	
17. Data for Decision-Making Ecosystem	N/A	N/A	3.67	

CONTEXTUAL DATA







CONTEXTUAL DATA







Sustainability Analysis for Epidemic Control: Vietnam

Contextual Governance Indicators

Rule of Law Index (World Justice Project)





Worldwide Governance Indicators (World Bank)



WJP's Rule of Law Index measures the general public's experience and perception across eight 'factors':

1. Constraints on Government Powers: Governmental powers are limited by both internal and external checks, including auditing and review. Governmental officials are subject to the law and sanctioned for misconduct.

2. Absence of Corruption: Government officials in all branches of government do not use public office for private gain.

3. Open Government: Citizens have open access to government information and data, complaint mechanisms, and civic participation.

4. Fundamental Rights: There is equal treatment of citizens and absence of discrimination. The rights to freedom of expression, security of the person, and due process are effectively guaranteed.

5. Order and Security: Crime and civil conflict are effectively limited. Personal grievances are not redressed through violence.

6. Regulatory Enforcement: Government regulations are effectively applied and enforced without improper influence. Due process is respected in administrative proceedings.

7. Civil Justice: Civil justice is accessible and free of discrimination, corruption and improper government influence.

8. Criminal Justice: Criminal justice is impartial, timely and effective, and free from corruption or improper government influence. There is due process of law and rights of the accused.

More information can be found at: https://worldjusticeproject.org/our-work/research-and-data/wjp-rule-law-index-2019

The World Bank Worldwide Governance Indicators (WGI) score countries based on six dimensions of governance:

1. Control of Corruption: captures perceptions of the extent to which public power is exercised for private gain, including both petty and grand forms of corruption, as well as 'capture' of the state by elites and private interests.

2. Government Effectiveness: measures the quality of public services, the quality of the civil service and its independence from political pressure, the quality of policy formulation and implementation (including the efficiency of revenue mobilization and budget management), and the credibility of the government's commitment to its stated policies.

3. Rule of Law: captures perceptions of the extent to which agents have confidence in and abide by the rules of society, and in particular the quality of contract enforcement, property rights, the police, and the courts, as well as the likelihood of crime and violence.

4. Political Stability and Absence of Violence: measures perceptions of the likelihood of political instability and/or politically-motivated violence, including terrorism.

5. Regulatory Quality: Measures perceptions of the ability of the government to formulate and implement sound policies and regulations that permit and promote private sector development.

6. Voice and Accountability: captures perceptions of the extent to which a country's citizens are able to participate in selecting their government, as well as freedom of expression, freedom of association, and a free media.

More information can be found at: https://info.worldbank.org/governance/wgi/

Domain A. Governance, Leadership, and Accountability

What Success Looks Like: Host government upholds a transparent and accountable resolve to be responsible to its citizens and international stakeholders for achieving planned HIV/AIDS results, is a good steward of HIV/AIDS finances, widely disseminates program progress and results, provides accurate information and education on HIV/AIDS, and supports mechanisms for eliciting feedback. Relevant government entities take actions to create an enabling policy and legal environment, ensure good stewardship of HIV/AIDS resources, create space for and promote participation of the private sector, and provide technical and political leadership to coordinate an effective national HIV/AIDS response.

1. Planning and Coordination: Host country develops, implements, and oversees a costed multiyear national strategy and serves as the preeminent architect and convener of a coordinated HIV/AIDS response in the country across all levels of government and key stakeholders, civil society and the private sector.			**Data Source**	**Notes/Comments**
1.1 Content of National Strategy: Does the country have a multi-year, costed national strategy to respond to HIV?	○ A. There is no national strategy for HIV/AIDS ◉ B. There is a multiyear national strategy. Check all that apply: ☑ It is costed ☑ It has measurable targets. ☑ It is updated at least every five years ☑ Strategy includes all crucial response components for prevention and treatment (HIV testing, treatment and care [including children and adolescents], PMTCT, transition from 'catchup' to sustainable VMMC if country performs VMMCs, scale-up of viral load, EID, and other key metrics) ☑ Strategy includes explicit plans and activities to address the needs of key populations. ☐ Strategy includes all crucial response components to mitigate the impact of HIV on vulnerable children ☑ Strategy (or separate document) includes considerations and activities related to sustainability	1.1 Score: 2.29	National Strategy for HIV/AIDS Strategy to 2020 with a Vision to 2030.	The strategy is costed through the 4 component projects and the National Targeted Program for Health and Population. Viral load is a new program priority for the last two years. Interventions on vulnerable children are not a country/program need in the Vietnamese epidemic context. National and Provincial HIV financial sustainability plans, with 62 out of 63 provinces as per latest VAAC update in Sept 2019. Note that all provincial plans on financial sustainability mandated by the Prime Minister decision are only until end 2020. New NSP will address this.

1.2 Participation in National Strategy Development: Who actively participates in development of the country's national HIV/AIDS strategy?	○ A. There is no national strategy for HIV/AIDS ◉ B. The national strategy is developed with participation from the following stakeholders (check all that apply): ☑ Its development was led by the host country government ☑ Civil society actively participated in the development of the strategy ☑ Private health sector providers, facilities, and training institutions, actively participated in the development of the strategy ☐ Businesses and the corporate sector actively participated in the development of the strategy including workplace development and corporate social responsibility (CSR) ☑ External agencies (i.e. donors, other multilateral orgs., etc.) supporting HIV services in-country participated in the development of the strategy	1.2 Score: 2.00	Based on discussion with multiple stakeholders during SID workshop	Civil society engages in stakeholder meetings, Plan is posted on website for public review, Plan is discussed and reviewed separately with civil society groups. The private sector did not really participate in the development of the strategy.
1.3 Coordination of National HIV Implementation: To what extent does the host country government coordinate all HIV/AIDS activities implemented in the country, including those funded or implemented by CSOs, private sector, and donor implementing partners?	Check all that apply: ☑ There is an effective mechanism within the host country government for internally coordinating HIV/AIDS activities implemented by various government ministries, institutions, offices, etc. ☑ The host country government routinely tracks and maps HIV/AIDS activities of: ☑ civil society organizations ☑ private sector (including health care providers and/or other private sector partners) ☑ donors ☑ The host country government leads a mechanism or process (i.e. committee, working group, etc.) that routinely convenes key internal and external stakeholders and implementers of the national response for planning and coordination purposes. ☐ Joint operational plans are developed that include key activities of implementing organizations. ☐ Duplications and gaps among various government, CSO, private sector, and donor activities are systematically identified and addressed.	1.3 Score: 1.50	Based on discussion with multiple stakeholders during SID workshop	At the national level, the VAAC coordinates and tracks/maps activities by donors. At the provincial level, local authorities track and map interventions by CSOs/CBOs and private HIV clinics, and coordinate with donors and implementers to avoid duplications and gaps.

1.4 Sub-national Unit Accountability: Is there a mechanism by which sub-national units are accountable to national HIV/AIDS goals or targets? (note: equal points for either checkbox under option B)	○ A. There is no formal link between the national plan and sub-national service delivery. ◉ B. There is a formal link between the national plan and sub-national service delivery. (Check the ONE that applies.) ☑ Sub-national units have performance targets that contribute to aggregate national goals or targets. ☐ The central government is responsible for service delivery at the sub-national level.	1.4 Score:	2.50		
		Planning and Coordination Score:	**8.29**		

2. Policies and Governance: Host country develops, implements, and oversees a wide range of policies, laws, and regulations that will achieve coverage of high impact interventions, ensure social and legal protection and equity for those accessing HIV/AIDS services, eliminate stigma and discrimination, and sustain epidemic control within the national HIV/AIDS response.			**Data Source**	**Notes/Comments**
2.1 WHO Guidelines for ART Initiation: Does current national HIV/AIDS technical practice follow current WHO guidelines for initiation of ART - i.e., optimal ART regimens for all populations (including TLD as recommended)?	For each category below, check yes or no to indicate if current national HIV/AIDS technical practice follows current WHO guidelines on optimal ART regimens for each of the following: A. Adults (>19 years) ☑ Yes ☐ No B. Pregnant and Breastfeeding Mothers ☑ Yes ☐ No C. Adolescents (10-19 years) ☑ Yes ☐ No D. Children (<10 years) ☑ Yes ☐ No	2.1 Score: 0.91	National Test and Start Policy, 2017	Test and Start expanded nationally in 2017. National Treatment Guidelines was last updated in December 2017, and is under revision right now, expected to be updated again in October 2019. In the new guidelines, TLD will be preferred first-line regimen.

2.2 Enabling Policies and Legislation: Are there policies or legislation that govern HIV/AIDS service delivery or policies and legislation on health care which is inclusive of HIV service delivery? Note: If one of the listed policies differentiates policy for specific groups, please note in the Notes/Comments column.	Check all that apply: ☑ A national public health services act that includes the control of HIV ☐ A task-shifting policy that allows trained non-physician clinicians, midwives, and nurses to initiate and dispense ART ☐ A task-shifting policy that allows trained and supervised community health workers to dispense ART between regular clinical visits ☐ Policies that permit patients stable on ART to have reduced clinical visits (i.e. every 6-12 months) ☑ Policies that permit patients stable on ART to have reduced ARV pickups (i.e. every 3-6 months) ☑ Policies that permit streamlined ART initiation, such as same day initiation of ART for those who are ready ☑ Legislation to ensure the well-being and protection of children, including those orphaned and made vulnerable by HIV/AIDS ☑ Policies that permit HIV self-testing ☑ Policies that permit pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP) ☑ Policies that permit post-exposure prophylaxis (PEP) ☐ Policies that allow HIV testing without parental consent for adolescents, starting at age 15 ☐ Policies that allow HIV-infected adolescents, starting at age 15, to seek HIV treatment without parental consent	2.2 Score: 0.53	Law on HIV/AIDS Control and Prevention; Decision 3047/2015/QĐ-BYT dated 22 July 2015- on HIV/AIDS case management, care and treatment; regulations regarding PEP for HIV occupational exposure National Plan of Action for Children affected by HIV 2014-2020 (Decision 570/QD-Ttg dated 22 April 2014) HIV community based testing guideline approved in 2018	Added score to Same-Day initiation policy. PrEP and PEP (including both occupational and non-occupational exposure) and differentiated care approved as part of the new Treatment guidelines in December 2017. Decision and plans on MMS.

2.3 User Fees for HIV Services: Are HIV infected persons expected or likely to be asked to pay user fees, either formal or informal, for any HIV services in the public sector: clinical, laboratory, testing, prevention and others? Note: "Formal" user fees are those established in policy or regulation by a government or institution.	Check all that apply: ☐ No, neither formal nor informal user fees exist. ☐ Yes, formal user fees exist. ☑ Yes, informal user fees exist.	2.3 Score: 0.45	Based on discussion with multiple stakeholders during SID workshop	The Government doesn't support any formal/informal user fees. As per currently applicable regulations, patients are not supposed to pay any user fees, either formal or informal. However, VNP+ observations show informal fees still exist in some provinces (e.g. pre-ART initiation and routine testing fees, sample transportation fee, etc.)
2.4 User Fees for Other Health Services: Are HIV infected persons expected or likely to be asked to pay user fees, either formal or informal, for any non-HIV services in the public sector, such as MCH/SRH, TB, outpatient registration, hospitalizations, and others? Note: "Formal" user fees are those established in policy or regulation by a government or institution.	Check all that apply: ☐ No, neither formal nor informal user fees exist. ☑ Yes, formal user fees exist. ☐ Yes, informal user fees exist.	2.4 Score: 0.23	Based on discussion with multiple stakeholders during SID workshop	
2.5 Data Protection: Does the country have policies in place that support the collection and appropriate use of patient-level data for health, including HIV/AIDS?	The country has policies in place that (check all that apply): ☑ Govern the collection of patient-level data for public health purposes, including surveillance ☑ Govern the collection and use of unique identifiers such as national ID for health records ☑ Govern the privacy and confidentiality of health outcomes matched with personally identifiable information ☑ Govern the use of patient-level data, including protection against its use in crimincal cases	2.5 Score: 0.91	Law on Medical Examination and Treatment Law on Social Health Insurance Law on HIV/AIDS	Ministry of Justic website: http://www.moj.gov.vn/vbpq/en/lists/vn%20bn%20php%20lut/view_detail.aspx?itemid=10471

2.6 Legal Protections for Key Populations: Does the country have laws or policies that specify protections (not specific to HIV) for specific populations?	Check all that apply: Transgender people (TG): ☐ Constitutional prohibition of discrimination based on gender diversity ☑ Prohibitions of discrimination in employment based on gender diversity ☐ A third gender is legally recognized ☐ Other non-discrimination provisions specifying gender diversity (note in comments) Men who have sex with men (MSM): ☐ Constitutional prohibition of discrimination based on sexual orientation ☐ Hate crimes based on sexual orientation are considered an aggravating circumstance ☐ Incitement to hatred based on sexual orientation prohibited ☐ Prohibition of discrimiation in employment based on sexual orientation ☐ Other non-discrimination provisions specifying sexual orientation Female sex workers (FSW): ☐ Constitutional prohibition of discrimination based on occupation ☐ Sex work is recognized as work ☐ Other non-discrimination protections specifying sex work (note in comments)	2.6 Score: 0.15	Note: This question is adapted from questions asked in the revised UNAIDS NCPI (2016). If your country has completed the new NCPI, you may use it as a data source to answer this question. The Constitution of Vietnam (HUMAN RIGHTS, FUNDAMENTAL RIGHTS AND OBLIGATIONS OF CITIZENS) The 2015 Civil Code of Vietnam (The right to re-determine gender identity; Sex reassignment) Law on HIV/AIDS	GVN says there are general protections within the Civil Law, but the questions asks if there are specific references to these groups. This does not exist within law. SEE NCPI 2018 REPLIES Group suggests adding "Sexual partners of PLHIV and KPs" to this question in the next round of SID. PM Decision 2596 in 2013 on reforming drug treatment and rehalibitation.

	People who inject drugs (PWID): ☐ Specific antidiscrimination laws or other provisions for people who use drugs (specify in comments) ☑ Explicit supportive reference to harm reduction in national policies ☐ Policies that address the specific needs of women who inject drugs			
2.7 Legal Protections for Victims of Violence: Does the country have protections in place for victims of violence?	The country has the following to protect key populations and people living with HIV (PLHIV) from violence: ☑ General criminal laws prohibiting violence ☑ Specific legal provisions prohibiting violence against people based on their HIV status or belonging to a key population ☑ Programs to address intimate partner violence ☐ Programs to address workplace violence ☐ Interventions to address police abuse ☐ Interventions to address torture and ill treatment in prisons ☑ A national plan or strategy to address gender-based violence and violence against women that includes HIV ☑ Legislation on domestic violence ☑ Criminal penalties for domestic violence ☑ Criminal penalties for violence against children	2.7 Score: 0.64	Note: This question is adapted from questions asked in the revised UNAIDS NCPI (2016). If your country has completed the new NCPI, you may use it as a data source to answer this question. Law on Violence Prvention and Control Criminal Code	SEE NCPI 2018 REPLIES Some pilot projects on prevention of police abuse, but not at a large scale.

2.8 Structural Obstacles: Does the country have laws and/or policies that present barriers to delivery of HIV prevention, testing and treatment services or the accessibility of these services?	**For each question, select the most appropriate option:** Are transgender people criminalized and/or prosecuted in the country? ☐ Both criminalized and prosecuted ☐ Criminalized ☐ Prosecuted ☑ Neither criminalized nor prosecuted Is cross-dressing criminalized in the country? ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, only in parts of the country ☐ Yes, only under certain circumstances ☑ No Is sex work criminalized in your country? ☐ Selling and buying sexual services is criminalized ☐ Selling sexual services is criminalized ☐ Buying sexual services is criminalized ☐ Partial criminalization of sex work ☑ Other punitive regulation of sex work ☐ Sex work is not subject to punitive regulations or is not criminalized. ☐ Issue is determined/differs at subnational level	2.8 Score: 0.74	Note: This question is adapted from questions asked in the revised UNAIDS NCPI (2016). If your country has completed the new NCPI, you may use it as a data source to answer this question. Law on HIV/AIDS Prevention and Control; Law on Administrative Violations. The 2015 criminal code (article 141, 142, 143, 144, 145, 148 regulate that the offender shall face a penalty if they commit the offence in the knowledge of his/her HIV infection).	Re "issue is determined/differs at sub-national level", MOPS states that the laws are universally applied. Some in the group believed that implementation varies at the local level. Re restrictions for PLHIV entry or residency, there are restrictions for PLHIV who are pilots and other occupations, including military.SEE NCPI 2018 REPLIES Death penalties are sanctioned on drug traficking with amounts of over 100 grams of opioids and MDMA).

Does the country have laws criminalizing same-sex sexual acts?

- [ ] Yes, death penalty
- [ ] Yes, imprisonment (14 years - life)
- [ ] Yes, imprisonment (up to 14 years)
- [ ] No penalty specified
- [ ] No specific legislation
- [x] Laws penalizing same-sex sexual acts have been decriminalized or never existed

Does the country maintain the death penalty in law for people convicted of drug-related offenses?

- [ ] Yes, with high application (sentencing of people convicted of drug offenses to death and/or carrying out executions are a routine and mainstreamed part of the criminal justice system)
- [ ] Yes, with low application (executions for drug offenses may have been carried out in recent years, but in practice such penalties are relatively rare)
- [ ] Yes, with symbolic application (the death penalty for drug offenses is included in legislation, but executions are not carried out)
- [x] No

Does the country have laws criminalizing the transmission of, non-disclosure of, or exposure to HIV transmission?

- [x] Yes
- [ ] No, but prosecutions exist based on general criminal laws
- [ ] No

Does the country have policies restricting the entry, stay, and residence of people living with HIV (PLHIV)?

- [ ] Yes
- [x] No

	Does the country have other punitive laws affecting lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, and intersex (LGBTI) people? ☐ Yes, promotion ("propaganda") laws ☐ Yes, morality laws or religious norms that limit LGBTI freedom of expression and association ☑ No			
2.9 Rights to Access Services: Recognizing the right to nondiscriminatory access to HIV services and support, does the government have efforts in place to educate and ensure the rights of PLHIV, key populations, adolescents, and those who may access HIV services about these rights?	There are host country government efforts in place as follows (check all that apply): ☑ To educate PLHIV about their legal rights in terms of access to HIV services ☑ To educate key populations about their legal rights in terms of access to HIV services ☑ National law exists regarding health care privacy and confidentiality protections ☑ Government provides financial support to enable access to legal services if someone experiences discrimination, including redress where a violation is found	2.9 Score: 0.91	Law on HIV/AIDS Prevention and Control; Law on Administrative Violations; VAAC guidelines on Annual HIV/AIDS Prevention and National AIDS Action Plan. MOH Directive 10/2017 on reducing Stigma and discrimination in heealth care settings. Legal Aid Law 2017. Political Bureau Directive 36 on strengthening measures for drug prevention and control	SEE NCPI 2018 REPLIES
2.10 Audit: Does the host country government conduct a national HIV/AIDS program audit or audit of Ministries that work on HIV/AIDS on a regular basis (excluding audits of donor funding that are through government financial systems)?	○ A. No audit is conducted of the National HIV/AIDS Program or other relevant ministry. ◉ B. An audit is conducted of the National HIV/AIDS program or other relevant ministries every 4 years or more. ○ C. An audit is conducted of the National HIV/AIDS program or other relevant ministries every 3 years or less.	2.10 Score: 0.45	Based on discussion with multiple stakeholders during SID workshop	Government reviews the implementation of the strategy annually and reports to National Committee
2.11 Audit Action: To what extent does the host country government respond to the findings of a HIV/AIDS audit or audit of Ministries that work on HIV/AIDS?	○ A. Host country government does not respond to audit findings, or no audit of the national HIV/AIDS program is conducted. ◉ B. The host country government does respond to audit findings by implementing changes as a result of the audit. ○ C. The host country government does respond to audit findings by implementing changes which can be tracked by legislature or other bodies that hold government accountable.	2.11 Score: 0.45	Based on discussion with multiple stakeholders during SID workshop	
	Policies and Governance Score:	**6.38**		

3. Civil Society Engagement: Local civil society is an active partner in the HIV/AIDS response through service delivery provision when appropriate, advocacy efforts as needed, and as a key stakeholder to inform the national HIV/AIDS response. There are mechanisms for civil society to review and provide feedback regarding public programs, services and fiscal management and civil society is able to hold government institutions accountable for the use of HIV/AIDS funds and for the results of their actions.			**Data Source**	**Notes/Comments**
3.1 Civil Society and Accountability for HIV/AIDS: Are there any laws or policies that restrict civil society from playing an oversight role in the HIV/AIDS response?	○ A. There exists a law or laws that restrict civil society from playing an oversight role in the HIV/AIDS response. ◉ B. There are no laws that restrict civil society playing a role in providing oversight of the HIV/AIDS response but in practice, it does not happen. ○ C. There are no laws or policies that prevent civil society from providing an oversight of the HIV/AIDS response and civil society is very actively engaged in providing oversight.	3.1 Score: 0.83	Based on discussion with multiple stakeholders during SID workshop	
3.2 Government Channels and Opportunities for Civil Society Engagement: Does host country government have formal channels or opportunities for diverse civil society groups to engage and provide feedback on its HIV/AIDS policies, programs, and services (not including Global Fund CCM civil society engagement requirements)?	Check A, B, or C; if C checked, select appropriate disaggregates: ○ A. There are no formal channels or opportunities. ○ B. There are formal channels or opportunities, but civil society is called upon in an ad hoc manner to provide inputs and feedback. ◉ C. There are functional formal channels and opportunities for civil society engagement and feedback. Check all that apply: ☑ During strategic and annual planning ☐ In joint annual program reviews ☑ For policy development ☑ As members of technical working groups ☐ Involvement on government HIV/AIDS program evaluation teams ☑ Involvement in surveys/studies ☑ Collecting and reporting on client feedback ☑ Service delivery	3.2 Score: 1.25	In country source, i.e., reports indicating CSO engagement, policies or SOPs	Civil Society groups are invited to strategic and annual planning meetings at provincial levels, but more significantly in provinces with external donor support. Key Populations are invited and participated in National Surveillance Study, IBBS (delete IBBS)and other studies relating to their populations. CBOs provides community led HIV services to KPs including behavior change communication, HIV lay and self testing, referal clients to ART, ART adherence support and counseling. CBOs collect and report their client feedback to OPCs during coordination meetings with OPCs.

3.3 Impact of Civil Society Engagement: Does civil society engagement substantively impact policy, programming, and budget decisions related to HIV/AIDS?	○ A. Civil society does not actively engage, or civil society engagement does not impact policy, programming, and budget decisions related to HIV/AIDS. ◉ B. Civil society's engagement impacts HIV/AIDS policy, programming, and budget decisions (check all that apply): ☑ In policy design ☑ In programmatic decision making ☑ In technical decision making ☑ In service delivery ☐ In HIV/AIDS basket or national health financing decisions	3.3 Score: 1.33	Based on discussion with multiple stakeholders during SID workshop	PLHIV and key risk group network (VNP, MSM, IDU, FSW) engaged in advocacy, invited to the annual provincial strategic planing, involved in TWG influencing programatic and technical decision making process. Some are entrusted to provide service delivery to KAPs and PLHIV.
3.4 Domestic Funding of Civil Society: To what extent are HIV/AIDS related Civil Society Organizations funded domestically (either from government, private sector, or self generated funds)? (if exact or approximate overall percentage known, or the percentages from the various domestic sources, please note in Comments column)	○ A. No funding (0%) for HIV/AIDS related civil society organizations comes from domestic sources. ◉ B. Minimal funding (approx. 1-9%) for HIV/AIDS related civil society organizations comes from domestic sources (not including Global Fund grants through government Principal Recipients). ○ C. Some funding (approx. 10-49%) for HIV/AIDS related civil society organizations comes from domestic sources (not including Global Fund grants through government Principal Recipients). ○ D. Most funding (approx. 50-89%) for HIV/AIDS related civil society organizations comes from domestic sources (not including Global Fund grants through government Principal Recipients). ○ E. All or almost all funding (approx. 90%+) for HIV/AIDS related civil society organizations comes from domestic sources (not including Global Fund grants through government Principal Recipients).	3.4 Score: 0.83	Based on discussion with multiple stakeholders during SID workshop	Observation from some CSO/CBO supported by PEPFAR/USAID. They mobised fund, managed their owned generated fund, inkind support from private sector. Some groups also receive support from GVN such as Venue for operation. Some receive incentive from local Governent for social works but the value is still minimal. No concrete data available.
3.5 Civil Society Enabling Environment: Are there laws, policies, or regulations in place which permit CSOs to be funded from a government budget for HIV services through open competition (from any Ministry or Department, at any level - national, regional, or local)? Note: This sometimes referred to as "social contracting" or "social procurement."	◉ A. There is no law, policy, or regulation which permits CSOs to be funded from a government budget for HIV Services through open competition (not to include Global Fund or other donor funding to government that goes to CSOs). ○ B. There is a law, policy or regulation which permits CSOs to be funded from a government budget for HIV services. Check all that apply: ☐ Competition is open and transparent (notices of opportunities are made public) ☐ Opportunities for CSO funding are made on an annual basis ☐ Awards are made in a timely manner (within 6-12 months of announcements) ☐ Payments are made to CSOs on time for provision of services	3.5 Score: 0.00	Based on discussion with multiple stakeholders during SID workshop	CSO terminology is not yet accepted by the Vietnamese Government and there is a lack of official legal document regulating CBOs establishment, operations and funding
		Civil Society Engagement Score: 4.25		

4. Private Sector Engagement: Global as well as local private sector (both private health care providers and private business) is an active partner in the HIV/AIDS response through service delivery provision when appropriate, advocacy efforts as needed, innovation, and as a key stakeholder to inform the national HIV/AIDS response. There are supportive policies and mechanisms for the private sector to engage and to review and provide feedback regarding public programs, services and fiscal management of the national HIV/AIDS response. The public uses the private sector for HIV service delivery at a similar level as other health care needs.			**Data Source**	**Notes/Comments**
4.1 Government Channels and Opportunities for Private Sector Engagement: Does the host country government have formal channels and opportunities for diverse private sector entities (including service delivery, corporations, and private training institutions) to engage and provide feedback on its HIV/AIDS policies, programs, and services? (If option B is true, check all subsequent boxes that apply.)	○ A. There are no formal channels or opportunities for private sector engagement. ◉ B. There are formal channels or opportunities for private sector engagement. i. The following private sector stakeholders formally contribute input into national or sub-national processes for HIV/AIDS planning and strategic development (check all that apply): ☐ Corporations ☐ Employers ☐ Private training institutions ☑ Private health service delivery providers ii. Stakeholders contribute in the following ways (check all that apply): ☐ The private sector contributes technical expertise into HIV program planning ☑ Data and strategic input into supply chain management for HIV commodities ☐ Service delivery and/or client satisfaction data from private service delivery providers is included in health sector and HIV program planning ☐ Data on staffing in private health service delivery providers ☐ Data on private training institution's human resources for health (HRH) graduates and placements are included in health sector and HIV program planning ☑ For technical advisory on best practices and delivery solutions	4.1 Score: 1.04	The national HIV/AIDS Plan does include reference to private sector enagement but not in detail. This will need to be updated and clarified as part of the revision of the AIDS Law. Market analysis will also need to be done to inform AIDS Law. Gvt Decree on Public-Private Partnership and upcoming Law on PPP. 2014 LAw on enterprises regulating social entreprises	

	iii. The national HIV/AIDS strategic plan explicitly addresses private sector's role in the HIV/AIDS response (check all that apply): ☑ The national HIV/AIDS strategic plan has a specific section that specifies the private sector's role in the HIV/AIDS response. ☐ A recent (within past 4 years) market analysis informs the private sector strategy that is included in the HIV/AIDS strategic plan ☑ The government and private sector effectively coordinates and executes a total market approach for HIV service delivery, which accounts for whether people are able and/or willing to pay for HIV services.			
4.2 Enabling Environment for Private Corporate Contributions to HIV/AIDS Programming: Does the host country government have systems and policies in place that allow for private corporate contributions to HIV/AIDS programming?	Check all that apply: ☑ Tax policies and incentives are designed to encourage corporate social responsibility efforts from companies who are contributing financial commitments and/or non-financial resources (including, but not limited to, product donations, expertise, and employee staff time). ☑ The host country government has in-house expertise in contracting services to private sector corporations when appropriate and necessary (e.g., transportation and waste management). ☐ The host country government has standards for reporting and sharing data across public and private sectors. ☑ Regulations help ensure that workplace programs align with the national HIV/AIDS program (e.g., medical leave policies, on-site testing, on-site prevention and education, anti-discrimination policies). ☐ There are strong linkage and referral networks between on-site workplace programs and public health care facilities.	4.2 Score: 1.50	Law on enterprise; Decree 96/2015/ND-CP; Circular 96/2015/TT-BTC; Law on enterprise income tax; Decree 218/2013/ND-CP; Law on medical examination and treatment; Decree 69/2008/ND-CP; Decision 1466/2008/QD-TTg	Circular no. 96/2015/TT-BTC issued by Ministry of Finance (22 June 2015) provides guidance on corporate income tax. Enterprises are allowed to deduct direct costs for HIV prevention from income subject to taxation. Direct costs for HIV prevention includes training for staff on HIV, communication campaigns on HIV, HIV counseling and testing, support to employees living with HIV. The law on medical examination and treatment states that the State shall diversify forms of medical examination and treatment services; encourage, mobilize and create conditions for organizations and individuals to build medical examination and treatment establishments; encourage private medical examination and treatment

4.3 Enabling Environment for Private Health Service Delivery: Does the host country government have systems and policies in place that allow for private health service delivery? Note: Full score possible without checking all boxes.	○ A. Private health service delivery providers are not legally allowed to deliver HIV/AIDS services. ○ B. The host country government plans to allow private health service delivery providers to provide HIV/AIDS services in the next two years. ◉ C. Private health service delivery providers are legally allowed to deliver HIV/AIDS services. In addition (check all that apply): ☑ Policies are in place to ensure that private providers receive, understand, and adhere to national guidelines/protocols for ART, and appropriate quality standards and certifications. ☑ Systems are in place for service provision and/or research reporting by private facilities to the government, including guidelines for data reporting. ☑ Joint (i.e., public-private) supervision and quality oversight of private facilities. ☐ The government offers tax deductions for private facilities delivering HIV/AIDS services. ☑ The government offers tax deductions for private training institutions. ☐ The private sector is eligible to procure HIV/AIDS and/or ART commodities via public sector procurement channels and/or national medical stores ☐ The host country government has formal contracting or service-level agreement procedures to compensate private facilities for HIV/AIDS services. ☑ HIV/AIDS services received in private facilities are eligible for reimbursement through national health insurance schemes ☐ There are open competitions for private health care providers to compete for government service contracts ☐ There is a systematic and timely process for private company registration and/or testing of new health products (e.g., drugs, diagnostic kits, medical devices, etc.) that support HIV/AIDS programming ☐ The government effectively regulates the flow of subsidized commodities into the private sector. ☐ Private Banks or lenders provide access to low interest loans prioritizing private health sector small and medium-sized enterprise (SME) development and expansion.	4.3 Score: 1.94	National social health insurance does reimburse for private sector HIV services, but it is very complex for smaller polyclinics to secure approval for SHI. In reality, few private health facilities are able to offer this option to clients. More work needs to be done to streamline application procedures. Decree 128 on tax incentives	

4.4 Private Sector Capability and Interest: Does the private sector possess the capability to support HIV/AIDS services, and do private sector stakeholders demonstrate interest in supporting the national HIV/AIDS response?	○ A. The host country government does not leverage the skill sets of the private sector for the national HIV/AIDS response. ○ B. The private sector does not express interest in or actively seek out opportunities to support the national HIV/AIDS response. ◉ C. The private sector has expertise and has expressed interest in or actively seeks out (check all that apply): ☑ Market opportunities that align with and support the national HIV/AIDS response ☑ Opportunities to contribute financial and/or non-financial resources to the national response (including business skills, market research, logistics, communication, research and development, product design, brand awareness, and innovation)	4.4 Score: 2.50	Based on discussion with multiple stakeholders during SID workshop	
		Private Sector Engagement Score: 6.99		

5. Public Access to Information: Host government widely disseminates timely and reliable information on the implementation of HIV/AIDS policies and programs, including goals, progress and challenges towards achieving HIV/AIDS targets, as well as fiscal information (public revenues, budgets, expenditures, large contract awards , etc.) related to HIV/AIDS. Program and audit reports are published publically. Efforts are made to ensure public has access to data through print distribution, websites, radio or other methods of disseminating information.			**Source of Data**	**Notes/Comments**
5.1 Surveillance Data Transparency: Does the host country government ensure that national HIV/AIDS surveillance data and analyses are made available to stakeholders and general public in a timely and useful way?	○ A. The host country government does not make HIV/AIDS surveillance data available to stakeholders and the general public, or they are made available more than one year after the date of collection. ◉ B. The host country government makes HIV/AIDS surveillance data available to stakeholders and the general public within 6-12 months. ○ C. The host country government makes HIV/AIDS surveillance data available to stakeholders and the general public within six months.	5.1 Score: 1.00	Based on discussion with multiple stakeholders during SID workshop	
5.2 Expenditure Transparency: Does the host country government make annual HIV/AIDS expenditure data available to stakeholders and the public in a timely and useful way?	○ A. The host country government does not track HIV/AIDS expenditures. ◉ B. The host country government does not make HIV/AIDS expenditure data available to stakeholders and the general public, or they are made available more than one year after the date of expenditures. ○ C. The host country government makes HIV/AIDS expenditure data available to stakeholders and the general public within 6-12 months after date of expenditures. ○ D. The host country government makes HIV/AIDS expenditure data available to stakeholders and the general public within six months after expenditures.	5.2 Score: 0.00	Based on discussion with multiple stakeholders during SID workshop	Government financial management procedures do not require this.

5.3 Performance and Service Delivery Transparency: Does the host country government make annual HIV/AIDS program performance and service delivery data available to stakeholders and the public in a timely and useful way?	○ A. The host country government does not make HIV/AIDS program performance and service delivery data available to stakeholders and the general public or they are made available more than one year after the date of programming. ◉ B. The host country government makes HIV/AIDS program performance and service delivery data available to stakeholders and the general public within 6-12 months after date of programming. ○ C. The host country government makes HIV/AIDS program performance and service delivery data available to stakeholders and the general public within six months after date of programming . At what level of detail is this performance data reported? [CHECK ALL THAT APPLY] ☑ National ☑ District ☐ Site-Level	5.3 Score:	1.11	Based on discussion with multiple stakeholders during SID workshop	
5.4 Procurement Transparency: Does the host country government make government HIV/AIDS procurements public in a timely way?	○ A. The host country government does not make any HIV/AIDS procurements. ○ B. The host country government makes HIV/AIDS procurements, but neither procurement tender nor award details are publicly available. ○ C. The host country government makes HIV/AIDS procurements, and tender, but not award, details are publicly available. ◉ D. The host country government makes HIV/AIDS procurements, and both tender and award details available.	5.4 Score:	2.00	MPI website http://muasamcong.mpi.gov.vn/ Tender Newspaper	

5.5 Institutionalized Education System: Is there a government agency that is explicitly responsible for providing scientifically accurate education to the public about HIV/AIDS?	○ A. There is no government institution that is responsible for this function and no other groups provide education. ○ B. There is no government institution that is responsible for this function but at least one of the following provides education: ☐ Civil society ☐ Media ☐ Private sector ◉ C. There is a government institution that is responsible for, and is providing, scientifically accurate information on HIV/AIDS.	5.5 Score:	2.00	Based on discussion with multiple stakeholders during SID workshop	Center for Communication and Health Education, MOH. Support provided by VAAC IEC and Harm Reduction departments and media activities
		Public Access to Information Score:	**6.11**		

THIS CONCLUDES THE SET OF QUESTIONS ON DOMAIN A

Domain B. National Health System and Service Delivery

What Success Looks Like: Host country institutions (inclusive of government, NGOs, civil society, and the private sector), the domestic workforce, and local health systems constitute the primary vehicles through which HIV/AIDS programs and services are managed and delivered. Optimally, national, sub-national and local governments have achieved high and appropriate coverage of a range of quality, life-saving prevention, treatment, and care services and interventions. There is a high demand for HIV/AIDS services, which are accessible and affordable to poor and vulnerable populations at risk of infection (i.e. key populations, discordant couples, exposed infants), are infected and/or are affected by the HIV/AIDS epidemic.

6. Service Delivery: The host country government at national, sub-national and facility levels facilitates planning and management of, access to and linkages between facility- and community-based HIV services.			**Data Source**	**Notes/Comments**
6.1 Responsiveness of facility-based services to demand for HIV services: Do public facilities respond to and generate demand for HIV services to meet local needs? (Check all that apply.)	☑ Public facilities are able to tailor services to accommodate demand (e.g., modify or add hours/days of operations; add/second additional staff during periods of high patient influx; customize scope of HIV services offered; adapt organization/model of service deliver to patient flow) ☑ Public facilities are able to situate services in proximity to high-HIV burden locations or populations (e.g., mobile clinics) ☑ There is evidence that public facilities in high burden areas and/or serving high-burden populations generate demand for HIV services	6.1 Score: 0.95	Program monitoring/management data from PEPFAR and GF implementing partners	1. Services in priority provinces/high burden districts 2. Service delivery modalities are tailored to met the need of specific KP (e.g. mobile in remote areas and self/lay-testing for MSM) 3. Mobilization of KP peer educators, village health workers and social media
6.2 Responsiveness of community-based HIV/AIDS services: Has the host country standardized the design and implementation of community-based HIV services? (Check all that apply.)	The host country has standardized the following design and implementation components of community-based HIV/AIDS services through (check all that apply): ☐ Formalized mechanisms of participation by communities, high-burden populations and/or civil society engagement in delivery or oversight of services ☑ National guidelines detailing how to operationalize HIV/AIDS services in communities ☑ Providing official recognition to skilled human resources (e.g. community health workers) working and delivering HIV services in communities ☑ Providing financial support for community-based services ☐ Providing supply chain support for community-based services ☐ Supporting linkages between facility- and community-based services through formalized bidirectional referral services (e.g., use of national reporting systems to refer and monitor referrals for completeness)	6.2 Score: 0.48	National MSM Guideline approved by the MOH/VAAC in August 2019 Decree 56 provides allowance for commune health workers; circular 26 allows for payment of 500 Dong/month for volunteers. National Community Based Testing approved by the Ministry of Health in April 2018. National HIV Treatment Guidelines have a component on community treatment. Decree 155 (revised decree 75) allows community-based organizations to deliver the community-based testing service Decree 109 in 2016 guiding general implementation on opening clinics which does not specify	1. There is still no standardization of legal document to allow CSOs to participate in service delivery, given there has been available guidelines on community based testing. 2. There is no national linkage system for facility and community although there are some linkage available at the province level especially with PEPFAR support. There are no guidelines for monitoring linkage between community and facility. 3. Although the HIV Community/Home - based Care and Treatment guidelines issued, there aren't national financial resources for community based testing and linking positive cases to treatment
6.3 Domestic Financing of Service Delivery: To what extent do host country institutions (public, private, or voluntary sector) finance the delivery of HIV/AIDS services (i.e. excluding any external financial assistance from donors)? (if exact or approximate percentage known, please note in Comments column)	○ A. Host country institutions provide no (0%) financing for delivery of HIV/AIDS services ○ B. Host country institutions provide minimal (approx. 1-9%) financing for delivery of HIV/AIDS services ○ C. Host country institutions provide some (approx. 10-49%) financing for delivery of HIV/AIDS services ◉ D. Host country institutions provide most (approx. 50-89%) financing for delivery of HIV/AIDS services ○ E. Host country institutions provide all or almost all (approx. 90%+) financing for delivery of HIV/AIDS services	6.3 Score: 1.25	Quantitative data source not yet identified for this indicator. Estimates are based on budget figures provided by GVN, (Decisions 1125 and 2188 are relevant. GF ATM Proposal budget and spending, PEPFAR EA (Decision 1125:Article I, Item 6,part đ 2188 - Project budget: 2,455 billion VND, of which: State bduget: central budget is 877 billion VND; local budget and mobilized	http://bit.ly/Decision1125 http://bit.ly/Decision2188 Social Health Insurance is in progress to include HIV care and treatment services, including ARV, OIs, and basic blood testing

6.4 Domestic Provision of Service Delivery: To what extent do host country institutions (public, private, or voluntary sector) deliver HIV/AIDS services without external technical assistance from donors?	○ A. HIV/AIDS services are primarily delivered by external agencies, organizations, or institutions. ◉ B. Host country institutions deliver HIV/AIDS services but with substantial external technical assistance. ○ C. Host country institutions deliver HIV/AIDS services with some external technical assistance. ○ D. Host country institutions deliver HIV/AIDS services with minimal or no external technical assistance.	6.4 Score: 0.32	PEPFAR management data and data from implementing partners	Both financial and technical assisstance are from PEPFAR/GFATM
6.5 Domestic Financing of Service Delivery for Key Populations: To what extent do host country institutions (public, private, or voluntary sector) finance the delivery of HIV/AIDS services to key populations (i.e. without external financial assistance from donors)? (if exact or approximate percentage known, please note in Comments column)	○ A. Host country institutions provide no or minimal (0%) financing for delivery of HIV/AIDS services to key populations, or information is not available. ○ B. Host country institutions provide minimal (approx. 1-9%) financing for delivery of HIV/AIDS services to key populations. ○ C. Host country institutions provide some (approx. 10-49%) financing for delivery of HIV/AIDS services to key populations. ○ D. Host country institutions provide most (approx. 50-89%) financing for delivery of HIV/AIDS services to key populations. ◉ E. Host country institutions provide all or almost all (approx. 90%+) financing for delivery of HIV/AIDS services to key populations.	6.5 Score: 1.67	Quantitative data source not yet identified for this indicator. Decisions 1125 and 2188 (see 6.3 above)	GVN categorizes prevention activities in general and does not create a separate tracking line for key populations.
6.6 Domestic Provision of Service Delivery for Key Populations: To what extent do host country institutions (public, private, or voluntary sector) deliver HIV/AIDS services to key populations without external technical assistance from donors?	○ A. HIV/AIDS services to key populations are primarily delivered by external agencies, organizations, or institutions. ◉ B. Host country institutions deliver HIV/AIDS services to key populations but with substantial external technical assistance. ○ C. Host country institutions deliver HIV/AIDS services to key populations with some external technical assistance. ○ D. Host country institutions deliver HIV/AIDS services to key populations with minimal or no external technical assistance.	6.6 Score: 0.32	Specific data source not yet identified for this.	
6.7 Management and Monitoring of HIV Service Delivery: Does an administrative entity, such as a national office or Bureau/s, exist with specific authority to manage - plan, monitor, and provide guidance - for HIV service delivery activities including practice standards, quality, health outcomes, and information monitoring across all sectors. <u>Select only ONE answer.</u>	○ A. No, there is no entity. ○ B. Yes, there is an entity, but it has limited authority, insufficient staff, and insufficient budget. ◉ C. Yes, there is an entity with authority and sufficient staff, but not a sufficient budget. ○ D. Yes, there is an entity with authority and sufficient staff and budget.	6.7 Score: 0.63	Based on discussion with multiple stakeholders during SID workshop	VAAC is the entity. There is not sufficient budget to run all operation without donor support.

6.8 National Service Delivery Capacity: Do national health authorities have the capacity to effectively plan and manage HIV services?	National health authorities (check all that apply): ☑ Translate national policies/strategies into sub-national level HIV/AIDS strategic plan and response activities. ☑ Use epidemiologic and program data to measure effectiveness of sub-national level programs in delivering needed HIV/AIDS services in right locations. ☑ Assess current and future staffing needs based on HIV/AIDS program goals and budget realities for high burden locations. ☑ Develop sub-national level budgets that allocate resources to high burden service delivery locations. ☑ Effectively engage with civil society in program planning and evaluation of services. ☐ Design a staff performance management plan to assure that staff working at high burden sites maintain good clinical and technical skills, such as through training and/or	6.8 Score:	0.79	National five year strategy and provincial annual plan HSS+	CSOs engaged but not consistently and effectively; CSO engagement not institutionalized. There is a very limited staff performance evaluation.
6.9 Sub-national Service Delivery Capacity: Do sub-national health authorities (i.e., district, provincial) have the capacity to effectively plan and manage HIV services sufficiently to achieve sustainable epidemic control?	Sub-national health authorities (check all that apply): ☑ Translate national policies/strategies into sub-national level HIV/AIDS strategic plan and response activities. ☑ Use epidemiologic and program data to measure effectiveness of sub-national level programs in delivering needed HIV/AIDS services in right locations. ☑ Assess current and future staffing needs based on HIV/AIDS program goals and budget realities for high burden locations. ☑ Develop sub-national level budgets that allocate resources to high burden service delivery locations. ☑ Effectively engage with civil society in program planning and evaluation of services. ☐ Design a staff performance management plan to assure that staff working at high burden sites maintain good clinical and technical skills, such as through training and/or mentorship.	6.9 Score:	0.79	Provincial plan and HSS+	Some provinces do use epi data but not consistently in all provinces. Some provinces engage more effectively with CSO than others.
		Service Delivery Score	**7.20**		

7. Health Workforce: Health workforce staffing decisions for those working on HIV/AIDS are based on use of workforce data and are aligned with national plans. Host country has sufficient numbers and categories of competent health care workers and volunteers to provide quality HIV/AIDS prevention, care and treatment services in health facilities and in the community. Host country trains, deploys and compensates health workers providing HIV/AIDS services through local public and/or private resources and systems. Host country has a strategy or plan for transitioning staff funded by donors.			**Data Source**	**Notes/Comments**
7.1 Health Workforce Supply: To what extent is the clinical health worker supply adequate to enable the volume and quality of HIV/AIDS services needed for sustained epidemic control at the facility and/or community site level?	Check all that apply: ☐ The country's pre-service education institutions are producing an adequate supply and skills mix of clinical health care providers ☑ The country's clinical health workers are adequately deployed to, or distributed within, facilities and communities with high HIV burden ☑ The country has developed retention schemes that address clinical health worker vacancy or attrition in high HIV burden areas ☑ The country's pre-service education institutions are producing an adequate supply and appropriate skills mix of social service workers to deliver social services to vulnerable children	7.1 Score: 0.71	Based on discussion with multiple stakeholders during SID workshop	1) HIV clinical education is not fully part of medical training. HIV doctors need additional trainings after pre-service training. 2) The government, especially in high burden provinces, has a mitigation plan to retain HIV staff during, firstly for the transition from donor funding to national/local funding, and secondly for the ongoing transition of health system to form a new Vietnam CDC model under current public health system.
7.2 Role of Community-based Health Workers (CHWs): To what extent are community-based health workers' roles and responsibilities specified for HIV/AIDS service delivery?	Check all that apply: ☑ There is a national community-based health worker (CHW) cadre that has a defined role in HIV/AIDS service delivery (e.g., through a national strategy or task-sharing framework/guidelines). ☐ Data are made available on the staffing and deployment of CHWs, including non-formalized CHWs supported by donors. ☑ The host country government officially recognizes non-formalized CHWs delivering HIV/AIDS services.	7.2 Score: 0.63	Based on discussion with multiple stakeholders during SID workshop	Although the GVN recognizes the roles of community-based organizations in delivery of HIV & AIDS services, there is legal policy or document that defines their roles as part of the public health workforces, hence, they receive financial support from donor-funded projects, not from the GVN.
7.3 Health Workforce Transition: What is the status of transitioning PEPFAR and/or other donor supported HIV/AIDS health worker salaries to local financing/compensation? Note in comments column which donors have transition plans in place and timeline for transition.	○ A. There is no inventory or plan for transition of donor-supported health workers ○ B. There is an inventory of donor-supported health workers, but no official plan to transition these staff to local support ○ C. There is an inventory and plan for transition of donor-supported workers, but it has not yet been implemented ◉ D. There is an inventory and plan for donor-supported workers to be transitioned, and staff are being transitioned according to this plan ○ E. No plan is necessary because all HIV/AIDS health worker salaries are already locally financed/compensated	7.3 Score: 0.71	Based on discussion with multiple stakeholders during SID workshop	Inventory of donor-supported HCWs through PEPFAR APR reporting; GFATM, which is the other large donor in HIV, does not provide financial support to HCWs. A majority of HCWs under PEPFAR support are/or being absorbed into GVN system.

7.4 Domestic Funding for Health Workforce: What proportion of health worker (doctors, nurses, midwives, and CHW) salaries are supported with domestic public or private resources (i.e. excluding donor resources)? (if exact or approximate percentage known, please note in Comments column)	○ A. Host country institutions provide no (0%) health worker salaries ○ B. Host country institutions provide minimal (approx. 1-9%) health worker salaries ○ C. Host country institutions provide some (approx. 10-49%) health worker salaries ○ D. Host country institutions provide most (approx. 50-89%) health worker salaries ◉ E. Host country institutions provide all or almost all (approx. 90%+) health worker salaries	7.4 Score: 3.33	National Health Account Report HIV Sub-Analysis 2015 Provincial budgets for health services, recurrent costs, salary records at provincial level	
7.5 Pre-service Training: Do current pre-service education curricula for any health workers providing HIV/AIDS services include HIV content that has been updated in last three years? Note: List applicable cadres in the comments column.	○ A. Pre-service education institutions do not have HIV content, or HIV content used by pre-service education institutions is out of date (not updated within 3 years) ◉ B. Pre-service institutions have updated HIV/AIDS content within the last three years (check all that apply): ☑ Updated content reflects national standards of practice for cadres offering HIV/AIDS-related services ☑ Institutions maintain process for continuously updating content, including HIV/AIDS content ☑ Updated curricula contain training related to stigma & discrimination of PLHIV ☐ Institutions track student employment after graduation to inform planning	7.5 Score: 0.83	Based on discussion with multiple stakeholders during SID workshop	
7.6 In-service Training: To what extent does the host country government (through public, private, and/or voluntary sectors) plan and implement HIV/AIDS in-service training necessary to equip health workers for sustained epidemic control? (if exact or approximate percentage known, please note in Comments column)	Check all that apply among A, B, C, D: ☑ A. The host country government provides the following support for in-service training in the country (check ONE): ☐ Host country government implements no (0%) HIV/AIDS related in-service training ☐ Host country government implements minimal (approx. 1-9%) HIV/AIDS related in-service training ☑ Host country government implements some (approx. 10-49%) HIV/AIDS in-service training ☐ Host country government implements most (approx. 50-89%) HIV/AIDS in-service training ☐ Host country government implements all or almost all (approx. 90%+) HIV/AIDS in-service training ☑ B. The host country government has a national plan for institutionalizing (establishing capacity within local institutions to deliver) donor-supported in-service training in HIV/AIDS ☑ C. The host country government requires continuing professional development, a form of in-service training, for re-licensure for key clinicians ☐ D. The host country government maintains a database to track training for HIV/AIDS, and allocates training based on need (e.g. focusing on high burden areas)	7.6 Score: 0.60	VAAC annual program review available in VAAC website	While host country implements through academic and regional public health and medical institutes, costs of training are primarily PEPFAR, donor-funded in PEPFAR sites. In non-PEPFAR sites, facilities access training through sectoral progams

7.7 Health Workforce Data Collection and Use: Does the country systematically collect and use health workforce data, such as through a Human Resource Information Systems (HRIS), for HIV/AIDS services and/or health workforce planning and management?	○ A. There is no HRIS in country and data on the health workforce is not collected systematically for planning and management ◉ B. There is no HRIS in country, but some data is collected for planning and management ☐ Registration and re-licensure data for key professionals is collected and used for planning and management ☑ MOH health worker employee data (number, cadre, and location of employment) is collected and used ☑ Routine assessments are conducted regarding health worker staffing at health facility and/or community sites ○ C. There is an HRIS (an interoperable system that captures at least regulatory and deployment data on health workers) in country: ☐ The HRIS is primarily financed and managed by host country institutions ☐ There is a national strategy or approach to interoperability for HRIS ☐ The government produces HR data from the system at least annually ☐ Host country institutions use HR data from the system for planning and management (e.g. health worker deployment)	7.7 Score:	0.40	Based on discussion with multiple stakeholders during SID workshop	Public Security maintains a list of health care workers in jails and prisons system.
7.8 Management and Monitoring of Health Workforce Does an administrative entity, such as a national office or Bureau/s, exist with specific authority to manage - plan, monitor, and provide guidance - for health workforce activities in HIV service delivery sites, including training, supervision, deployments, quality assurance, and others across all sectors. Select only ONE answer.	○ A. No, there is no entity. ◉ B. Yes, there is an entity, but it has limited authority, insufficient staff, and insufficient budget ○ C. Yes, there is an entity with authority and sufficient staff, but not a sufficient budget. ○ D. Yes, there is an entity with authority and sufficient staff and budget.	7.8 Score:	0.32	Based on discussion with multiple stakeholders during SID workshop	
		Health Workforce Score:	**7.54**		

8. Commodity Security and Supply Chain: The National HIV/AIDS response ensures a secure, reliable and adequate supply and distribution of quality products, including drugs, lab and medical supplies, health items, and equipment required for effective and efficient HIV/AIDS prevention, diagnosis and treatment. Host country efficiently manages product selection, forecasting and supply planning, procurement, warehousing and inventory management, transportation, dispensing and waste management reducing costs while maintaining quality.			**Data Source**	**Notes/Comments**
8.1 ARV Domestic Financing: What is the estimated percentage of ARV procurement funded by domestic sources? (Domestic sources includes public sector and private sector but excludes donor and out-of-pocket funds) (if exact or approximate percentage known, please note in Comments column)	○A. This information is not known. ○B. No (0%) funding from domestic sources ○C. Minimal (approx. 1-9%) funding from domestic sources ○D. Some (approx. 10-49%) funded from domestic sources ◉E. Most (approx. 50 – 89%) funded from domestic sources ○F. All or almost all (approx. 90%+) funded from domestic sources	8.1 Score: 0.63	Based on discussion with multiple stakeholders during SID workshop	
8.2 Test Kit Domestic Financing: What is the estimated percentage of HIV Rapid Test Kit procurement funded by domestic sources? (Domestic sources includes public sector and private sector but excludes donor and out-of-pocket funds) (if exact or approximate percentage known, please note in Comments column)	○A. This information is not known ○B. No (0%) funding from domestic sources ○C. Minimal (approx. 1-9%) funding from domestic sources ◉D. Some (approx. 10-49%) funded from domestic sources ○E. Most (approx. 50-89%) funded from domestic sources ○F. All or almost all (approx. 90%+) funded from domestic sources	8.2 Score: 0.42	Based on provincial/state budget and hospital budget for test kit procurement.	
8.3 Condom Domestic Financing: What is the estimated percentage of condom procurement funded by domestic (not donor) sources? *Note:* The denominator should be the supply of free or subsidized condoms provided to public or private sector health facilities or community based programs. (if exact or approximate percentage known, please note in Comments column)	○A. This information is not known ○B. No (0%) funding from domestic sources ○C. Minimal (approx. 1-9%) funding from domestic sources ○D. Some (approx. 10-49%) funded from domestic sources ◉E. Most (approx. 50-89%) funded from domestic sources ○F. All or almost all (approx. 90%+) funded from domestic sources	8.3 Score: 0.63	Healthy Markets survey	Private contributions are the majority of the domestic financing for condoms.

8.4 Supply Chain Plan: Does the country have an agreed-upon national supply chain plan that guides investments in the supply chain?	○ A. There is no plan or thoroughly annually reviewed supply chain standard operating procedure (SOP). ◉ B. There is a plan/SOP that includes the following components (check all that apply): ☑ Human resources ☑ Training ☑ Warehousing ☑ Distribution ☐ Reverse Logistics ☑ Waste management ☑ Information system ☑ Procurement ☑ Forecasting ☑ Supply planning and supervision ☑ Site supervision	8.4 Score: 1.52	Annual HIV Control Program	There is no one document/SOP however all of these elements are included in different documents.
8.5 Supply Chain Plan Financing: What is the estimated percentage of financing for the supply chain plan that is provided by domestic sources (i.e. excluding donor funds)? (if exact or approximate percentage known, please note in Comments column)	○ A. This information is not available. ○ B. No (0%) funding from domestic sources. ○ C. Minimal (approx. 1-9%) funding from domestic sources. ○ D. Some (approx. 10-49%) funding from domestic sources. ◉ E. Most (approx. 50-89%) funding from domestic sources. ○ F. All or almost all (approx. 90%+) funding from domestic sources.	8.5 Score: 0.63	Based on discussion with multiple stakeholders during SID workshop	Average of the test kits, ARV and methadone commodity

8.6 Stock: Does the host country government manage processes and systems that ensure appropriate ARV stock in all levels of the system?	Check all that apply: ☑ The group making re-supply decisions for ARVs, have timely visibility into the ARV stock on hand at facilities ☑ Facilities are stocked with ARVs according to plan (above the minimum and below the maximum stock level) 90% of the time ☑ MOH or other host government personnel make re-supply decisions with minimal external assistance: ☑ Decision makers are not seconded or implementing partner staff ☑ Supply chain data are maintained within the Ministry of Health and not solely stored by donor-funded projects ☑ Team that conducts analysis of facility data is at least 50% host government	8.6 Score: 1.67	Based on discussion with multiple stakeholders during SID workshop	
8.7 Assessment: Was an overall score of above 80% achieved on the National Supply Chain Assessment or top quartile for an equivalent assessment conducted within the last three years? (if exact or approximate percentage known, please note in Comments column)	○ A. A comprehensive assessment has not been done within the last three years. ◉ B. A comprehensive assessment has been done within the last three years but the score was lower than 80% (for NSCA) or in the bottom three quartiles for the global average of other equivalent assessments ○ C. A comprehensive assessment has been done within the last three years and the score was higher than 80% (for NSCA) or in the top quartile for the assessment	8.7 Score: 0.83	Based on discussion with multiple stakeholders during SID workshop	Source: GF. Comprehensive assesment is ongoing.
8.8 Management and Monitoring of Supply Chain: Does an administrative entity, such as a national office or Bureau/s, exist with specific authority to manage - plan, monitor, and provide guidance - supply chain activities including forecasting, stock monitoring, logistics and warehousing support, and other forms of information monitoring across all sectors? Select only ONE answer.	○ A. No, there is no entity. ◉ B. Yes, there is an entity, but it has limited authority, insufficient staff, and insufficient budget ○ C. Yes, there is an entity with authority and sufficient staff, but not a sufficient budget. ○ D. Yes, there is an entity with authority and sufficient staff and budget.	8.8 Score: 0.56	Based on discussion with multiple stakeholders during SID workshop	VAAC
	Commodity Security and Supply Chain Score:	**6.86**		

9. Quality Management: Host country has institutionalized quality management systems, plans, workforce capacities and other key inputs to ensure that modern quality improvement methodologies are applied to managing and providing HIV/AIDS services			**Data Source**	**Notes/Comments**
9.1 Existence of a Quality Management (QM) System: Does the host country government support appropriate QM structures to support continuous quality improvement (QI) at national, sub-national and site levels?	○ A. The host country government does not have structures or resources to support site-level continuous quality improvement ◉ B. The host country government: ☑ Has structures with dedicated focal points or leaders (e.g., committee, focal person, working groups, teams) at the national level, sub-national level and in a majority of sites where HIV/AIDS care and services are offered that are supporting site-level continuous quality improvement ☑ Has a budget line item for the QM program ☑ Supports a knowledge management platform (e.g., web site) and/or peer learning opportunities available to site QI participants to gain insights from other sites and interventions	9.1 Score: 2.00	National documentation and guidelines	A national QI program exists, not focused on HIV only. No budget line but HR dedicated to QM VAAC created a QI webform in 2016 which was added into the existing website of VAAC as a forum for sharing QI information
9.2 Quality Management/Quality Improvement (QM/QI) Plan: Is there a current (updated within the last 2 years) QM/QI plan? (The plan may be HIV program-specific or include HIV program-specific elements in a national health sector QM/QI plan.)	○ A. There is no HIV/AIDS-related QM/QI strategy ○ B. There is a QM/QI strategy that includes HIV/AIDS, but it is not utilized ◉ C. There is a current QM/QI strategy that includes HIV/AIDS program specific elements, and it is partially utilized. ○ D. There is a current HIV/AIDS program specific QM/QI strategy, and it is fully utilized.	9.2 Score: 1.33	VAAC and VAMS annual QI/QM proposals	QI only for HIV Treatment, Stigmma Reduction, Testing, and Methadone
9.3 Performance Data Collection and Use for Improvement: Are HIV program performance measurement data systematically collected and analyzed to identify areas of patient care and services that can be improved through national decision making, policy, or priority setting?	○ A. HIV program performance measurement data are not used to identify areas of patient care and services that can be improved through national decision making, policy, or priority setting. ◉ B. HIV program performance measurement data are used to identify areas of patient care and services that can be improved through national decision making, policy, or priority setting (check all that apply): ☑ The national quality structure has a clinical data collection system from which local performance measurement data on prioritized measures are being collected, aggregated nationally, and analyzed for local and national improvement ☑ There is a system for sharing data at the national, SNU, and local level, with evidence that data is used to identify quality gaps and initiate QI activities ☑ There is documentation of results of QI activities and demonstration of national HIV program improvement through sharing and implementation of best practices across HIV/AIDS sites at all levels	9.3 Score: 2.00	National, provincial and site PM data reports	HIVQUAL, MethQUAL

9.4 Health worker capacity for QM/QI: Does the host country government ensure that the health workforce has capacities to apply modern quality improvement methods to HIV/AIDS care and services?	○ A. There is no training or recognition offered to build health workforce competency in QI. ◉ B. There is health workforce competency-building in QI, including: ☑ Pre-service institutions incorporate modern quality improvement methods in curricula ☑ National in-service training (IST) curricula integrate quality improvement training for members of the health workforce (including managers) who provide or support HIV/AIDS services	9.4 Score: 2.00	VAAC and VAMS National Training Manual for QI integration	
9.5 Existence of QI Implementation: Does the host country government QM system use proven systematic approaches for QI?	The national-level QM structure: ☑ Provides oversight to ensure continuous quality improvement in HIV/AIDS care and services ☐ Regularly convenes meetings that include health services consumers ☑ Routinely reviews national, sub-national and clinical outcome data to identify and prioritize areas for improvement Sub-national QM structures: ☑ Provide coordination and support to ensure continuous quality improvement in HIV/AIDS care and services ☐ Regularly convene meetings that includes health services consumers ☑ Routinely review national, sub-national and clinical outcome data to identify and prioritize areas for improvement Site-level QM structures: ☑ Undertake continuous quality improvement in HIV/AIDS care and services to identify and prioritize areas for improvement	9.5 Score: 1.43	National TWG and facility team on HIVQUAL/QI	
		Quality Management Score:	**8.76**	

10. Laboratory: The host country ensures adequate funds, policies, and regulations to ensure laboratory capacity (workforce, equipment, reagents, quality) matches the services required for PLHIV.			**Data Source**	**Notes/Comments**
10.1 Strategic Plan: Does the host country have a national laboratory strategic plan?	○A. There is no national laboratory strategic plan ○B. National laboratory strategic plan is under development ○C. National laboratory strategic plan has been developed, but not approved ○D. National laboratory strategic plan has been developed and approved ◉E. National laboratory plan has been developed, approved, and costed ○F. National laboratory strategic plan has been developed, approved, costed, and implemented	10.1 Score: 1.07	National Lab Implementation Plan in respond to National Strategic Plan for HIV Control to 2020 and vision to 2030 - Decision 608/ QD-TTg eclosed with Decision 4548/QD-UBQG61. Decision 2429/QD-BYT 2017 - Criteria of quality management system assessment for medical laboratories	Lab strategy included into other national strategic plans.
10.2 Management and Monitoring of Laboratory Services: Does an administrative entity, such as a national office or Bureau/s, exist with specific authority to manage - plan, monitor, purchase, and provide guidance - laboratory services at the regional and district level across all sectors? Select only ONE answer.	○A. No, there is no entity. ○B. Yes, there is an entity, but it has limited authority, insufficient staff, and insufficient budget ◉C. Yes, there is an entity with authority and sufficient staff, but not a sufficient budget. ○D. Yes, there is an entity with authority and sufficient staff and budget.	10.2 Score: 0.89	Quality Management department of VAMS create plan to monitor, provide TA, and training at the regional and district level across all requirements of Laboratory Quality Management system, via the checklist from Decision 2429/QD-BYT 2017.	
10.3 Regulations to Monitor Quality of Laboratories and Point of Care Testing (POCT) Sites: To what extent does the host country have regulations in place to monitor the quality of its laboratories and POCT sites? (if exact or approximate percentage known, please note in Comments column)	○A. Regulations do not exist to monitor minimum quality of laboratories in the country. ○B. Regulations exist, but are not implemented (0% of laboratories and POCT sites regulated). ○C. Regulations exist, but are minimally implemented (approx.. 1-9% of laboratories and POCT sites regulated). ○D. Regulations exist, but are partially implemented (approx. 10-49% of laboratories and POCT sites regulated). ◉E. Regulations exist and are mostly implemented (approx. 50-89% of laboratories and POCT sites regulated). ○F. Regulations exist and are fully or almost fully implemented (approx. 90%+ of laboratories and POCT sites regulated).	10.3 Score: 1.00	Circular 15- Ensures quality of HIV testing - National Standards for Confirmatory testing, HIV reference Lab, 2013. Decision 1098/QD_BYT for national HIV testing guidelines, 2013 Decision 2429/QD-BYT 2017 - Criteria of quality management system assessment for medical laboratories	Decision 2429 is fully implemented.
10.4 Capacity of Laboratory Workforce: Does the host country have an adequate number of qualified laboratory personnel (human resources [HR]) in the public sector, to sustain key functions to meet the needs of PLHIV for diagnosis, monitoring treatment and viral load suppression?	○A. There are not adequate qualified laboratory personnel to achieve sustained epidemic control ◉B. There are adequate qualified laboratory personnel to perform the following key functions: ☑ HIV diagnosis by rapid testing and point-of-care testing ☑ Routine laboratory testing, including chemistry, hematology, microbiology, serology, blood banking, and malaria ☑ Complex laboratory testing, including HIV viral load, CD4 testing, and molecular assays ☑ TB diagnosis	10.4 Score: 1.33	VAMS Hospital Quality Report (Annual)	

10.5 Viral Load Infrastructure: Does the host country have sufficient infrastructure to test for viral load to reach sustained epidemic control?	○A. There is not sufficient infrastructure to test for viral load. ◉B. There is sufficient infrastructure to test for viral load, including: ☑ Sufficient HIV viral load instruments ☑ All HIV viral load laboratories have an instrument maintenance program ☑ Sufficient supply chain system is in place to prevent stock out ☐ Adequate specimen transport system and timely return of results	10.5 Score: 1.00	With policy (Decree 151/2107/ND/CP and circular 144/2017/TT-BTC) VL instruments and reagents approved by MOH have been expanded to provincial level.	Turn around time is variable.
10.6 Domestic Funds for Laboratories: To what extent are laboratory services financed by domestic public or private resources (i.e. excluding external donor funding)? (if exact or approximate percentage known, please note in Comments column)	○A. No (0%) laboratory services are financed by domestic resources. ○B. Minimal (approx. 1-9%) laboratory services are financed by domestic resources. ○C. Some (approx. 10-49%) laboratory services are financed by domestic resources. ◉D. Most (approx. 50-89%) laboratory services are financed by domestic resources. ○E. All or almost all (approx. 90%+) laboratory services are financed by domestic resources.	10.6 Score: 2.50	Hospital Laboratory Department annual budget	Laboratory budgets make up 5-15% of total costs. This is an estimate only.
		Laboratory Score:	**7.79**	

THIS CONCLUDES THE SET OF QUESTIONS ON DOMAIN B

Domain C. Strategic Financing and Market Openness

What Success Looks Like: Host country government is aware of the financial resources required to effectively and efficiently meet its national HIV/AIDS prevention, care and treatment targets. HCG actively seeks, solicits and or generates the necessary financial resources, ensures sufficient resource commitments, and uses data to strategically allocate funding and maximize investments. Finally, having and effectively implementing policies that ensure leveraging markets (both nonprofit and for profit) where appropriate and enabling their participation and competition will be critical for a sustained HIV response.

Fiscal Context for Health and HIV/AIDS This section will not be assigned a score, but will provide additional contextual information to complement the questions in Domain C.			**Data Source**	**Notes/Comments**
1. What percentage of general government expenditures goes to health?	50%		Vietnam Health Expenditure Estimate for MoH review (WHO)	Data is WHO estimate for 2016. Official country estimate is 2015 NHA estimate: 48.5%
2. What is the per capita health expenditure all sources?	$120.10		Vietnam Health Expenditure Estimate for MoH review (WHO)	Data is WHO estimate for 2016. Official country estimate is 2015 NHA estimate: $126.17
3. What is the total health care expenditure all sources as a percent of GDP?	5.50%		Vietnam Health Expenditure Estimate for MoH review (WHO)	Data is WHO estimate for 2016. Official country estimate is 2015 NHA estimate: 5.96%
4. What percent of total health expenditures is financed by external resources?	1.90%		Vietnam Health Expenditure Estimate for MoH review (WHO)	Data is WHO estimate for 2016. Official country estimate is 2015 NHA estimate: 1.89%
5. What percent of total health expenditures is financed by out of pocket spending net of household contributions to medical schemes/pre-payment schemes?	45.60%		Vietnam Health Expenditure Estimate for MoH review (WHO)	Data is WHO estimate for 2016. Official country estimate is 2015 NHA estimate: 40.76%

11. Domestic Resource Mobilization: The partner country budgets for its HIV/AIDS response and makes adequate resource commitments and expenditures to achieve national HIV/AIDS goals for epidemic control in line with its financial ability.				**Data Source**	**Notes/Comments**
11.1 Long-term Financing Strategy for HIV/AIDS: Has the host country government developed a long-term financing strategy for HIV/AIDS?	Check all that apply: ☑ A. Yes, there is a universal, comprehensive financing scheme that integrates social health insurance, public subsidies, and national budget provisions for public health aspects (e.g., disease surveillance). It includes the following (check all that apply): ☑ ARVs are covered ☑ Non-ARV care and treatment is covered ☑ Prevention services are covered ☑ B. Yes, there is an affordable health insurance scheme available (check one of the following). ☐ It covers 25% or less of the population. ☐ It covers 26 to 50% of the population. ☐ It covers 51 to 75% of the population. ☑ It covers more than 75% of the population. ☑ C. The affordable health insurance scheme in (B.) includes the following (check all that apply): ☑ ARVs are covered. ☑ Non-ARV care and treatment services are covered. ☐ Prevention services are covered (specify in comments). ☑ It includes public subsidies for the affordability of care.	11.1 Score:	0.87	VAAC 2017 "Sustainable HIV financing proposal for 2013-2020" approved by Decision 1899 QD/TTg by Prime Minister Decision 1125 "National Strategy for Health and Polulation" Decision 2188	Insurance covarage is estimated for PLHIV. For general population, insurance coverage is more than 89%. SHI covers ARV (2019), confirmatory test, consultation fee, some lab tests, OIs, inpatient care. There are group being public susidized for poor, children under 6, veterans, minorities at moutainous, isolated island (100%) in SHI scheme Local funding subsidies are mobilised for copayment of ARV during transition period Prevention services are covered through state budget (central and provincial one) and donor funding now (not covered by SHI).

11.2 Domestic Budget: To what extent does the national budget explicitly account for the national HIV/AIDS response?	○ A. There is no explicit funding for HIV/AIDS in the national budget. ◉ B. There is explicit HIV/AIDS funding within the national budget. ☑ The HIV/AIDS budget is program-based across ministries ☑ The budget includes or references indicators of progress toward national HIV/AIDS strategy goals ☑ The budget includes specific HIV/AIDS service delivery targets ☐ National budget reflects all sources of funding for HIV, including from external donors	11.2 Score: 0.83	National Health Account. The decision 1125/QĐ-TTg on the approval of state budget for population and health program. Provincial approved plan for Population and Health period 2016-2018 and 2019-2020	There is state budget for HIV program as mentioned in the Decision 1125, but the budget is very limited, the central budget for the whole HIV program is about 887 billion VND for 5 years (2016-2020) Formal ODA is documented through MOF but not for ALL resources. Technical Assistance and other forms of funding are not fully recorded / New requirements in policies on use and management of ODA fund revised (including loans and Technical assistance projects) in the Decree 132/ND-CP 2018 (revising the Decree 16/2016 on the use and management of ODA fund).
11.3 Annual Goals/Targets: To what extent does the national budget contain HIV/AIDS goals/targets?	○ A. There are no HIV/AIDS goals/targets articulated in the national budget ◉ B. There are HIV/AIDS goals/targets articulated in the national budget. ☑ The goals/targets are measurable. ☐ Budget items/programs are linked to goals/targets. ☑ The goals/targets are routinely monitored during budget execution. ☑ The goals/targets are routinely monitored during the development of the budget.	11.3 Score: 0.83	The decision 1125/QĐ-TTg on the approval of state budget for population and health program.	VAAC is monitoring this target and goals at national level and collecting information at provincial level regularly for reporting purposes to MOH, MOF and donors(GF, PF). Provincial authorities also monitor the target and goals and develop their budget accordingly Budget Information of HIV spending from Ministerial health departments (MOLISA, MOD, MOPS, MOT...) are not well captured and monitored regularly
11.4 HIV/AIDS Budget Execution: For the previous three years, what was the average execution rate for budgeted domestic HIV/AIDS resources (i.e. excluding any donor funds) at both the national and subnational level? (If subnational data does not exist or is not available, answer the question for the national level. Note level covered in the comments column)	○ A. There is no HIV/AIDS budget, or information is not available. ○ B. 0-49% of budget executed ○ C. 50-69% of budget executed ◉ D. 70-89% of budget executed ○ E. 90% or greater of budget executed	11.4 Score: 0.63	Quantitative data source not yet identified. Expert opinion from VAAC finance departmnet	VAAC expert opinions Provincial approved plans for National for Population and Health period 2016-2020.

11.5 Donor Spending: Does the Ministry of Health or Ministry of Finance routinely, and at least on an annual basis, collect all donor spending in the health sector or for HIV/AIDS-specific services?	○ A. Neither the Ministry of Health nor the Ministry of Finance routinely collects all donor spending in the health sector or for HIV/AIDS-specific services. ○ B. The Ministry of Health or Ministry of Finance routinely collects all donor spending for only HIV/AIDS-specific services. ◉ C. The Ministry of Health or Ministry of Finance routinely collects all donor spending all the entire health sector, including HIV/AIDS-specific services.	11.5 Score: 0.95	National Health Account, ODA implemetation bi- annual report to MPI and MOF	Routine collection started from 2013 but not entire spending from donor VAAC is responsible to collect information and report to GVN agencies routinely
11.6 Domestic Spending: What percent of the annual national HIV response is financed with domestic public and domestic private sector HIV funding? (Domestic funding excludes out-of-pocket, Global Fund grants, and other donor resources)? (if exact or approximate percentage known, please note in Comments column)	○ A. None (0%) is financed with domestic funding. ○ B. Very liitle (approx. 1-9%) is financed with domestic funding. ○ C. Some (approx. 10-49%) is financed with domestic funding. ◉ D. Most (approx. 50-89%) is financed with domestic funding. ○ E. All or almost all (approx. 90%+) is financed with domestic funding.	11.6 Score: 2.50	USAID Sustainable Financing for HIV project estimate for 2019	Estimate for 2019 is 51%. Estimate for 2018 is 46%. USAID Sustainable Financing for HIV project estimate for 2019 is based on NHA 2015, recent GVN and donor sources.
11.7 Health Budget Execution: What was the country's execution rate of its budget for health in the most recent year's budget?	○ A. There is no budget for health or no money was allocated. ○ B. 0-49% of budget executed. ○ C. 50-69% of budget executed. ○ D. 70-89% of budget executed. ◉ E. 90% or greater of budget executed.	11.7 Score: 0.95	MOF website published data for 2016 (for reconsile budget information.	Need to attach the weblink to 56% for capital budget are disbursed and 143% for recurrent budget for 2016-
11.8 Data-Driven Reprogramming: Do host country government policies/systems allow for reprograming domestic investments based on new or updated program data during the government funding cycle?	○ A. There is no system for funding cycle reprogramming. ○ B. There is a policy/system that allows for funding cycle reprogramming, but is seldom used. ◉ C. There is a policy/system that allows for funding cycle reprogramming and reprogramming is done as per the policy, but not based on data. ○ D. There is a policy/system that allows for funding cycle reprogramming and reprogramming is done as per the policy, and is based on data.	11.8 Score: 0.63	State Budget Law allow reprogramming 1-2 times/year	Law on State budget allows for specific cased as per state budget law, but approval according to relevant level from central to local (in emergency cases etc. as per state budget law).
Domestic Resource Mobilization Score:		**8.21**		

12. Technical and Allocative Efficiencies: The host country analyzes and uses relevant HIV/AIDS epidemiological, health, health workforce, and economic data to inform HIV/AIDS investment decisions. For maximizing impact, data are used to choose which high impact program services and interventions are to be implemented, where resources should be allocated, and what populations demonstrate the highest need and should be targeted (i.e. the right thing at the right place and at the right time). Unit costs are tracked and steps are taken to improve HIV/AIDS outcomes within the available resource envelope (or achieves comparable outcomes with fewer resources).			**Data Source**	**Notes/Comments**
12.1 Resource Allocation Process: Does the partner country government utilize a recognized data-driven model to inform the allocation of domestic (i.e. non-donor) public HIV resources? If yes, please note in the comments section when the model was last used and for what purpose (e.g., for Global Fund concept note development) (note: full score achieved by selecting one checkbox)	○ A. The host country government does not use one of the mechanisms listed below to inform the allocation of their resources. ◉ B. The host country government does use the following mechanisms to inform the allocation of their resources (check all that apply): ☐ Optima ☐ Spectrum (including EPP and Goals) ☐ AIDS Epidemic Model (AEM) ☐ Modes of Transmission (MOT) Model ☑ Other recognized process or model (specify in notes column)	12.1 Score: 2.00	Finance department of VAAC confirm that the current practice of budget planning and allocation are based on historical allocation and spending	EPP, AEM data are used for GF concept note and PEPFAR COP planning. The Goals module of Spectrum and and intervention workbook of AEM are not used. Domestic resource allocation not only based on size estimates but other socio-economic factors.
12.2 Geographic Allocation: Of central government HIV-specific resources (excluding any donor funds) allocated to geographic subunits in the most recent year available, what percentage is being allocated in the highest burden geographic areas (i.e. districts that cumulatively account for 80% of PLHIV)? (if exact or approximate percentage known, please note in Comments column)	○ A. Information not available. ○ B. No resources (0%) are targeting the highest burden geographic areas. ○ C. Minimal resources (approx. 1-9%) are targeting the highest burden geographic areas. ○ D. Some resources (approx. 10-49%) are targeting the highest burden geographic areas. ◉ E. Most resources (approx. 50-89%) are targeting the highest burden geographic areas. ○ F. All or almost all resources (approx. 90%+) are targeting the highest burden geographic areas.	12.2 Score: 1.50	USAID Sustainable Financing for HIV estmated this using HIV sub-analysis of National Health Account 2015. VAAC finance people informed that those provinces with high burden diseases will be allocated 30% more budget than others and total accumulated to more than 50% of total annual budget	23 provinces with the burden of 80% of total HIV patients accounted for 53.8% of central government expenditures for HIV. GVN expenditure from 2015 NHA.

12.3 Information on cost of service provision: Does the host country government have a system that routinely produces information on the costs of providing HIV/AIDS services, and is this information used for budgeting or planning purposes? (note: full score can be achieved without checking all disaggregate boxes).	○ A. The host country DOES NOT have a system that routinely produces information on the costs of providing HIV/AIDS services. ○ B. The host country has a system that routinely produces information on the costs of providing HIV/AIDS services, but this information is not used for budgeting or planning. ◉ C. The host country has a system that routinely produces information on the costs of providing HIV/AIDS services AND this information is used for bugeting or planning purposes for the following services (check all that apply): ☑ HIV Testing ☑ Laboratory services ☑ ART ☐ PMTCT ☐ VMMC ☐ OVC Service Package ☐ Key population Interventions ☐ PrEP	12.3 Score: 1.60	MOH issue annual Circular regulating health services price for SHI reimubursement and frame price for non SHI reimbursement- and those will be reviewed and adjusted anually based on variation of service cost. Those price will be used to estimate budget planning	The country have adhoc costing information for Prep , key population interventions but not as routinery one (PEPFAR perspective)
12.4 Improving Efficiency: Has the partner country achieved any of the following efficiency improvements through actions taken within the last three years?	Check all that apply: ☐ Improved operations or interventions based on the findings of cost-effectiveness or efficiency studies ☐ Reduced overhead costs by streamlining management ☑ Lowered unit costs by reducing fragmentation, i.e. pooled procurement, resource pooling, etc. ☑ Improved procurement competition ☑ Integrated HIV/AIDS into national or subnational insurance schemes (private or public -- need not be within last three years) ☑ Integrated HIV into primary care services with linkages to specialist care (need not be within last three years) ☑ Integrated TB and HIV services, including ART initiation in TB treatment settings and TB screening and treatment in HIV care settings (need not be within last three years)	12.4 Score: 1.56	VAAC annual reports. Expert opinion through concensus workshop from VAAC, partners and VSS	Mainstreaming management to reduce operation cost are implementing through merging project management units at central level and merging PAC into CDC function at provincial level. Those action started last year and going to be in the next coming years

	☑ Integrated HIV and MCH services, including ART initiated and maintained in eligible pregnant and postpartum women and in infants at maternal and child health care settings (need not be within last three years) ☑ Developed and implemented other new and more efficient models of HIV service delivery (e.g., multi-month scripting, testing modalities targeted to the population profile, etc. - specify in comments)			
12.5 ARV Benchmark prices: How do the costs of ARVs (most common first line regimen) purchased in the previous year by the partner government using domestic resources compare to international benchmark prices for that year? (Use the "factory cost" of purchased commodities, excluding transport costs, distribution costs, etc.)	○ A. Partner government did not pay for any ARVs using domestic resources in the previous year. ○ B. Average price paid for ARVs by the partner government in the previous year was more than 50% greater than the international benchmark price for that regimen. ○ C. Average price paid for ARVs by the partner government in the previous year was 10-50% greater than the international benchmark price for that regimen. ○ D. Average price paid for ARVs by the partner government in the previous year was 1-10% greater than the international benchmark price for that regimen. ◉ E. Average price paid for ARVs by the partner government in the previous year was below or equal to the international benchmark price for that regimen.	12.5 Score: 2.00	National Centralised Drug Procurement Centred appoved the selection of ARV suppliers that specified detailed prices for ARV from public bidding results for most common First line drug	http://bit.ly/Decision56 Second line drug are not available at VN market for domestic procurement
Technical and Allocative Efficiencies Score:		**8.66**		

13. Market Openness: Host country and donor policies do not negatively distort the market for HIV services by reducing participation and/or competition.				**Data Source**	**Notes/Comments**
13.1 Granting exclusive rights for services or training: Do national government or donor (e.g., PEPFAR, GFATM, etc.) policies grant exclusive rights for the government or another local provider to provide HIV services?	Do national government or donor (e.g., PEPFAR, GFATM, etc.) polices: A. Restrict the provision of any one aspect of HIV prevention, testing, counseling, or treatment services to a single entity (i.e., creating a monopoly arrangement for that service)? ☐ Yes ☑ No B. Mandate that only government facilities have the exclusive right to provide any one aspect of HIV prevention, testing, counseling, or treatment services? ☐ Yes ☑ No C. Grant exclusive rights to government institutions for providing health service training? ☐ Yes ☑ No	13.1 Score:	0.36	No clear source is provided but particpants agreed that is the case	No source of information
13.2 Requiring license or authorization: Do national government or donor (e.g., PEPFAR, GFATM, etc.) policies establish a license, permit or authorization process as a requirement of operation?	A. Are health facilities required to obtain a government-mandated license or accreditation in order to provide HIV services? [SELECT ONE] ☐ No ☑ Yes, and the enforcement of the accreditation places equal burden on nongovernment facilities (e.g., FBOs, CBOs, or private sector) and government facilities. ☐ Yes, and the enforcement of the accreditation places higher burden on nongovernment facilities (e.g., FBOs, CBOs, or private sector) than on government facilities. B. Are health training institutions required to obtain a government-mandated license or accreditation in order to provide health service training? [SELECT ONE] ☐ No ☑ Yes, and the enforcement of the accreditation places equal burden on nongovernment institutions (e.g., FBOs, CBOs, or private sector) and government institutions. ☐ Yes, and the enforcement of the accreditation places higher burden on nongovernment institutions (e.g., FBOs, CBOs, or private sector) than on government institutions.	13.2 Score:	0.36	Based on discussion with multiple stakeholders during SID workshop	

13.3 Limiting provision of certain direct clinical services: Do national government or donor (e.g., PEPFAR, GFATM, etc.) policies limit the ability of licensed, local providers to provide certain direct clinical services?	National government or donor (e.g., PEPFAR, GFATM, etc.) policies limit the ability of licensed, local health service providers to offer the following HIV services: ☐ Prevention ☐ Testing and Counseling ☐ Treatment	13.3 Score: 0.36	Based on discussion with multiple stakeholders during SID workshop	No known limits
13.4 Limiting provision of certain clinical support services: Do national government or donor (e.g., PEPFAR, GFATM, etc.) policies limit the ability of licensed local providers to provide certain clinical support services?	A. Do national government or donor (e.g., PEPFAR, GFATM, etc.) policies restrict the ability of licensed, local institutions from providing essential HIV laboratory services? ☐ Yes ☑ No B. Do national government or donor (e.g., PEPFAR, GFATM, etc.) policies create monopoly arrangements in lab testing (i.e., arrangements where effectively only one lab service provider is allowed to conduct a certain essential HIV lab service)? ☐ Yes ☑ No C. National government or donor (e.g., PEPFAR, GFATM, etc.) policies restrict the ability of licensed, local institutions from procuring or distributing the following HIV commodities and supplies [PLEASE SPECIFY TYPE IN NOTES]: ☐ ARVs ☐ Test kits ☐ Laboratory supplies ☐ Other D. Do national government or donor (e.g., PEPFAR, GFATM, etc.) policies create monopoly supply chain arrangements for HIV commodities (i.e., arrangements where effectively only one entity is able to supply a certain essential HIV commodity)? ☐ Yes ☑ No	13.4 Score: 0.36	Based on discussion with multiple stakeholders during SID workshop	Only certified Lab being able to provide confirmation test and VL.- so applied for Question A and B. ARV and VL Testkit (?) are all Central procured. ARV was central procured and paid under GVN decision 2188 through open domestic bidding. Only Company with drug registration in Vietnam could be able to bid

13.5 Limits on local manufacturing: Do national government policies limit the ability of the local manufacturing industry to compete with the international market?	A. Do national government policies restrict the production of HIV commodities and supplies by local manufacturers beyond international standards? ○ Yes ◉ No B. [IF YES] For which of the following is local manufacturing restricted? ☐ ARVs ☐ Test kits ☐ Laboratory supplies ☐ Other	13.5 Score: 0.36	Based on discussion with multiple stakeholders during SID workshop	B - No known local manufacturing restriction.
13.6 Cost of entry/exit: Do national government or donor (e.g., PEPFAR, GFATM, etc.) policies significantly raise the cost of entry or exit by a local provider?	Do local health service facilities face higher start-up or maintenance costs compared to government or donor (e.g., PEPFAR, GFATM, etc.) supported facilities (e.g., lack of access to funds, higher accreditation fees, prohibitive contracting costs, etc.)? ☑ Yes ☐ No	13.6 Score: 0.00	Based on discussion with multiple stakeholders during SID workshop	
13.7 Geographical barriers: Do national government or donor (e.g., PEPFAR, GFATM, etc.) policies create geographical barriers for local providers to supply goods, services or labor, or invest capital?	A. Are certain geographical areas restricted to only government or donor-supported HIV service providers? ○ Yes ◉ No B. [IF YES] Which of the following are geographically restricted? ☐ Supplying HIV supplies and commodities ☐ Supplying HIV services or health workforce labor ☐ Investing capital (e.g., constructing or renovating facilities)	13.7 Score: 0.36	Based on discussion with multiple stakeholders during SID workshop	There is no available source for country health budget execution.
13.8 Freedom to advertise: Do national government or donor (e.g., PEPFAR, GFATM, etc.) policies limit the freedom of local organizations to advertise or market HIV goods or services? [Note: "organizations" in this case can refer broadly to clinical service providers and also organizations providing advocacy and promotion services.]	Do national government or donor (e.g., PEPFAR, GFATM, etc.) policies restrict the freedom of nongovernment (e.g., FBOs, CBOs, or private sector) organizations to advertise or promote HIV services either online, over TV and radio, or in public spaces? ☐ Yes ☑ No	13.8 Score: 0.63	Based on discussion with multiple stakeholders during SID workshop	No restriction but should follow Government regulation.

13.9 Quality standards for HIV services: Do national government or donor (e.g., PEPFAR, GFATM, etc.) policies, and the enforcement of those polices, hold all HIV service providers (government-run, local private sector, FBOs, etc.) to the same standards of service quality?	Do national government or donor (e.g., PEPFAR, GFATM, etc.) policies, and the enforcement of those polices, hold all HIV service providers (government-run, local private sector, FBOs, etc.) to the same standards of service quality? [CHECK ALL THAT APPLY] ☑ Yes ☐ No, government service providers are held to higher standards than nongovernment service providers ☐ No, FBOs/CSOs are held to higher standards than government service providers ☐ No, private sector providers are held to higher standards than government service providers	13.9 Score: 0.63	Government guidelines are issued	
13.10 Quality standards for HIV commodities: Do national government policies set standards for product quality that provide an advantage to some commodity suppliers over others?	Do national government policies set product quality standards on HIV commodities that advantage some suppliers over others? [IF YES, PLEASE EXPLAIN IN NOTES] ☐ Yes ☑ No	13.10 Score: 0.63	Based on discussion with multiple stakeholders during SID workshop	
13.11 Cost of service provision: Do national government or donor (e.g., PEPFAR, GFATM, etc.) policies significantly raise the cost of service provision for some local providers relative to others (especially by treating incumbents differently from new entrants)?	A. Do government HIV service providers receive greater subsidies or support of overhead expenses (e.g., operational support) as compared to nongovernment (e.g., FBOs, CBOs, or private sector) HIV service providers? ☑ Yes ☐ No B. Does the national government selectively subsidize certain nongovernment (e.g., FBOs, CBOs, or private sector), local HIV service providers over others? ☐ Yes ☑ No C. Do government health training institutions receive greater subsidies or support of overhead expenses as compared to nongovernment (e.g., FBOs, CBOs, or private sector) health training institutions? ☑ Yes ☐ No D. Does the national government selectively subsidize certain nongovernment (e.g., FBOs, CBOs, or private sector), local health service training institutions over others? ☐ Yes ☑ No	13.11 Score: 0.31	No quantitative data source identified -- based on general knowledge.	
13.12 Self-regulation: Do national government or donor (e.g., PEPFAR, GFATM, etc.) policies allow for the creation of a self-regulatory or co-	Do national government or donor (e.g., PEPFAR, GFATM, etc.) policies allow HIV service providers—either groups of individuals or groups of institutions—to create structural barriers (e.g., closed network systems) that may reduce the incentive of other potential providers to provide HIV services?	13.12 Score: 1.25	Based on discussion with multiple stakeholders during SID workshop	

regulatory regime?	☐ Yes ☑ No			
13.13 Publishing of provider information: Do national government or donor (e.g., PEPFAR, GFATM, etc.) policies require or encourage information on local providers' outputs, prices, sales or costs to be published?	A. National government or donor (e.g., PEPFAR, GFATM, etc.) policies require nongovernment (e.g., FBOs, CBOs, or private sector) health service facilities to publish more data than government facilities on the following [CHECK ALL THAT APPLY]: ☐ HIV service caseload ☐ Procurement of HIV supplies/commodities ☐ Expenses B. National government or donor (e.g., PEPFAR, GFATM, etc.) policies require HIV commodity suppliers to publish data on the following [CHECK ALL THAT APPLY]: ☐ Distribution ☐ Sales/Revenue ☐ Production costs	13.13 Score: 1.25	Based on discussion with multiple stakeholders during SID workshop	None checked since not applicable.
13.14 Patient choice: Do national government or donor (e.g., PEPFAR, GFATM, etc.) policies limit the ability of patients to decide which providers or products to use?	Do national government or donor (e.g., PEPFAR, GFATM, etc.) policies restrict the ability of patients or specific groups of patients to choose: A. Which HIV service providers they use? ☐ Yes ☑ No B. Which HIV supplies/commodities they use (e.g., ARVs, PrEP, condoms, needles, etc.)? ☐ Yes ☑ No	13.14 Score: 1.25	SHI benefit package regulated by MOH	Only ARV and tests in the list of SHI benefit package and available at facilities are being prescribed for patients accessing services at those sites
13.15 Patient mobility: Do national government or donor (e.g., PEPFAR, GFATM, etc.) policies reduce mobility of patients between HIV service providers by increasing the explicit or implicit costs of changing providers?	Do national government or donor (e.g., PEPFAR, GFATM, etc.) policies impose costs or other barriers that restrict a patient's ability to transfer from a government HIV service provider to a nongovernment (e.g., FBO, CBO, or private sector) HIV service provider? ☐ Yes ☑ No	13.15 Score: 1.25	Based on discussion with multiple stakeholders during SID workshop	Note that access to SHI may be a barrier as only few non-government facilities provide HIV services through SHI. Though, donor-supported non-government facilities will provide free services - so no barrier to access.
	Market Openness Score:	**9.33**		

THIS CONCLUDES THE SET OF QUESTIONS ON DOMAIN C

Domain D: Strategic Information

What Success Looks Like: Using local and national systems, the host country government collects, analyzes and makes available timely, comprehensive, and quality HIV/AIDS data (including epidemiological, economic/financial, and performance data) that can be used to inform policy, program and funding decisions.

14.Epidemiological and Health data: Host Country Government routinely collects, analyzes and makes available data on the HIV/AIDS epidemic and its effects on health outcomes. HIV/AIDS epidemiological and health data include size estimates of key populations, PLHIV, HIV incidence, HIV prevalence, viral load and AIDS-related mortality rates.			**Data Source**	**Notes/Comments**
14.1 Management and Monitoring of Surveillance Activities: Does an administrative entity, such as a national office or Bureau/s, exist with specific authority to manage - plan, monitor, and provide guidance - for HIV/AIDS epidemiological surveys and/or surveillance activities including: data collection, analysis and interpretation; data storage and retrieval; and quality assurance across all sectors. Select only ONE answer.	○ No, there is no entity. ○ Yes, there is an entity, but it has limited authority, insufficient staff, and insufficient budget ◉ Yes, there is an entity with authority and sufficient staff, but not a sufficient budget. ○ Yes, there is an entity with authority and sufficient staff and budget.	14.1 Score: 0.56	Based on discussion with multiple stakeholders during SID workshop	
14.2 Who Leads General Population Surveys & Surveillance: To what extent does the host country government lead and manage planning and implementation of the HIV/AIDS portfolio of general population epidemiological surveys and surveillance activities (population-based household surveys, case reporting/clinical surveillance, drug resistance surveillance, etc.)?	○ A. No HIV/AIDS general population surveys or surveillance activities have been conducted within the past 5 years ○ B. Surveys & surveillance activities are primarily planned and implemented by external agencies, organizations or institutions ○ C. Surveys & surveillance activities are planned and implemented by the host country government/other domestic institution, with substantial technical assistance from external agencies ◉ D. Surveys & surveillance activities are planned and implemented by the host country government/other domestic institution, with some technical assistance from external agencies ○ E. Surveys & surveillance activities are planned and implemented by the host country government/other domestic institution, with minimal or no technical assistance from external agencies	14.2 Score: 0.63	Based on discussion with multiple stakeholders during SID workshop	Vietnam is concentrated epidemic country with prevalence among general population is less than 1% - is not required to conduct general population surveys and surveilances. But the country has been conducting case reporting and drug resistance
14.3 Who Leads Key Population Surveys & Surveillance: To what extent does the host country government lead & manage planning and implementation of the HIV/AIDS portfolio of key population epidemiological surveys and/or behavioral surveillance activities (IBBS, size estimation studies, etc.)?	○ A. No HIV/AIDS key population surveys or surveillance activities have been conducted within the past 5 years ○ B. Surveys & surveillance activities are primarily planned and implemented by external agencies, organizations or institutions ○ C. Surveys & surveillance activities are planned and implemented by the host country government/other domestic institution, with substantial technical assistance from external agencies ◉ D. Surveys & surveillance activities are planned and implemented by the host country government/other domestic institution, with some technical assistance from external agencies ○ E. Surveys & surveillance activities are planned and implemented by the host country government/other domestic institution, without minimal or no technical assistance from external agencies	14.3 Score: 0.63	Based on discussion with multiple stakeholders during SID workshop	

14.4 Who Finances General Population Surveys & Surveillance: To what extent does the host country government fund the HIV/AIDS portfolio of general population epidemiological surveys and/or surveillance activities (e.g., protocol development, printing of paper-based tools, salaries and transportation for data collection, etc.)? (if exact or approximate percentage known, please note in Comments column)	○A. No HIV/AIDS general population surveys or surveillance activities have been conducted within the past 5 years ○B. No financing (0%) is provided by the host country government ○C. Minimal financing (approx. 1-9%) is provided by the host country government ○D. Some financing (approx. 10-49%) is provided by the host country government ◉E. Most financing (approx. 50-89%) is provided by the host country government ○F. All or almost all financing (90% +) is provided by the host country government	14.4 Score: 1.25	Based on discussion with multiple stakeholders during SID workshop	Vietnam is concentrated epidemic country with prevalence among general population is less than 1% - is not required to conduct general population surveys and surveilances. But the country has been conducting case reporting and drug resistance.
14.5 Who Finances Key Populations Surveys & Surveillance: To what extent does the host country government fund the HIV/AIDS portfolio of key population epidemiological surveys and/or behavioral surveillance activities (e.g., protocol development, printing of paper-based tools, salaries and transportation for data collection, etc.)? (if exact or approximate percentage known, please note in Comments column)	○A. No HIV/AIDS key population surveys or surveillance activities have been conducted within the past 5 years ○B. No financing (0%) is provided by the host country government ○C. Minimal financing (approx. 1-9%) is provided by the host country government ○D. Some financing (approx. 10-49%) is provided by the host country government ◉E. Most financing (approx. 50-89%) is provided by the host country government ○F. All or almost all financing (approx. 90% +) is provided by the host country government	14.5 Score: 1.25	Based on discussion with multiple stakeholders during SID workshop	VAAC lead HSS+ activity in Vietnam. PEPFAR and other international donors are supporting 20 out of 63 provinces.

14.6 Comprehensiveness of Prevalence and Incidence Data: To what extent does the host country government collect HIV prevalence and incidence data according to relevant disaggregations, populations and geographic units?	Check ALL boxes that apply below. (A.) refers to prevalence data. (B.) refers to incidence data: ☑ A. The host country government collects at least every 5 years HIV prevalence data disaggregated by: ☑ Age (at coarse disaggregates) ☑ Age (at fine disaggregates) ☑ Sex ☑ Key populations (FSW, PWID, MSM, TG, prisoners) ☐ Priority populations (AGYW, clients of sex workers, military, mobile populations, non-injecting drug users) ☑ Sub-national units ☑ B. The host country government collects at least every 5 years HIV incidence disaggregated by: ☑ Age (at coarse disaggregates) ☑ Age (at fine disaggregates) ☑ Sex ☑ Key populations (FSW, PWID, MSM, TG, prisoners) ☐ Priority populations (AGYW, clients of sex workers, military, mobile populations, non-injecting drug users) ☑ Sub-national units	14.6 Score: 0.83	Based on discussion with multiple stakeholders during SID workshop	Key Pop include MSM, FSW, and PWID. No activities are implemented among TG, prisoners Key Pop data available for 16+ year of age and gender specific Incidence data has just been collected since 2018 among KP in routine HIV sentinel surveillance.

14.7 Comprehensiveness of Viral Load Coverage Data: To what extent does the host country government collect/report viral load coverage data according to relevant disaggregations and across all PLHIV? (if exact or approximate percentage is known, please note in Comments column)	○ A. The host country government does not collect/report viral load coverage data or does not conduct viral load monitoring ◉ B. The host country government collects/reports viral load coverage data (answer both subsections below): Government collects/report viral load coverage data according to the following disaggregates (check ALL that apply): ☑ Age ☑ Sex ☐ Key populations (FSW, PWID, MSM, TG, prisoners) ☐ Priority populations (AGYW, clients of sex workers, military, mobile populations, non-injecting drug users) For what proportion of PLHIV does the government collect/report viral load coverage data (select one of the following): ☐ Less than 25% ☐ 25-50% ☑ 50-75% ☐ More than 75%	14.7 Score: 0.52	Based on discussion with multiple stakeholders during SID workshop	National Routine report started collecting information about viral load from early 2019. New official guidance to report disaggregation data from VAAC in 2018.
14.8 Comprehensiveness of Key and Priority Populations Data: To what extent does the host country government conduct integrated behavioral surveillance (either as a standalone IBBS or integrated into other routine surveillance such as HSS+) and size estimation studies for key and priority populations? (Note: Full score possible without selecting all disaggregates.) Please note most recent survey dates in comments section.	○ A. The host country government does not conduct IBBS or size estimation studies for key populations (FSW, PWID, MSM, TG, prisoners) or priority populations (Military, etc.). ◉ B. The host country government conducts (answer both subsections below): IBBS (or other integrated behavioral surveillance) for (check ALL that apply): ☑ Female sex workers (FSW) ☑ Men who have sex with men (MSM) ☐ Transgender (TG) ☑ People who inject drugs (PWID) ☐ Prisoners ☐ Priority populations (AGYW, clients of sex workers, military, mobile populations, non-injecting drug users) Size estimation studies for (check ALL that apply): ☑ Female sex workers (FSW) ☑ Men who have sex with men (MSM) ☑ Transgender (TG) ☑ People who inject drugs (PWID) ☐ Prisoners ☐ Priority populations (AGYW, clients of sex workers, miliitary, mobile populations, non-injecting drug users)	14.8 Score: 0.73	Based on discussion with multiple stakeholders during SID workshop	IBBS no longer being conducted but integrated behaviorial surveillance is included in routine HSS/HSS+ conducted by GVN. Last round was in 2013. Size estimation exercise has been completed in Ho Chi Minh city, Son La and in Thai Nguyen (PWID); Some provinces completed among FSWs in Ho Chi Minh city in 2016 and in Hai Phong in 2019; MSM completed in 16 provinces (using social app) by 2018. Size estimation among TG was piloted in Ha Noi in 2019.

14.9 Timeliness of Epi and Surveillance Data: To what extent is a timeline for the collection of epidemiologic and surveillance data outlined in a national HIV/AIDS surveillance and survey strategy (or a national surveillance and survey strategy with specifics for HIV)?	○ A. There is no national HIV surveillance and surveys strategy, or a national surveillance and surveys strategy exists but does not include specifics for HIV surveillance and surveys ○ B. A national HIV surveillance and surveys strategy exists (or a national surveillance and surveys strategy exists and includes specifics for HIV), but the strategy does not outline a timeline for data collection for all relevant population groups ◉ C. A national HIV surveillance and surveys strategy exists (or a national surveillance and surveys strategy exists and includes specifics for HIV), and outlines a timeline for data collection for all relevant population groups	14.9 Score: 0.83	Based on discussion with multiple stakeholders during SID workshop	
14.10 Quality of Surveillance and Survey Data: To what extent does the host country government define and implement policies, procedures and governance structures that assure quality of HIV/AIDS surveillance and survey data?	○ A. No governance structures, procedures or policies designed to assure surveys & surveillance data quality exist/could be documented. ◉ B. The following structures, procedures or policies exist to assure quality of surveys & surveillance data (check all that apply): ☑ A national surveillance unit or other entity is responsible for assuring the quality of surveys & surveillance data ☑ A national, approved surveys & surveillance strategy is in place, which outlines standards, policies and procedures for data quality assurance ☑ Standard national procedures & protocols exist for reviewing surveys & surveillance data for quality and sharing feedback with appropriate staff responsible for data collection ☑ An in-country internal review board (IRB) exists and reviews all protocols.	14.10 Score: 0.83	Based on discussion with multiple stakeholders during SID workshop	
	Epidemiological and Health Data Score:	**8.06**		

15. Financial/Expenditure data: Government collects, tracks and analyzes and makes available financial data related to HIV/AIDS, including the financing and spending on HIV/AIDS expenditures from all financing sources, costing, and economic evaluation, efficiency and market demand analyses for cost-effectiveness.			**Data Source**	**Notes/Comments**
15.1 Who Leads Collection of Expenditure Data: To what extent does the host country government lead & manage a national expenditure tracking system to collect HIV/AIDS expenditure data?	○A. No tracking of public HIV/AIDS expenditures has occurred within the past 5 years ○B. Collection of public HIV/AIDS expenditure data occurs using a standard tool (i.e. NASA, NHA), but planning and implementation is primarily led by external agencies, organizations, or institutions ○C. Collection of public HIV/AIDS expenditure data occurs using a standard tool (i.e. NASA, NHA) and planning and implementation is led by the host country government, with substantial external technical assistance ◉D. Collection of public HIV/AIDS expenditure data occurs using a standard tool (i.e. NASA, NHA) and planning and implementation is led by the host country government, with some external technical assistance ○E. Collection of public HIV/AIDS expenditure data occurs using a standard tool (i.e. NASA, NHA), and planning and implementation is led by the host country government, with minimal or no external technical assistance	15.1 Score: 2.50	Based on discussion with multiple stakeholders during SID workshop	
15.2 Comprehensiveness of Expenditure Data: To what extent does the host country government collect HIV/AIDS public sector expenditures according to funding source, expenditure type, program and geographic area?	○A. No HIV/AIDS expenditure tracking has occurred within the past 5 years ◉B. HIV/AIDS expenditure data are collected (check all that apply): ☑ By source of financing, such as domestic public, domestic private, out-of-pocket, Global Fund, PEPFAR, others ☑ By expenditures per program area, such as prevention, care, treatment, health systems strengthening ☑ By type of expenditure, such as training, overhead, vehicles, supplies, commodities/reagents, personnel ☑ Sub-nationally	15.2 Score: 3.33	Based on discussion with multiple stakeholders during SID workshop	NHA category does not match with GAM categories.
15.3 Timeliness of Expenditure Data: To what extent are expenditure data collected in a timely way to inform program planning and budgeting decisions?	○A. No HIV/AIDS expenditure data are collected ○B. HIV/AIDS expenditure data are collected irregularly, and more than 3 years ago ○C. HIV/AIDS expenditure data were collected at least once in the past 3 years ○D. HIV/AIDS expenditure data are collected annually but represent more than one year of expenditures ◉E. HIV/AIDS expenditure data are collected annually and represent only one year of expenditures	15.3 Score: 3.33		
	Financial/Expenditure Data Score:	**9.17**		

16. Performance data: Government routinely collects, reports, analyzes and makes available HIV/AIDS service delivery data. Service delivery data are analyzed to track program performance, i.e. coverage of key interventions, results against targets, and the continuum of care and treatment cascade, including linkage to care, adherence and retention, and viral load testing coverage and suppression.			**Data Source**	**Notes/Comments**
16.1 Who Leads Collection and Reporting of Service Delivery Data: To what extent is the routine collection and reporting of HIV/AIDS service delivery data institutionalized in an information system and managed and operated by the host country government at the national level?	○A. No system exists for routine collection of HIV/AIDS service delivery data ○B. Multiple unharmonized or parallel information systems exist that are managed and operated separately by various government entities, local institutions and/or external agencies/institutions ○C. One information system, or a harmonized set of complementary information systems, exists and is primarily managed and operated by an external agency/institution ◉D. One information system, or a harmonized set of complementary information systems, exists and is managed and operated by the host country government with technical assistance from external agency/institution ○E. One information system, or a harmonized set of complementary information systems, exists and is managed and operated by the host country government	16.1 Score: 1.00	Based on discussion with multiple stakeholders during SID workshop	Government has been managing HIV information systems via Vietnam regulations and laws. Additional information (MER) required by PEPFAR is still managed separately.
16.2 Who Finances Collection of Service Delivery Data: To what extent does the host country government finance the routine collection of HIV/AIDS service delivery data (e.g., salaries of data clerks/M&E staff, printing & distribution of paper-based tools, electronic reporting system maintenance, data quality supervision, etc.)? (if exact or approximate percentage known, please note in Comments column)	○A. No routine collection of HIV/AIDS service delivery data exists ○B. No financing (0%) is provided by the host country government ○C. Minimal financing (approx. 1-9%) is provided by the host country government ○D. Some financing (approx. 10-49%) is provided by the host country government ○E. Most financing (approx. 50-89%) is provided by the host country government ◉F. All or almost all financing (90% +) is provided by the host country government	16.2 Score: 3.33	Based on discussion with multiple stakeholders during SID workshop	

16.3 Comprehensiveness of Service Delivery Data: To what extent does the host country government collect HIV/AIDS service delivery data by population, program and geographic area? (Note: Full score possible without selecting all disaggregates.)	Check ALL boxes that apply below: ☑ A. The host country government routinely collects & reports service delivery data for: ☑ HIV Testing ☑ PMTCT ☑ Adult Care and Support ☑ Adult Treatment ☑ Pediatric Care and Support ☐ Orphans and Vulnerable Children ☐ Voluntary Medical Male Circumcision ☑ HIV Prevention ☑ AIDS-related mortality ☑ B. Service delivery data are being collected: ☑ By key population (FSW, PWID, MSM, TG, prisoners) ☐ By priority population (AGYW, clients of sex workers, military, mobile populations, non-injecting drug users) ☐ By age & sex ☐ From all facility sites (public, private, faith-based, etc.) ☑ From all community sites (public, private, faith-based, etc.)	16.3 Score: 1.00	Based on discussion with multiple stakeholders during SID workshop	System to collect data at all community sites (known as commune level) except private and faith-based service providers. Age and sex disagregation are not availbale for all indicators.
16.4 Timeliness of Service Delivery Data: To what extent are HIV/AIDS service delivery data collected in a timely way to inform analysis of program performance?	○ A. The host country government does not routinely collect/report HIV/AIDS service delivery data ○ B. The host country government collects & reports service delivery data annually ○ C. The host country government collects & reports service delivery data semi-annually ◉ D. The host country government collects & reports service delivery data at least quarterly	16.4 Score: 1.33	Based on discussion with multiple stakeholders during SID workshop	

16.5 Analysis of Service Delivery Data: To what extent does the host country government routinely analyze service delivery data to measure program performance (i.e., continuum of care cascade, coverage, retention, viral suppression, AIDS-related mortality rates)?	○ A. The host country government does not routinely analyze service delivery data to measure program performance ◉ B. Service delivery data are being analyzed to measure program performance in the following ways (check all that apply): ☐ Continuum of care cascade for each identified priority population (AGYW, clients of sex workers, military, mobile populations, non-injecting drug users), including HIV testing, linkage to care, treatment, adherence and retention, and viral load ☐ Continuum of care cascade for each relevant key population (FSW, PWID, MSM, TG, prisoners), including HIV testing, linkage to care, treatment, adherence and retention, and viral load ☑ Results against targets ☑ Coverage or recent achievements of key treatment & prevention services (ART, PMTCT, VMMC, etc.) ☑ Site-specific yield for HIV testing (HTC and PMTCT) ☑ AIDS-related mortality rates ☑ Variations in performance by sub-national unit ☑ Creation of maps to facilitate geographic analysis	16.5 Score: 1.00	Based on discussion with multiple stakeholders during SID workshop	Site-specific yield for HIV testing available for donor-supported sites only.
16.6 Quality of Service Delivery Data: To what extent does the host country government define and implement policies, procedures and governance structures that assure quality of HIV/AIDS service delivery data?	○ A. No governance structures, procedures or policies designed to assure service delivery data quality exist/could be documented. ◉ B. The following structures, procedures or policies exist to assure quality of service delivery data (check all that apply): ☑ A national, approved data quality strategy is in place, which outlines standards, policies and procedures for HIV/AIDS data quality assurance ☑ A national protocol exists for routine (at least annual) Data Quality Audits/Assessments of key HIV program indicators, which are led and implemented by the host country government ☑ Standard national procedures & protocols exist for routine data quality checks at the point of data entry ☐ Data quality reports are published and shared with relevant ministries/government entities & partner organizations ☑ The host country government leads routine (at least annual) data review meetings at national & subnational levels to review data quality issues and outline improvement plans	16.6 Score: 1.07	Based on discussion with multiple stakeholders during SID workshop	
		Performance Data Score: **8.73**		

17. Data for Decision-Making Ecosystem: Host country government demonstrates commitment and capacity to advance the use of data in informing government decisions and cultivating an informed, engaged civil society.			**Data Source**	**Notes/Comments**
17.1 Civil Registration and Vital Statistics (CRVS): Is there a CRVS system in place that records births and deaths and is fully operational across the country? Is CRVS data made publically available in a timely manner?	○ A. No, there is not a CRVS system. ◉ B. Yes, there is a CRVS system that... (check all that apply): ☑ records births ☑ records deaths ☐ is fully operational across the country [IF YES] How often is CRVS data updated and made publically available (select only one)? ☑ A. The host country government does not make CRVS data available to the general public, or they are made available more than one year after the date of collection. ☐ B. The host country government makes CRVS data available to the general public within 6-12 months. ☐ C. The host country government makes CRVS data available to the general public within 6 months.	17.1 Score: 0.67	Based on discussion with multiple stakeholders during SID workshop	
17.2 Unique Identification: Is there a national Unique Identification system that is used to track delivery of HIV/AIDS and other health services? Do national polices protect privacy of Unique ID information?	Is there a national Unique Identification system that is used to track delivery of HIV/AIDS and other health services? ◉ A. No, there is no national Unique Identification system used to track delivery of services for HIV/AIDS or other health services. ○ B. Yes, there is a national Unique Identification system used to track delivery of services for HIV/AIDS, but not other health services. ○ C. Yes, there is a national Unique Identification system used to track delivery of services for HIV/AIDS and other health services. [IF YES to B or C] Are there national policies, procedures and systems in place that protect the security and privacy of Unique ID information? ☐ Yes ☑ No	17.2 Score: 0.00	Based on discussion with multiple stakeholders during SID workshop	Social health insurance ID used, but only available for patients holding a social health insurance card.

17.3 Interoperability of National Administrative Data: To fully utilize all administrative data, are HIV/AIDS data and other relevant administrative data sources integrated in a data warehouse where they are joined for analysis across diseases and conditions?	◉ A. No, there is no central integration of HIV/AIDS data with other relevant administrative data. ○ B. Yes, national HIV/AIDS administrative data is integrated and joined with administrative data on the following: ☐ a. TB ☐ b. Maternal and Child Health ☐ c. Other Health Data (e.g., other communicable and non-communicable diseases) ☐ d. Education ☐ e. Health Systems Information (e.g., health workforce data) ☐ f. Poverty and Employment ☐ g. Other (specify in notes)	17.3 Score: 0.00	Based on discussion with multiple stakeholders during SID workshop	
17.4 Census Data: Does the host country government regularly (at least every 10 years) collect and publically disseminate census data?	○ A. No, the host country government does not collect census data at least every 10 years ○ B. Yes, the host country government regularly collects census data, but does not make it available to the general public. ◉ C. Yes, the host country government regularly collects census data and makes it available to the general public. [IF YES to C only] Data that are made available to the public are disaggregated by: ☑ a. Age ☑ b. Sex ☑ c. District	17.4 Score: 2.00	Population census data 2019 managed by GSO/MPI	
17.5 Subnational Administrative Units: Are the boundaries of subnational administrative units made public (including district and site level)?	○ A. No, the country's subnational administrative boundaries are not made public. ◉ B. Yes, the host country government publicizes district-level boundaries, but not site-level geocodes. ○ C. Yes, the host country government publicizes district-level boundaries and site-level geocodes.	17.5 Score: 1.00	Based on discussion with multiple stakeholders during SID workshop	
	Data for Decision-Making Ecosystem Score:	**3.67**		

THIS CONCLUDES THE SET OF QUESTIONS ON DOMAIN D