PEPFAR Haiti SID 4.0 Narrative

SID Overview

The HIV/AIDS Sustainability Index and Dashboard (SID) is a tool completed every two years by PEPFAR teams and partner stakeholders to sharpen the understanding of each country's sustainability landscape and to assist PEPFAR and others in making informed HIV/AIDS investment decisions. Based on responses to 117 questions, the SID 4.0 assesses the current state of sustainability of national HIV/AIDS responses across 17 critical elements. Scores for these elements are displayed on a color-coded dashboard, together with contextual charts and information. As the SID is completed over time, it will allow stakeholders to track progress and gaps across these key components of sustainability.

Country Overview

Haiti is a low-income country with a gross national income (GNI) of $800 per capita (World Bank 2018) and a gross domestic product (GDP) of $856 per capita (2018), which makes it the poorest country in the Western Hemisphere. An estimated 58.6% of the country's approximately 10.8 million people live on less than one dollar a day and cannot afford the higher quality healthcare provided in private clinics (UNDP, 2014). Haiti's estimated 153,083 people living with HIV (PLHIV) (PNLS 2018) constitutes the greatest burden of HIV/AIDS in the Caribbean region; this is exacerbated by the highest incidence of tuberculosis (TB) in the Western Hemisphere. Haiti has a generalized HIV/AIDS epidemic with most transmission occurring from heterosexual sex and marked by higher prevalence rates in major cities and among sex workers, gay men and other men who have sex with men.

SID 4.0 Process

The PEPFAR Haiti team and stakeholders followed a process similar to that of 2015 and 2017. Moreover, as in 2017, the tool was translated into French and UNAIDS served as the co-host of this process. A two-day SID workshop was held and included participation of the MOH, through the National AIDS control Program (PNLS, French acronym) and the Unit of Study and Planning (UEP, French acronym), OHMASS (Global Fund Principal Recipient), representatives of civil society, PEPFAR implementing partners and other multilaterals organizations. The first day of the workshop reintroduced the concept of the SID and shared the dashboard from the previous SID, the SID 3.0. At this first day, participants were grouped into four teams covering the different domains. It was a very participative process with animated discussions within the groups; and the feedbacks from the participants on the process were positive.

The second day of the workshop brought together key participants to review the SID 4.0 tool as a whole and to discuss from a policy perspective the totality of the tool and ensure the scores in the dashboard had appropriate scores based on reference documents and matched the in-country perspectives. The discussions were mostly about some elements of domain B and the stakeholders reach consensus on the full final submission
During this third workshop, partnering with UNAIDS to plan and conduct the SID process was a useful way to begin the dialogue necessary for COP20.

<u>Sustainability Strengths</u>

Quality Management

Quality management, as for the SID 3.0, continues to be one of the greatest sustainability strengths of the program. Quality management is well integrated at different levels including national, regional, and site levels with a national coordination entity chaired by the General Director of the Ministry of Health (MSPP in French). With support from the national HealthQual committee and their respective networks, health facilities develop continuous quality improvement activities to address weaknesses and improve health services. If problems or issues are noted, implementing partners design improvement plans for their sites to address problems observed. Another success within Quality Management is the existence of the national quality structure with a clinical data collection system from which local performance measurement data on prioritized measures are being collected, aggregated nationally, and analyzed for local and national improvement. HealthQual reports are submitted on semi-annual and annual basis. Furthermore, meetings between partners working in the same department are held on quarterly basis.

Planning and Coordination

Over the last thirty years, the MSPP, through PNLS, has made significant progress in its capacity to plan and coordinate the HIV response in Haiti. The multi-year, multi-sectoral national strategic plan for HIV is revised in a timely manner to address new challenges with a plan that extends through 2023. These are participatory processes with strong leadership from the MSPP and technical assistance from external stakeholders; however, there is a continued gap in the involvement of the private sector.

Market Openness

This is one of the 2 added elements to the SID 4.0. For the health sector, market openness is real in Haiti. The host country including the donors has no policy that limit the ability of licensed, local providers to provide certain direct clinical services.

Performance Data

As noted in the previous SID, the MSPP, through PNLS, continue to make service delivery data collection and quality assurance a priority; the government maintains an integrated health information system, SALVH (French acronym Haitian Active Longitudinal Track of HIV System), with the support of external donors, including PEPFAR Haiti. As the program matures, data usage and sharing has become more transparent, in line with PEPFAR goals.

Data for Decision-Making Ecosystem

Most institutional data for births and deaths are available on a routine basis. In addition, Fingerprint data is collected for HIV patients even though some of them do not have a fingerprint registered on the system. The system is not fully functional at all sites.

<u>Sustainability Weaknesses</u>

Domestic Resource Mobilization

One of the greatest threats to sustainability of the HIV response in Haiti is the lack of domestic financial resources. Despite the work of advocacy groups over the last few years, the HIV response is funded almost exclusively through international support, namely PEPFAR and the Global Fund. Currently, Haiti has an approved national health policy; however, the country does not have a national health financing

strategy. As a follow-up to SID 3.0, the MSPP and its partners including PEPFAR Haiti team have initiated discussions on establishing a health financing task force.

Commodity Security and Supply Chain

Health commodities are mostly procured by donor funding including ARV, HIV rapid test kits (RTKs) and other essential health commodities which are essential to reach the UNAIDS goals for 2020, through specific donors' procurement mechanisms. However, since January 2018, Global Funds supports only a portion (~ 50%) of the procurement of the needed health commodities for the national blood safety program and the MOH procures the remaining needed commodities. In addition, the MSPP participates actively in national quantification exercises to plan for anticipated needs of HIV commodities and is actively involved in guiding policy and strategy for an integrated national supply chain.

Private Sector Engagement

As the funding from external sources declines for the HIV response, the dialogue between the GOH, its partners and of course the members of the private sector needs to increase to allow a functional pathway to increase and utilize private sector support.

Technical and allocative efficiencies

Very few resources (approximately 1-9%) are targeting the highest burden geographic area. Even though currently, the PNLS with the support of the partners has developed a new model of care by advocating a mixed approach (community and institutional) of care for PLHIV.

Contact

For questions or further information about PEPFAR's efforts to support sustainability of the HIV response in Haiti please contact:

Georges Perrin (gperrin@cdc.gov) and Stephane Morisseau (smorisseau@usaid.gov)

Sustainability Analysis for Epidemic Control: Haiti

Epidemic Type: Generalized
Income Level: Low income
PEPFAR Categorization: Long-term Strategy
PEPFAR COP 19 Planning Level: 102,113,875

SUSTAINABILITY DOMAINS and ELEMENTS

	2015 (SID 2.0)	2017 (SID 3.0)	2019	2021
Governance, Leadership, and Accountability				
1. Planning and Coordination	8.33	8.12	9.33	
2. Policies and Governance	5.41	6.29	6.55	
3. Civil Society Engagement	5.76	4.46	5.83	
4. Private Sector Engagement	3.19	1.67	2.17	
5. Public Access to Information	8.00	7.00	7.00	
National Health System and Service Delivery				
6. Service Delivery	4.49	4.31	4.54	
7. Human Resources for Health	6.08	7.01	4.90	
8. Commodity Security and Supply Chain	3.10	2.56	2.83	
9. Quality Management	9.05	8.48	8.76	
10. Laboratory	6.71	5.67	5.14	
Strategic Financing and Market Openness				
11. Domestic Resource Mobilization	1.94	3.85	4.56	
12. Technical and Allocative Efficiencies	2.38	4.06	3.39	
13. Market Openness	N/A	N/A	8.81	
Strategic Information				
14. Epidemiological and Health Data	5.81	6.67	6.70	
15. Financial/Expenditure Data	5.42	9.17	6.67	
16. Performance Data	6.29	6.83	6.83	
17. Data for Decision-Making Ecosystem	N/A	N/A	6.17	

CONTEXTUAL DATA

Adult Prevalence & PLHIV



New Infections & Total AIDS Deaths



Clinical Cascade



CONTEXTUAL DATA

Financing the HIV Response



GNI Per Capita (Atlas Method)



Population Pyramid (2019)



Sustainability Analysis for Epidemic Control: Haiti

Contextual Governance Indicators

Rule of Law Index (World Justice Project)





Worldwide Governance Indicators (World Bank)



WJP's Rule of Law Index measures the general public's experience and perception across eight 'factors':

1. Constraints on Government Powers: Governmental powers are limited by both internal and external checks, including auditing and review. Governmental officials are subject to the law and sanctioned for misconduct.

2. Absence of Corruption: Government officials in all branches of government do not use public office for private gain.

3. Open Government: Citizens have open access to government information and data, complaint mechanisms, and civic participation.

4. Fundamental Rights: There is equal treatment of citizens and absence of discrimination. The rights to freedom of expression, security of the person, and due process are effectively guaranteed.

5. Order and Security: Crime and civil conflict are effectively limited. Personal grievances are not redressed through violence.

6. Regulatory Enforcement: Government regulations are effectively applied and enforced without improper influence. Due process is respected in administrative proceedings.

7. Civil Justice: Civil justice is accessible and free of discrimination, corruption and improper government influence.

8. Criminal Justice: Criminal justice is impartial, timely and effective, and free from corruption or improper government influence. There is due process of law and rights of the accused.

More information can be found at: https://worldjusticeproject.org/our-work/research-and-data/wjp-rule-law-index-2019

The World Bank Worldwide Governance Indicators (WGI) score countries based on six dimensions of governance:

1. Control of Corruption: captures perceptions of the extent to which public power is exercised for private gain, including both petty and grand forms of corruption, as well as 'capture' of the state by elites and private interests.

2. Government Effectiveness: measures the quality of public services, the quality of the civil service and its independence from political pressure, the quality of policy formulation and implementation (including the efficiency of revenue mobilization and budget management), and the credibility of the government's commitment to its stated policies.

3. Rule of Law: captures perceptions of the extent to which agents have confidence in and abide by the rules of society, and in particular the quality of contract enforcement, property rights, the police, and the courts, as well as the likelihood of crime and violence.

4. Political Stability and Absence of Violence: measures perceptions of the likelihood of political instability and/or politically-motivated violence, including terrorism.

5. Regulatory Quality: Measures perceptions of the ability of the government to formulate and implement sound policies and regulations that permit and promote private sector development.

6. Voice and Accountability: captures perceptions of the extent to which a country's citizens are able to participate in selecting their government, as well as freedom of expression, freedom of association, and a free media.

More information can be found at: https://info.worldbank.org/governance/wgi/

Domain A. Governance, Leadership, and Accountability

What Success Looks Like: Host government upholds a transparent and accountable resolve to be responsible to its citizens and international stakeholders for achieving planned HIV/AIDS results, is a good steward of HIV/AIDS finances, widely disseminates program progress and results, provides accurate information and education on HIV/AIDS, and supports mechanisms for eliciting feedback. Relevant government entities take actions to create an enabling policy and legal environment, ensure good stewardship of HIV/AIDS resources, create space for and promote participation of the private sector, and provide technical and political leadership to coordinate an effective national HIV/AIDS response.

1. Planning and Coordination: Host country develops, implements, and oversees a costed multiyear national strategy and serves as the preeminent architect and convener of a coordinated HIV/AIDS response in the country across all levels of government and key stakeholders, civil society and the private sector.			**Data Source**	**Notes/Comments**
1.1 Content of National Strategy: Does the country have a multi-year, costed national strategy to respond to HIV?	○ A. There is no national strategy for HIV/AIDS ◉ B. There is a multiyear national strategy. Check all that apply: ☑ It is costed ☑ It has measurable targets. ☑ It is updated at least every five years ☑ Strategy includes all crucial response components for prevention and treatment (HIV testing, treatment and care [including children and adolescents], PMTCT, transition from 'catchup' to sustainable VMMC if country performs VMMCs, scale-up of viral load, EID, and other key metrics) ☑ Strategy includes explicit plans and activities to address the needs of key populations. ☑ Strategy includes all crucial response components to mitigate the impact of HIV on vulnerable children ☑ Strategy (or separate document) includes considerations and activities related to sustainability	1.1 Score: 2.50	In country source: National multisectoral strategic plan to fight HIV/AIDS 2018-2023	Document currently under review; Considerations related to sustainability taken into account in the plan, but local source of funding not yet identified;

1.2 Participation in National Strategy Development: Who actively participates in development of the country's national HIV/AIDS strategy?	○ A. There is no national strategy for HIV/AIDS ◉ B. The national strategy is developed with participation from the following stakeholders (check all that apply): ☑ Its development was led by the host country government ☑ Civil society actively participated in the development of the strategy ☑ Private health sector providers, facilities, and training institutions, actively participated in the development of the strategy ☐ Businesses and the corporate sector actively participated in the development of the strategy including workplace development and corporate social responsibility (CSR) ☑ External agencies (i.e. donors, other multilateral orgs., etc.) supporting HIV services in-country participated in the development of the strategy	1.2 Score: 2.00	In country source: National multisectoral strategic plan to fight HIV/AIDS 2018-2023	
1.3 Coordination of National HIV Implementation: To what extent does the host country government coordinate all HIV/AIDS activities implemented in the country, including those funded or implemented by CSOs, private sector, and donor implementing partners?	Check all that apply: ☑ There is an effective mechanism within the host country government for internally coordinating HIV/AIDS activities implemented by various government ministries, institutions, offices, etc. ☑ The host country government routinely tracks and maps HIV/AIDS activities of: ☑ civil society organizations ☐ private sector (including health care providers and/or other private sector partners) ☑ donors ☑ The host country government leads a mechanism or process (i.e. committee, working group, etc.) that routinely convenes key internal and external stakeholders and implementers of the national response for planning and coordination purposes. ☑ Joint operational plans are developed that include key activities of implementing organizations. ☑ Duplications and gaps among various government, CSO, private sector, and donor activities are systematically identified and addressed.	1.3 Score: 2.33	National AIDS Control Program/CCM gaps (document to be shared by UNAIDS)	Gaps have been identified and some have been adressed (see Gap document)

1.4 Sub-national Unit Accountability: Is there a mechanism by which sub-national units are accountable to national HIV/AIDS goals or targets? (note: equal points for either checkbox under option B)	○ A. There is no formal link between the national plan and sub-national service delivery. ◉ B. There is a formal link between the national plan and sub-national service delivery. (Check the ONE that applies.) ☑ Sub-national units have performance targets that contribute to aggregate national goals or targets. ☐ The central government is responsible for service delivery at the sub-national level.	1.4 Score: 2.50	www.mesi.ht	Link exists but not optimal;
Planning and Coordination Score:		**9.33**		

2. Policies and Governance: Host country develops, implements, and oversees a wide range of policies, laws, and regulations that will achieve coverage of high impact interventions, ensure social and legal protection and equity for those accessing HIV/AIDS services, eliminate stigma and discrimination, and sustain epidemic control within the national HIV/AIDS response.			**Data Source**	**Notes/Comments**
2.1 WHO Guidelines for ART Initiation: Does current national HIV/AIDS technical practice follow current WHO guidelines for initiation of ART - i.e., optimal ART regimens for all populations (including TLD as recommended)?	For each category below, check yes or no to indicate if current national HIV/AIDS technical practice follows current WHO guidelines on optimal ART regimens for each of the following: A. Adults (>19 years) ☑ Yes ☐ No B. Pregnant and Breastfeeding Mothers ☑ Yes ☐ No C. Adolescents (10-19 years) ☑ Yes ☐ No D. Children (<10 years) ☑ Yes ☐ No	2.1 Score: 0.91	In country source: Unified directives for the clinical, therapeutic and prophylactic management of people at risk and infected with HIV in Haiti	

2.2 Enabling Policies and Legislation: Are there policies or legislation that govern HIV/AIDS service delivery or policies and legislation on health care which is inclusive of HIV service delivery? Note: If one of the listed policies differentiates policy for specific groups, please note in the Notes/Comments column.	Check all that apply: ☐ A national public health services act that includes the control of HIV ☑ A task-shifting policy that allows trained non-physician clinicians, midwives, and nurses to initiate and dispense ART ☑ A task-shifting policy that allows trained and supervised community health workers to dispense ART between regular clinical visits ☑ Policies that permit patients stable on ART to have reduced clinical visits (i.e. every 6-12 months) ☑ Policies that permit patients stable on ART to have reduced ARV pickups (i.e. every 3-6 months) ☑ Policies that permit streamlined ART initiation, such as same day initiation of ART for those who are ready ☐ Legislation to ensure the well-being and protection of children, including those orphaned and made vulnerable by HIV/AIDS ☑ Policies that permit HIV self-testing ☑ Policies that permit pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP) ☑ Policies that permit post-exposure prophylaxis (PEP) ☐ Policies that allow HIV testing without parental consent for adolescents, starting at age 15 ☐ Policies that allow HIV-infected adolescents, starting at age 15, to seek HIV treatment without parental consent	2.2 Score: 0.61	In country source: Unified directives for the clinical, therapeutic and prophylactic management of people at risk and infected with HIV in Haiti (November 2016)/Summary of norms July 2019; MMS/D guidelines April 2017	No explicit laws or policies but this is clearly laid out in the National HIV care and treatment guidelines for adolescents and adults. It has not been published by the MOH as a policy but there is curriculum for nurse practioners that is being used and nurses are allowed to enroll patients on ART and it is also stated in the National HIV Care and treatment guidelines (November 2016). The MOH has trained a cadre of polyvalent community health workers (CHW) to increase access to services. These CHW can distribute ART in between regular clinical visit for stable HIV patients. The National AIDS Control program has finalized the HIV self-testing guidelines but they have not yet been published.

2.3 User Fees for HIV Services: Are HIV infected persons expected or likely to be asked to pay user fees, either formal or informal, for any HIV services in the public sector: clinical, laboratory, testing, prevention and others? Note: "Formal" user fees are those established in policy or regulation by a government or institution.	Check all that apply: ☑ No, neither formal nor informal user fees exist. ☐ Yes, formal user fees exist. ☐ Yes, informal user fees exist.	2.3 Score: 0.91	Based on discussion with multiple stakeholders	
2.4 User Fees for Other Health Services: Are HIV infected persons expected or likely to be asked to pay user fees, either formal or informal, for any non-HIV services in the public sector, such as MCH/SRH, TB, outpatient registration, hospitalizations, and others? Note: "Formal" user fees are those established in policy or regulation by a government or institution.	Check all that apply: ☐ No, neither formal nor informal user fees exist. ☑ Yes, formal user fees exist. ☐ Yes, informal user fees exist.	2.4 Score: 0.23	Based on discussion with multiple stakeholders	Non-HIV services are paid by the patient in most public sector facilities, except for TB services once patient has been diagnosed with TB
2.5 Data Protection: Does the country have policies in place that support the collection and appropriate use of patient-level data for health, including HIV/AIDS?	The country has policies in place that (check all that apply): ☑ Govern the collection of patient-level data for public health purposes, including surveillance ☑ Govern the collection and use of unique identifiers such as national ID for health records ☑ Govern the privacy and confidentiality of health outcomes matched with personally identifiable information ☐ Govern the use of patient-level data, including protection against its use in crimincal cases	2.5 Score: 0.68	Patient consent form and PNLS policy letter	

2.6 Legal Protections for Key Populations: Does the country have laws or policies that specify protections (not specific to HIV) for specific populations?	Check all that apply: Transgender people (TG): ☐ Constitutional prohibition of discrimination based on gender diversity ☐ Prohibitions of discrimination in employment based on gender diversity ☐ A third gender is legally recognized ☐ Other non-discrimination provisions specifying gender diversity (note in comments) Men who have sex with men (MSM): ☐ Constitutional prohibition of discrimination based on sexual orientation ☐ Hate crimes based on sexual orientation are considered an aggravating circumstance ☐ Incitement to hatred based on sexual orientation prohibited ☐ Prohibition of discrimiation in employment based on sexual orientation ☐ Other non-discrimination provisions specifying sexual orientation Female sex workers (FSW): ☐ Constitutional prohibition of discrimination based on occupation ☐ Sex work is recognized as work ☐ Other non-discrimination protections specifying sex work (note in comments)	2.6 Score: 0.00	NCPI 2018	NCPI was completed for Haiti in 2016 and updated in 2018. The law is currently being revised by the parliament. The senate has voted a law restricting liberty for homosexuals to get married, exhibit (law on reputation and certificate of good conduct and morals and law strengthening the provisions of the civil code relating to marriage and family protection). However the government has not published the law and as such is not yet effective.

	People who inject drugs (PWID): ☐ Specific antidiscrimination laws or other provisions for people who use drugs (specify in comments) ☐ Explicit supportive reference to harm reduction in national policies ☐ Policies that address the specific needs of women who inject drugs			
2.7 Legal Protections for Victims of Violence: Does the country have protections in place for victims of violence?	The country has the following to protect key populations and people living with HIV (PLHIV) from violence: ☑ General criminal laws prohibiting violence ☐ Specific legal provisions prohibiting violence against people based on their HIV status or belonging to a key population ☑ Programs to address intimate partner violence ☐ Programs to address workplace violence ☐ Interventions to address police abuse ☐ Interventions to address torture and ill treatment in prisons ☑ A national plan or strategy to address gender-based violence and violence against women that includes HIV ☑ Legislation on domestic violence ☑ Criminal penalties for domestic violence ☑ Criminal penalties for violence against children	2.7 Score: 0.55	The penal code. Strategic plan of the Ministry of Women's Affairs. Laws adressing different types of violence.	These documents have no specificities for the PLHIV.

2.8 Structural Obstacles: Does the country have laws and/or policies that present barriers to delivery of HIV prevention, testing and treatment services or the accessibility of these services?	**For each question, select the most appropriate option:** Are transgender people criminalized and/or prosecuted in the country? ☐ Both criminalized and prosecuted ☐ Criminalized ☐ Prosecuted ☑ Neither criminalized nor prosecuted Is cross-dressing criminalized in the country? ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, only in parts of the country ☐ Yes, only under certain circumstances ☑ No Is sex work criminalized in your country? ☐ Selling and buying sexual services is criminalized ☐ Selling sexual services is criminalized ☐ Buying sexual services is criminalized ☐ Partial criminalization of sex work ☐ Other punitive regulation of sex work ☑ Sex work is not subject to punitive regulations or is not criminalized. ☐ Issue is determined/differs at subnational level	2.8 Score: 0.85		No existing law on same-sex acts (there is a project of law submitted to the parliament). Religious norms against LGBT community.

Does the country have laws criminalizing same-sex sexual acts?

- ☐ Yes, death penalty
- ☐ Yes, imprisonment (14 years - life)
- ☐ Yes, imprisonment (up to 14 years)
- ☐ No penalty specified
- ☑ No specific legislation
- ☐ Laws penalizing same-sex sexual acts have been decriminalized or never existed

Does the country maintain the death penalty in law for people convicted of drug-related offenses?

- ☐ Yes, with high application (sentencing of people convicted of drug offenses to death and/or carrying out executions are a routine and mainstreamed part of the criminal justice system)
- ☐ Yes, with low application (executions for drug offenses may have been carried out in recent years, but in practice such penalties are relatively rare)
- ☐ Yes, with symbolic application (the death penalty for drug offenses is included in legislation, but executions are not carried out)
- ☑ No

Does the country have laws criminalizing the transmission of, non-disclosure of, or exposure to HIV transmission?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No, but prosecutions exist based on general criminal laws
- ☑ No

Does the country have policies restricting the entry, stay, and residence of people living with HIV (PLHIV)?

- ☐ Yes
- ☑ No

	Does the country have other punitive laws affecting lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, and intersex (LGBTI) people? ☐ Yes, promotion ("propaganda") laws ☑ Yes, morality laws or religious norms that limit LGBTI freedom of expression and association ☐ No			
2.9 Rights to Access Services: Recognizing the right to nondiscriminatory access to HIV services and support, does the government have efforts in place to educate and ensure the rights of PLHIV, key populations, adolescents, and those who may access HIV services about these rights?	There are host country government efforts in place as follows (check all that apply): ☑ To educate PLHIV about their legal rights in terms of access to HIV services ☑ To educate key populations about their legal rights in terms of access to HIV services ☐ National law exists regarding health care privacy and confidentiality protections ☐ Government provides financial support to enable access to legal services if someone experiences discrimination, including redress where a violation is found	2.9 Score: 0.45	National Health Policy 2012-2020	Through coordination with key stakeholders such as PEPFAR and Global Fund, the National AIDS Control Program has leveraged resources for advocacy and sensitization on expansion of access to HIV prevention, care and treatment for Key populations.
2.10 Audit: Does the host country government conduct a national HIV/AIDS program audit or audit of Ministries that work on HIV/AIDS on a regular basis (excluding audits of donor funding that are through government financial systems)?	○ A. No audit is conducted of the National HIV/AIDS Program or other relevant ministry. ○ B. An audit is conducted of the National HIV/AIDS program or other relevant ministries every 4 years or more. ◉ C. An audit is conducted of the National HIV/AIDS program or other relevant ministries every 3 years or less.	2.10 Score: 0.91	Audit reports from National Audit Office (Cours Superieur des Comptes et du Contentieux Administratif - french name)	
2.11 Audit Action: To what extent does the host country government respond to the findings of a HIV/AIDS audit or audit of Ministries that work on HIV/AIDS?	○ A. Host country government does not respond to audit findings, or no audit of the national HIV/AIDS program is conducted. ◉ B. The host country government does respond to audit findings by implementing changes as a result of the audit. ○ C. The host country government does respond to audit findings by implementing changes which can be tracked by legislature or other bodies that hold government accountable.	2.11 Score: 0.45	Based on discussion with multiple stakeholders	Increased score compared to 2017 SID. Representative from MoH confirmed that the ministry complied with audit recommandations
	Policies and Governance Score:	**6.55**		

3. Civil Society Engagement: Local civil society is an active partner in the HIV/AIDS response through service delivery provision when appropriate, advocacy efforts as needed, and as a key stakeholder to inform the national HIV/AIDS response. There are mechanisms for civil society to review and provide feedback regarding public programs, services and fiscal management and civil society is able to hold government institutions accountable for the use of HIV/AIDS funds and for the results of their actions.			**Data Source**	**Notes/Comments**
3.1 Civil Society and Accountability for HIV/AIDS: Are there any laws or policies that restrict civil society from playing an oversight role in the HIV/AIDS response?	○ A. There exists a law or laws that restrict civil society from playing an oversight role in the HIV/AIDS response. ○ B. There are no laws that restrict civil society playing a role in providing oversight of the HIV/AIDS response but in practice, it does not happen. ◉ C. There are no laws or policies that prevent civil society from providing an oversight of the HIV/AIDS response and civil society is very actively engaged in providing oversight.	3.1 Score: 1.67	Based on discussion with multiple stakeholders	
3.2 Government Channels and Opportunities for Civil Society Engagement: Does host country government have formal channels or opportunities for diverse civil society groups to engage and provide feedback on its HIV/AIDS policies, programs, and services (not including Global Fund CCM civil society engagement requirements)?	Check A, B, or C; if C checked, select appropriate disaggregates: ○ A. There are no formal channels or opportunities. ○ B. There are formal channels or opportunities, but civil society is called upon in an ad hoc manner to provide inputs and feedback. ◉ C. There are functional formal channels and opportunities for civil society engagement and feedback. Check all that apply: ☑ During strategic and annual planning ☑ In joint annual program reviews ☑ For policy development ☑ As members of technical working groups ☑ Involvement on government HIV/AIDS program evaluation teams ☑ Involvement in surveys/studies ☑ Collecting and reporting on client feedback ☑ Service delivery	3.2 Score: 1.67	Based on discussion with multiple stakeholders	No formal evaluation team for the whole program. But PMTCT evaluation teams including civil society members. Unformal feedback from civil society is currently in practice .

3.3 Impact of Civil Society Engagement: Does civil society engagement substantively impact policy, programming, and budget decisions related to HIV/AIDS?	○ A. Civil society does not actively engage, or civil society engagement does not impact policy, programming, and budget decisions related to HIV/AIDS. ◉ B. Civil society's engagement impacts HIV/AIDS policy, programming, and budget decisions (check all that apply): ☑ In policy design ☑ In programmatic decision making ☑ In technical decision making ☑ In service delivery ☑ In HIV/AIDS basket or national health financing decisions	3.3 Score: 1.67	Based on discussion with multiple stakeholders	For the COP 19, civil society advocacy in DC and locally had an impact on the PEPFAR budget
3.4 Domestic Funding of Civil Society: To what extent are HIV/AIDS related Civil Society Organizations funded domestically (either from government, private sector, or self generated funds)? (if exact or approximate overall percentage known, or the percentages from the various domestic sources, please note in Comments column)	○ A. No funding (0%) for HIV/AIDS related civil society organizations comes from domestic sources. ◉ B. Minimal funding (approx. 1-9%) for HIV/AIDS related civil society organizations comes from domestic sources (not including Global Fund grants through government Principal Recipients). ○ C. Some funding (approx. 10-49%) for HIV/AIDS related civil society organizations comes from domestic sources (not including Global Fund grants through government Principal Recipients). ○ D. Most funding (approx. 50-89%) for HIV/AIDS related civil society organizations comes from domestic sources (not including Global Fund grants through government Principal Recipients). ○ E. All or almost all funding (approx. 90%+) for HIV/AIDS related civil society organizations comes from domestic sources (not including Global Fund grants through government Principal Recipients).	3.4 Score: 0.83	Based on discussion with multiple stakeholders	Some PLWHA organizations use their own funds to support HIV/AIDS fight
3.5 Civil Society Enabling Environment: Are there laws, policies, or regulations in place which permit CSOs to be funded from a government budget for HIV services through open competition (from any Ministry or Department, at any level - national, regional, or local)? Note: This sometimes referred to as "social contracting" or "social procurement."	◉ A. There is no law, policy, or regulation which permits CSOs to be funded from a government budget for HIV Services through open competition (not to include Global Fund or other donor funding to government that goes to CSOs). ○ B. There is a law, policy or regulation which permits CSOs to be funded from a government budget for HIV services. Check all that apply: ☐ Competition is open and transparent (notices of opportunities are made public) ☐ Opportunities for CSO funding are made on an annual basis ☐ Awards are made in a timely manner (within 6-12 months of announcements) ☐ Payments are made to CSOs on time for provision of services	3.5 Score: 0.00	Based on discussion with multiple stakeholders	
		Civil Society Engagement Score: **5.83**		

4. Private Sector Engagement: Global as well as local private sector (both private health care providers and private business) is an active partner in the HIV/AIDS response through service delivery provision when appropriate, advocacy efforts as needed, innovation, and as a key stakeholder to inform the national HIV/AIDS response. There are supportive policies and mechanisms for the private sector to engage and to review and provide feedback regarding public programs, services and fiscal management of the national HIV/AIDS response. The public uses the private sector for HIV service delivery at a similar level as other health care needs.			**Data Source**	**Notes/Comments**
4.1 Government Channels and Opportunities for Private Sector Engagement: Does the host country government have formal channels and opportunities for diverse private sector entities (including service delivery, corporations, and private training institutions) to engage and provide feedback on its HIV/AIDS policies, programs, and services? (If option B is true, check all subsequent boxes that apply.)	○ A. There are no formal channels or opportunities for private sector engagement. ◉ B. There are formal channels or opportunities for private sector engagement. i. The following private sector stakeholders formally contribute input into national or sub-national processes for HIV/AIDS planning and strategic development (check all that apply): ☐ Corporations ☐ Employers ☐ Private training institutions ☐ Private health service delivery providers ii. Stakeholders contribute in the following ways (check all that apply): ☐ The private sector contributes technical expertise into HIV program planning ☑ Data and strategic input into supply chain management for HIV commodities ☐ Service delivery and/or client satisfaction data from private service delivery providers is included in health sector and HIV program planning ☐ Data on staffing in private health service delivery providers ☐ Data on private training institution's human resources for health (HRH) graduates and placements are included in health sector and HIV program planning ☑ For technical advisory on best practices and delivery solutions	4.1 Score: 0.28	National System for Supply Chain Management (SNADI:French Acronym), National Lab Strategy	

	iii. The national HIV/AIDS strategic plan explicitly addresses private sector's role in the HIV/AIDS response (check all that apply): ☐ The national HIV/AIDS strategic plan has a specific section that specifies the private sector's role in the HIV/AIDS response. ☐ A recent (within past 4 years) market analysis informs the private sector strategy that is included in the HIV/AIDS strategic plan ☐ The government and private sector effectively coordinates and executes a total market approach for HIV service delivery, which accounts for whether people are able and/or willing to pay for HIV services.			
4.2 Enabling Environment for Private Corporate Contributions to HIV/AIDS Programming: Does the host country government have systems and policies in place that allow for private corporate contributions to HIV/AIDS programming?	Check all that apply: ☐ Tax policies and incentives are designed to encourage corporate social responsibility efforts from companies who are contributing financial commitments and/or non-financial resources (including, but not limited to, product donations, expertise, and employee staff time). ☐ The host country government has in-house expertise in contracting services to private sector corporations when appropriate and necessary (e.g., transportation and waste management). ☑ The host country government has standards for reporting and sharing data across public and private sectors. ☐ Regulations help ensure that workplace programs align with the national HIV/AIDS program (e.g., medical leave policies, on-site testing, on-site prevention and education, anti-discrimination policies). ☐ There are strong linkage and referral networks between on-site workplace programs and public health care facilities.	4.2 Score: 0.50	Accreditation norms	

4.3 Enabling Environment for Private Health Service Delivery: Does the host country government have systems and policies in place that allow for private health service delivery? Note: Full score possible without checking all boxes.	○ A. Private health service delivery providers are not legally allowed to deliver HIV/AIDS services. ○ B. The host country government plans to allow private health service delivery providers to provide HIV/AIDS services in the next two years. ◉ C. Private health service delivery providers are legally allowed to deliver HIV/AIDS services. In addition (check all that apply): ☑ Policies are in place to ensure that private providers receive, understand, and adhere to national guidelines/protocols for ART, and appropriate quality standards and certifications. ☐ Systems are in place for service provision and/or research reporting by private facilities to the government, including guidelines for data reporting. ☐ Joint (i.e., public-private) supervision and quality oversight of private facilities. ☐ The government offers tax deductions for private facilities delivering HIV/AIDS services. ☐ The government offers tax deductions for private training institutions. ☐ The private sector is eligible to procure HIV/AIDS and/or ART commodities via public sector procurement channels and/or national medical stores ☐ The host country government has formal contracting or service-level agreement procedures to compensate private facilities for HIV/AIDS services. ☐ HIV/AIDS services received in private facilities are eligible for reimbursement through national health insurance schemes ☐ There are open competitions for private health care providers to compete for government service contracts ☐ There is a systematic and timely process for private company registration and/or testing of new health products (e.g., drugs, diagnostic kits, medical devices, etc.) that support HIV/AIDS programming ☐ The government effectively regulates the flow of subsidized commodities into the private sector. ☐ Private Banks or lenders provide access to low interest loans prioritizing private health sector small and medium-sized enterprise (SME) development and expansion.	4.3 Score: 1.39	

4.4 Private Sector Capability and Interest: Does the private sector possess the capability to support HIV/AIDS services, and do private sector stakeholders demonstrate interest in supporting the national HIV/AIDS response?	○ A. The host country government does not leverage the skill sets of the private sector for the national HIV/AIDS response. ◉ B. The private sector does not express interest in or actively seek out opportunities to support the national HIV/AIDS response. ○ C. The private sector has expertise and has expressed interest in or actively seeks out (check all that apply): ☐ Market opportunities that align with and support the national HIV/AIDS response ☐ Opportunities to contribute financial and/or non-financial resources to the national response (including business skills, market research, logistics, communication, research and development, product design, brand awareness, and innovation)	4.4 Score: 0.00	Based on discussion with multiple stakeholders	
		Private Sector Engagement Score:	**2.17**	

5. Public Access to Information: Host government widely disseminates timely and reliable information on the implementation of HIV/AIDS policies and programs, including goals, progress and challenges towards achieving HIV/AIDS targets, as well as fiscal information (public revenues, budgets, expenditures, large contract awards , etc.) related to HIV/AIDS. Program and audit reports are published publically. Efforts are made to ensure public has access to data through print distribution, websites, radio or other methods of disseminating information.			**Source of Data**	**Notes/Comments**
5.1 Surveillance Data Transparency: Does the host country government ensure that national HIV/AIDS surveillance data and analyses are made available to stakeholders and general public in a timely and useful way?	○ A. The host country government does not make HIV/AIDS surveillance data available to stakeholders and the general public, or they are made available more than one year after the date of collection. ○ B. The host country government makes HIV/AIDS surveillance data available to stakeholders and the general public within 6-12 months. ◉ C. The host country government makes HIV/AIDS surveillance data available to stakeholders and the general public within six months.	5.1 Score: 2.00	www.mesi.ht (National monitoring and evaluation interface)and PNLS Quarterly surveillance Bulletin	
5.2 Expenditure Transparency: Does the host country government make annual HIV/AIDS expenditure data available to stakeholders and the public in a timely and useful way?	○ A. The host country government does not track HIV/AIDS expenditures. ○ B. The host country government does not make HIV/AIDS expenditure data available to stakeholders and the general public, or they are made available more than one year after the date of expenditures. ◉ C. The host country government makes HIV/AIDS expenditure data available to stakeholders and the general public within 6-12 months after date of expenditures. ○ D. The host country government makes HIV/AIDS expenditure data available to stakeholders and the general public within six months after expenditures.	5.2 Score: 1.00	REDES report (UNAIDS)	

5.3 Performance and Service Delivery Transparency: Does the host country government make annual HIV/AIDS program performance and service delivery data available to stakeholders and the public in a timely and useful way?	○ A. The host country government does not make HIV/AIDS program performance and service delivery data available to stakeholders and the general public or they are made available more than one year after the date of programming. ○ B. The host country government makes HIV/AIDS program performance and service delivery data available to stakeholders and the general public within 6-12 months after date of programming. ◉ C. The host country government makes HIV/AIDS program performance and service delivery data available to stakeholders and the general public within six months after date of programming . At what level of detail is this performance data reported? [CHECK ALL THAT APPLY] ☑ National ☑ District ☑ Site-Level	5.3 Score:	2.00	PNLS quarterly surveillance bulletin; MESI	
5.4 Procurement Transparency: Does the host country government make government HIV/AIDS procurements public in a timely way?	◉ A. The host country government does not make any HIV/AIDS procurements. ○ B. The host country government makes HIV/AIDS procurements, but neither procurement tender nor award details are publicly available. ○ C. The host country government makes HIV/AIDS procurements, and tender, but not award, details are publicly available. ○ D. The host country government makes HIV/AIDS procurements, and both tender and award details available.	5.4 Score:	0.00	Based on discussion with multiple stakeholders	

5.5 Institutionalized Education System: Is there a government agency that is explicitly responsible for providing scientifically accurate education to the public about HIV/AIDS?	○ A. There is no government institution that is responsible for this function and no other groups provide education. ○ B. There is no government institution that is responsible for this function but at least one of the following provides education: ☐ Civil society ☐ Media ☐ Private sector ◉ C. There is a government institution that is responsible for, and is providing, scientifically accurate information on HIV/AIDS.	5.5 Score:	2.00	NACP	
		Public Access to Information Score:	**7.00**		

THIS CONCLUDES THE SET OF QUESTIONS ON DOMAIN A

Domain B. National Health System and Service Delivery

What Success Looks Like: Host country institutions (inclusive of government, NGOs, civil society, and the private sector), the domestic workforce, and local health systems constitute the primary vehicles through which HIV/AIDS programs and services are managed and delivered. Optimally, national, sub-national and local governments have achieved high and appropriate coverage of a range of quality, life-saving prevention, treatment, and care services and interventions. There is a high demand for HIV/AIDS services, which are accessible and affordable to poor and vulnerable populations at risk of infection (i.e. key populations, discordant couples, exposed infants), are infected and/or are affected by the HIV/AIDS epidemic.

6. Service Delivery: The host country government at national, sub-national and facility levels facilitates planning and management of, access to and linkages between facility- and community-based HIV services.			**Data Source**	**Notes/Comments**
6.1 Responsiveness of facility-based services to demand for HIV services: Do public facilities respond to and generate demand for HIV services to meet local needs? (Check all that apply.)	☑ Public facilities are able to tailor services to accommodate demand (e.g., modify or add hours/days of operations; add/second additional staff during periods of high patient influx; customize scope of HIV services offered; adapt organization/model of service deliver to patient flow) ☑ Public facilities are able to situate services in proximity to high-HIV burden locations or populations (e.g., mobile clinics) ☑ There is evidence that public facilities in high burden areas and/or serving high-burden populations generate demand for HIV services	6.1 Score: 0.95	DHS 2016, Departmental and health facilities service reports, maps of health services (to be verified), Service provision	The public health sector is limited in terms of capacity to modify providers' schedule to match the influx of patient demand. Mobile services are not systematic in all facilities. Community ART distribution is available throughout the country.
6.2 Responsiveness of community-based HIV/AIDS services: Has the host country standardized the design and implementation of community-based HIV services? (Check all that apply.)	The host country has standardized the following design and implementation components of community-based HIV/AIDS services through (check all that apply): ☑ Formalized mechanisms of participation by communities, high-burden populations and/or civil society engagement in delivery or oversight of services ☑ National guidelines detailing how to operationalize HIV/AIDS services in communities ☑ Providing official recognition to skilled human resources (e.g. community health workers) working and delivering HIV services in communities ☑ Providing financial support for community-based services ☑ Providing supply chain support for community-based services ☑ Supporting linkages between facility- and community-based services through formalized bidirectional referral services (e.g., use of national reporting systems to refer and monitor referrals for completeness)	6.2 Score: 0.95	Consolidated guidelines for Clinical therapeutic and prophylactic care of people at risk and infected by HIV in Haiti, November 2016 National Multisectoral strategic plan to fight HIV/AIDS 2018-2023 Training curriculum for CHW	CAD formalized
6.3 Domestic Financing of Service Delivery: To what extent do host country institutions (public, private, or voluntary sector) finance the delivery of HIV/AIDS services (i.e. excluding any external financial assistance from donors)? (if exact or approximate percentage known, please note in Comments column)	○ A. Host country institutions provide no (0%) financing for delivery of HIV/AIDS services ◉ B. Host country institutions provide minimal (approx. 1-9%) financing for delivery of HIV/AIDS services ○ C. Host country institutions provide some (approx. 10-49%) financing for delivery of HIV/AIDS services ○ D. Host country institutions provide most (approx. 50-89%) financing for delivery of HIV/AIDS services ○ E. Host country institutions provide all or almost all (approx. 90%+) financing for delivery of HIV/AIDS services	6.3 Score: 0.42	National Budget National Multisectoral strategic plan to fight HIV/AIDS 2018-2023 NASA, 2016	

6.4 Domestic Provision of Service Delivery: To what extent do host country institutions (public, private, or voluntary sector) deliver HIV/AIDS services without external technical assistance from donors?	○ A. HIV/AIDS services are primarily delivered by external agencies, organizations, or institutions. ◉ B. Host country institutions deliver HIV/AIDS services but with substantial external technical assistance. ○ C. Host country institutions deliver HIV/AIDS services with some external technical assistance. ○ D. Host country institutions deliver HIV/AIDS services with minimal or no external technical assistance.	6.4 Score: 0.32	National Budget National Multisectoral strategic plan to fight HIV/AIDS 2018-2023 NASA, 2016	
6.5 Domestic Financing of Service Delivery for Key Populations: To what extent do host country institutions (public, private, or voluntary sector) finance the delivery of HIV/AIDS services to key populations (i.e. without external financial assistance from donors)? (if exact or approximate percentage known, please note in Comments column)	◉ A. Host country institutions provide no or minimal (0%) financing for delivery of HIV/AIDS services to key populations, or information is not available. ○ B. Host country institutions provide minimal (approx. 1-9%) financing for delivery of HIV/AIDS services to key populations. ○ C. Host country institutions provide some (approx. 10-49%) financing for delivery of HIV/AIDS services to key populations. ○ D. Host country institutions provide most (approx. 50-89%) financing for delivery of HIV/AIDS services to key populations. ○ E. Host country institutions provide all or almost all (approx. 90%+) financing for delivery of HIV/AIDS services to key populations.	6.5 Score: 0.00	Based on discussion with multiple stakeholders during SID Workshop	
6.6 Domestic Provision of Service Delivery for Key Populations: To what extent do host country institutions (public, private, or voluntary sector) deliver HIV/AIDS services to key populations without external technical assistance from donors?	○ A. HIV/AIDS services to key populations are primarily delivered by external agencies, organizations, or institutions. ◉ B. Host country institutions deliver HIV/AIDS services to key populations but with substantial external technical assistance. ○ C. Host country institutions deliver HIV/AIDS services to key populations with some external technical assistance. ○ D. Host country institutions deliver HIV/AIDS services to key populations with minimal or no external technical assistance.	6.6 Score: 0.32	Based on discussion with multiple stakeholders during SID Workshop	
6.7 Management and Monitoring of HIV Service Delivery: Does an administrative entity, such as a national office or Bureau/s, exist with specific authority to manage - plan, monitor, and provide guidance - for HIV service delivery activities including practice standards, quality, health outcomes, and information monitoring across all sectors. Select only ONE answer.	○ A. No, there is no entity. ○ B. Yes, there is an entity, but it has limited authority, insufficient staff, and insufficient budget. ◉ C. Yes, there is an entity with authority and sufficient staff, but not a sufficient budget. ○ D. Yes, there is an entity with authority and sufficient staff and budget.	6.7 Score: 0.63	NACP	Insufficient staff due to limited budget

6.8 National Service Delivery Capacity: Do national health authorities have the capacity to effectively plan and manage HIV services?	National health authorities (check all that apply): ☑ Translate national policies/strategies into sub-national level HIV/AIDS strategic plan and response activities. ☑ Use epidemiologic and program data to measure effectiveness of sub-national level programs in delivering needed HIV/AIDS services in right locations. ☐ Assess current and future staffing needs based on HIV/AIDS program goals and budget realities for high burden locations. ☐ Develop sub-national level budgets that allocate resources to high burden service delivery locations. ☑ Effectively engage with civil society in program planning and evaluation of services. ☐ Design a staff performance management plan to assure that staff working at high burden sites maintain good clinical and technical skills, such as through training and/or	6.8 Score: 0.48	National Multisectoral strategic plan to fight HIV/AIDS 2018-2023 PEPFAR COP 2018 Global Fund submission document (2018)	Decreased score compared to 2017 based on discussion with multiple stakeholders during SID Workshop currently there's no assessment for future staff needs.
6.9 Sub-national Service Delivery Capacity: Do sub-national health authorities (i.e., district, provincial) have the capacity to effectively plan and manage HIV services sufficiently to achieve sustainable epidemic control?	Sub-national health authorities (check all that apply): ☑ Translate national policies/strategies into sub-national level HIV/AIDS strategic plan and response activities. ☑ Use epidemiologic and program data to measure effectiveness of sub-national level programs in delivering needed HIV/AIDS services in right locations. ☐ Assess current and future staffing needs based on HIV/AIDS program goals and budget realities for high burden locations. ☐ Develop sub-national level budgets that allocate resources to high burden service delivery locations. ☑ Effectively engage with civil society in program planning and evaluation of services. ☐ Design a staff performance management plan to assure that staff working at high burden sites maintain good clinical and technical skills, such as through training and/or mentorship.	6.9 Score: 0.48	Departmental fora reports, departmental integrated operational plans.	These plans are mainly funded through PEPFAR, Global Fund and other external donors. Though sanitary department leads the process, almost no public funding is available to support the activities. Decreased score compared to 2017 based on discussion with multiple stakeholders during SID Workshop currently there's no assessment for future staff needs and sub-national budget development.
		Service Delivery Score	**4.54**	

7. Health Workforce: Health workforce staffing decisions for those working on HIV/AIDS are based on use of workforce data and are aligned with national plans. Host country has sufficient numbers and categories of competent health care workers and volunteers to provide quality HIV/AIDS prevention, care and treatment services in health facilities and in the community. Host country trains, deploys and compensates health workers providing HIV/AIDS services through local public and/or private resources and systems. Host country has a strategy or plan for transitioning staff funded by donors.			**Data Source**	**Notes/Comments**
7.1 Health Workforce Supply: To what extent is the clinical health worker supply adequate to enable the volume and quality of HIV/AIDS services needed for sustained epidemic control at the facility and/or community site level?	Check all that apply: ☑ The country's pre-service education institutions are producing an adequate supply and skills mix of clinical health care providers ☐ The country's clinical health workers are adequately deployed to, or distributed within, facilities and communities with high HIV burden ☑ The country has developed retention schemes that address clinical health worker vacancy or attrition in high HIV burden areas ☐ The country's pre-service education institutions are producing an adequate supply and appropriate skills mix of social service workers to deliver social services to vulnerable children	7.1 Score: 0.48	Situation analysis of human resources for health (HRH) in Haiti Report of the Directorate of Training and Improvement in Health Sciences (DFPSS)	There are trained social workers at the university level (sources). A plan for HRH retention in rural areas is being prepared by the DRH/MSPP with the support of HFG / USAID
7.2 Role of Community-based Health Workers (CHWs): To what extent are community-based health workers' roles and responsibilities specified for HIV/AIDS service delivery?	Check all that apply: ☑ There is a national community-based health worker (CHW) cadre that has a defined role in HIV/AIDS service delivery (e.g., through a national strategy or task-sharing framework/guidelines). ☑ Data are made available on the staffing and deployment of CHWs, including non-formalized CHWs supported by donors. ☑ The host country government officially recognizes non-formalized CHWs delivering HIV/AIDS services.	7.2 Score: 0.95	Training Curriculum for ASCPs Mapping of the ASCPs	
7.3 Health Workforce Transition: What is the status of transitioning PEPFAR and/or other donor supported HIV/AIDS health worker salaries to local financing/compensation? Note in comments column which donors have transition plans in place and timeline for transition.	○ A. There is no inventory or plan for transition of donor-supported health workers ◉ B. There is an inventory of donor-supported health workers, but no official plan to transition these staff to local support ○ C. There is an inventory and plan for transition of donor-supported workers, but it has not yet been implemented ○ D. There is an inventory and plan for donor-supported workers to be transitioned, and staff are being transitioned according to this plan ○ E. No plan is necessary because all HIV/AIDS health worker salaries are already locally financed/compensated	7.3 Score: 0.24	ERIS I & II, Strategic Plan of Human Resources, mapping of ASCPs	

7.4 Domestic Funding for Health Workforce: What proportion of health worker (doctors, nurses, midwives, and CHW) salaries are supported with domestic public or private resources (i.e. excluding donor resources)? (if exact or approximate percentage known, please note in Comments column)	○A. Host country institutions provide no (0%) health worker salaries ◉B. Host country institutions provide minimal (approx. 1-9%) health worker salaries ○C. Host country institutions provide some (approx. 10-49%) health worker salaries ○D. Host country institutions provide most (approx. 50-89%) health worker salaries ○E. Host country institutions provide all or almost all (approx. 90%+) health worker salaries	7.4 Score: 0.83	National budget. Implementing Partners budget MSPP Memo of 2014	This coverage concerns all the HRH of the country, without specifying between those paid by government and / or by the donors. Decreased score compared to 2017 based on discussion with multiple stakeholders during SID Workshop concluding misinterpretation of source document data in the previous SID.
7.5 Pre-service Training: Do current pre-service education curricula for any health workers providing HIV/AIDS services include HIV content that has been updated in last three years? Note: List applicable cadres in the comments column.	○A. Pre-service education institutions do not have HIV content, or HIV content used by pre-service education institutions is out of date (not updated within 3 years) ◉B. Pre-service institutions have updated HIV/AIDS content within the last three years (check all that apply): ☑ Updated content reflects national standards of practice for cadres offering HIV/AIDS-related services ☐ Institutions maintain process for continuously updating content, including HIV/AIDS content ☑ Updated curricula contain training related to stigma & discrimination of PLHIV ☐ Institutions track student employment after graduation to inform planning	7.5 Score: 0.71	Training curriculum for ENIP (Schools of Nursing), Faculties of Medicine of the State University of Haiti and Notre-Dame University	Decreased score compared to 2017 based on discussion with multiple stakeholders during SID Workshop concluding there's no documented process for continuosly updating the HIV/AIDS curriculum content.
7.6 In-service Training: To what extent does the host country government (through public, private, and/or voluntary sectors) plan and implement HIV/AIDS in-service training necessary to equip health workers for sustained epidemic control? (if exact or approximate percentage known, please note in Comments column)	Check all that apply among A, B, C, D: ☑ A. The host country government provides the following support for in-service training in the country (check ONE): ☐ Host country government implements no (0%) HIV/AIDS related in-service training ☑ Host country government implements minimal (approx. 1-9%) HIV/AIDS related in-service training ☐ Host country government implements some (approx. 10-49%) HIV/AIDS in-service training ☐ Host country government implements most (approx. 50-89%) HIV/AIDS in-service training ☐ Host country government implements all or almost all (approx. 90%+) HIV/AIDS in-service training ☑ B. The host country government has a national plan for institutionalizing (establishing capacity within local institutions to deliver) donor-supported in-service training in HIV/AIDS ☐ C. The host country government requires continuing professional development, a form of in-service training, for re-licensure for key clinicians ☑ D. The host country government maintains a database to track training for HIV/AIDS, and allocates training based on need (e.g. focusing on high burden areas)	7.6 Score: 0.54	"TrainSMART", a web-based training tracking software (used by CIFAS and two health departments)	Availability of a database at CIFAS, but its update is not done in a systematic way. Decreased score compared to 2017 based on discussion with multiple stakeholders during SID Workshop concluding misinterpretation of source document data in the previous SID.

7.7 Health Workforce Data Collection and Use: Does the country systematically collect and use health workforce data, such as through a Human Resource Information Systems (HRIS), for HIV/AIDS services and/or health workforce planning and management?	○ A. There is no HRIS in country and data on the health workforce is not collected systematically for planning and management ○ B. There is no HRIS in country, but some data is collected for planning and management ☐ Registration and re-licensure data for key professionals is collected and used for planning and management ☐ MOH health worker employee data (number, cadre, and location of employment) is collected and used ☐ Routine assessments are conducted regarding health worker staffing at health facility and/or community sites ◉ C. There is an HRIS (an interoperable system that captures at least regulatory and deployment data on health workers) in country: ☑ The HRIS is primarily financed and managed by host country institutions ☑ There is a national strategy or approach to interoperability for HRIS ☑ The government produces HR data from the system at least annually ☐ Host country institutions use HR data from the system for planning and management (e.g. health worker deployment)	7.7 Score:	0.83	SIGRH HR Strategy Document of DRH / MSPP	Decreased score compared to 2017 based on discussion with multiple stakeholders during SID Workshop concluding that currently HR data are not used for planning and management.
7.8 Management and Monitoring of Health Workforce Does an administrative entity, such as a national office or Bureau/s, exist with specific authority to manage - plan, monitor, and provide guidance - for health workforce activities in HIV service delivery sites, including training, supervision, deployments, quality assurance, and others across all sectors. Select only ONE answer.	○ A. No, there is no entity. ◉ B. Yes, there is an entity, but it has limited authority, insufficient staff, and insufficient budget ○ C. Yes, there is an entity with authority and sufficient staff, but not a sufficient budget. ○ D. Yes, there is an entity with authority and sufficient staff and budget.	7.8 Score:	0.32	**Patient linkage and retention (PLR).**	It's a tool used to track patient in the community. It is also used for the monitoring the ASCP activities.
		Health Workforce Score:	**4.90**		

8. Commodity Security and Supply Chain: The National HIV/AIDS response ensures a secure, reliable and adequate supply and distribution of quality products, including drugs, lab and medical supplies, health items, and equipment required for effective and efficient HIV/AIDS prevention, diagnosis and treatment. Host country efficiently manages product selection, forecasting and supply planning, procurement, warehousing and inventory management, transportation, dispensing and waste management reducing costs while maintaining quality.			**Data Source**	**Notes/Comments**
8.1 ARV Domestic Financing: What is the estimated percentage of ARV procurement funded by domestic sources? (Domestic sources includes public sector and private sector but excludes donor and out-of-pocket funds) (if exact or approximate percentage known, please note in Comments column)	○A. This information is not known. ◉B. No (0%) funding from domestic sources ○C. Minimal (approx. 1-9%) funding from domestic sources ○D. Some (approx. 10-49%) funded from domestic sources ○E. Most (approx. 50 – 89%) funded from domestic sources ○F. All or almost all (approx. 90%+) funded from domestic sources	8.1 Score: 0.00	2017-2019 national quantification	
8.2 Test Kit Domestic Financing: What is the estimated percentage of HIV Rapid Test Kit procurement funded by domestic sources? (Domestic sources includes public sector and private sector but excludes donor and out-of-pocket funds) (if exact or approximate percentage known, please note in Comments column)	○A. This information is not known ○B. No (0%) funding from domestic sources ◉C. Minimal (approx. 1-9%) funding from domestic sources ○D. Some (approx. 10-49%) funded from domestic sources ○E. Most (approx. 50-89%) funded from domestic sources ○F. All or almost all (approx. 90%+) funded from domestic sources	8.2 Score: 0.21	2018 and 2019 national blood safety quantification	Health commodities are mostly procured by donor funding for ARV, HIV RTKs and other essential health commodities, through specific donors procurement mechanisms. However, since January 2018, Global Funds only supports a portion (~ 50%) of the procurement of the needed health commodities for the national blood safety program while MOH has procured
8.3 Condom Domestic Financing: What is the estimated percentage of condom procurement funded by domestic (not donor) sources? *Note:* The denominator should be the supply of free or subsidized condoms provided to public or private sector health facilities or community based programs. (if exact or approximate percentage known, please note in Comments column)	○A. This information is not known ◉B. No (0%) funding from domestic sources ○C. Minimal (approx. 1-9%) funding from domestic sources ○D. Some (approx. 10-49%) funded from domestic sources ○E. Most (approx. 50-89%) funded from domestic sources ○F. All or almost all (approx. 90%+) funded from domestic sources	8.3 Score: 0.00	2018 FP/RH national quantification	UNFPA and USAID support the procurement of needed condoms for the country.

8.4 Supply Chain Plan: Does the country have an agreed-upon national supply chain plan that guides investments in the supply chain?	○ A. There is no plan or thoroughly annually reviewed supply chain standard operating procedure (SOP). ◉ B. There is a plan/SOP that includes the following components (check all that apply): ☑ Human resources ☑ Training ☑ Warehousing ☑ Distribution ☑ Reverse Logistics ☑ Waste management ☑ Information system ☐ Procurement ☑ Forecasting ☑ Supply planning and supervision ☑ Site supervision	8.4 Score: 1.52	Five-Year transition plan of theNational supply chain and inputs distribution system (SNADI) - 2018-2022. This plan was validated by MOH in Nov 2018. National pharmaceutical waste management strategy drafted and shared with partners for feedback on Sept 6, 2019.	Improvements: reverse logistics and waste management. The 2018-2022 SNADI plan was validated by MOH in Nov 2018. National pharmaceutical waste management strategy drafted and shared with partners for feedback on Sept 6, 2019. For supply planning and supervision, there are several national committees: CAGIL, VL national quantification and quarterly/semi-annual pipeline review, HIV (ARV/OI drugs) logistic quarterly clusters and national quantification meetings.
8.5 Supply Chain Plan Financing: What is the estimated percentage of financing for the supply chain plan that is provided by domestic sources (i.e. excluding donor funds)? (if exact or approximate percentage known, please note in Comments column)	○ A. This information is not available. ◉ B. No (0%) funding from domestic sources. ○ C. Minimal (approx. 1-9%) funding from domestic sources. ○ D. Some (approx. 10-49%) funding from domestic sources. ○ E. Most (approx. 50-89%) funding from domestic sources. ○ F. All or almost all (approx. 90%+) funding from domestic sources.	8.5 Score: 0.00	Five-Year transition plan of the National supply chain and inputs distribution system (SNADI) - 2018-2022. This plan was validated by MOH in Nov 2018. National pharmaceutical waste management strategy drafted and shared with partners for feedback on Sept 6, 2019.	

8.6 Stock: Does the host country government manage processes and systems that ensure appropriate ARV stock in all levels of the system?	Check all that apply: ☑ The group making re-supply decisions for ARVs, have timely visibility into the ARV stock on hand at facilities ☐ Facilities are stocked with ARVs according to plan (above the minimum and below the maximum stock level) 90% of the time ☐ MOH or other host government personnel make re-supply decisions with minimal external assistance: ☐ Decision makers are not seconded or implementing partner staff ☐ Supply chain data are maintained within the Ministry of Health and not solely stored by donor-funded projects ☐ Team that conducts analysis of facility data is at least 50% host government	8.6 Score: 0.56	Monthly stock and consumption reports from health facilities. Monthly patients by regimen reports shared with PNLS.	The group making re-supply decisions for ARVs includes Chemonics/GHSC-PSM staff for PEPFAR supported health facilities and OHMASS staff for Global Fun (GF) supported health facilities. These two implementing partners receive monthly stock and consumption reports from the health facilities to help with decision making for the re-supply process. Patients by regimen data are collected by GHSC-PSM and OHMaSS and shared with PNLS on a monthly basis. To date, MOH/PNLS is not involved in the re-supply decision process. For the coming month, GHSC-
8.7 Assessment: Was an overall score of above 80% achieved on the National Supply Chain Assessment or top quartile for an equivalent assessment conducted within the last three years? (if exact or approximate percentage known, please note in Comments column)	◉ A. A comprehensive assessment has not been done within the last three years. ○ B. A comprehensive assessment has been done within the last three years but the score was lower than 80% (for NSCA) or in the bottom three quartiles for the global average of other equivalent assessments ○ C. A comprehensive assessment has been done within the last three years and the score was higher than 80% (for NSCA) or in the top quartile for the assessment	8.7 Score: 0.00	Based on discussion with multiple stakeholders	GF is currenlty conducting a national essential medicines stock availability at selected sites. No results available yet.
8.8 Management and Monitoring of Supply Chain: Does an administrative entity, such as a national office or Bureau/s, exist with specific authority to manage - plan, monitor, and provide guidance - supply chain activities including forecasting, stock monitoring, logistics and warehousing support, and other forms of information monitoring across all sectors? Select only ONE answer.	○ A. No, there is no entity. ◉ B. Yes, there is an entity, but it has limited authority, insufficient staff, and insufficient budget ○ C. Yes, there is an entity with authority and sufficient staff, but not a sufficient budget. ○ D. Yes, there is an entity with authority and sufficient staff and budget.	8.8 Score: 0.56	SNADI 2018-2022 transition plan, SNADI sub-technical commities TORs, TORs of the HIV logistics national cluster.	
	Commodity Security and Supply Chain Score:	**2.83**		

9. Quality Management: Host country has institutionalized quality management systems, plans, workforce capacities and other key inputs to ensure that modern quality improvement methodologies are applied to managing and providing HIV/AIDS services			**Data Source**	**Notes/Comments**
9.1 Existence of a Quality Management (QM) System: Does the host country government support appropriate QM structures to support continuous quality improvement (QI) at national, sub-national and site levels?	○ A. The host country government does not have structures or resources to support site-level continuous quality improvement ◉ B. The host country government: ☑ Has structures with dedicated focal points or leaders (e.g., committee, focal person, working groups, teams) at the national level, sub-national level and in a majority of sites where HIV/AIDS care and services are offered that are supporting site-level continuous quality improvement ☐ Has a budget line item for the QM program ☑ Supports a knowledge management platform (e.g., web site) and/or peer learning opportunities available to site QI participants to gain insights from other sites and interventions	9.1 Score: 1.33	HealthQual, Healthqual-MESI platform, National Committee for Data Quality (CNQD-French Acronym). Score decrease from 2017: According to the group there is not a budget line for QM program.	
9.2 Quality Management/Quality Improvement (QM/QI) Plan: Is there a current (updated within the last 2 years) QM/QI plan? (The plan may be HIV program-specific or include HIV program-specific elements in a national health sector QM/QI plan.)	○ A. There is no HIV/AIDS-related QM/QI strategy ○ B. There is a QM/QI strategy that includes HIV/AIDS, but it is not utilized ○ C. There is a current QM/QI strategy that includes HIV/AIDS program specific elements, and it is partially utilized. ◉ D. There is a current HIV/AIDS program specific QM/QI strategy, and it is fully utilized.	9.2 Score: 2.00	HealthQual report	
9.3 Performance Data Collection and Use for Improvement: Are HIV program performance measurement data systematically collected and analyzed to identify areas of patient care and services that can be improved through national decision making, policy, or priority setting?	○ A. HIV program performance measurement data are not used to identify areas of patient care and services that can be improved through national decision making, policy, or priority setting. ◉ B. HIV program performance measurement data are used to identify areas of patient care and services that can be improved through national decision making, policy, or priority setting (check all that apply): ☑ The national quality structure has a clinical data collection system from which local performance measurement data on prioritized measures are being collected, aggregated nationally, and analyzed for local and national improvement ☑ There is a system for sharing data at the national, SNU, and local level, with evidence that data is used to identify quality gaps and initiate QI activities ☑ There is documentation of results of QI activities and demonstration of national HIV program improvement through sharing and implementation of best practices across HIV/AIDS sites at all levels	9.3 Score: 2.00	HealthQual Report,Epidemiologic Bulletin of National AIDS Control Program (PNLS-French Acronym), CNQD, Integrated Management System of HEALTHQUAL Haiti (SIGHH-French Acronym)	

9.4 Health worker capacity for QM/QI: Does the host country government ensure that the health workforce has capacities to apply modern quality improvement methods to HIV/AIDS care and services?	○ A. There is no training or recognition offered to build health workforce competency in QI. ◉ B. There is health workforce competency-building in QI, including: ☑ Pre-service institutions incorporate modern quality improvement methods in curricula ☑ National in-service training (IST) curricula integrate quality improvement training for members of the health workforce (including managers) who provide or support HIV/AIDS services	9.4 Score: 2.00	Curriculum of the Faculty of Medicine and Pharmacy of the State University of Haiti (FMP-UEH) and National School of Nursing (ENIP), HEALTHQUAL Training	
9.5 Existence of QI Implementation: Does the host country government QM system use proven systematic approaches for QI?	The national-level QM structure: ☑ Provides oversight to ensure continuous quality improvement in HIV/AIDS care and services ☐ Regularly convenes meetings that include health services consumers ☑ Routinely reviews national, sub-national and clinical outcome data to identify and prioritize areas for improvement Sub-national QM structures: ☑ Provide coordination and support to ensure continuous quality improvement in HIV/AIDS care and services ☐ Regularly convene meetings that includes health services consumers ☑ Routinely review national, sub-national and clinical outcome data to identify and prioritize areas for improvement Site-level QM structures: ☑ Undertake continuous quality improvement in HIV/AIDS care and services to identify and prioritize areas for improvement	9.5 Score: 1.43	Inter-site meetings at departmental level, site data validation, HEALTHQUAL semi-annual and annual reports; site quality plan, SIGHH, Quarterly Performance workshops for community data (PLR)	At site level, there are healthqual projects to improve some aspects of HIV/AIDS care and servives
		Quality Management Score:	**8.76**	

10. Laboratory: The host country ensures adequate funds, policies, and regulations to ensure laboratory capacity (workforce, equipment, reagents, quality) matches the services required for PLHIV.			**Data Source**	**Notes/Comments**
10.1 Strategic Plan: Does the host country have a national laboratory strategic plan?	○A. There is no national laboratory strategic plan ○B. National laboratory strategic plan is under development ◉C. National laboratory strategic plan has been developed, but not approved ○D. National laboratory strategic plan has been developed and approved ○E. National laboratory plan has been developed, approved, and costed ○F. National laboratory strategic plan has been developed, approved, costed, and implemented	10.1 Score: 0.53	Draft of the national lab strategic plan.	The national lab strategic plan was developped in 2017 but not validated yet. However, there is a national lab policy document developped and approved in March 2018.
10.2 Management and Monitoring of Laboratory Services: Does an administrative entity, such as a national office or Bureau/s, exist with specific authority to manage - plan, monitor, purchase, and provide guidance - laboratory services at the regional and district level across all sectors? Select only ONE answer.	○A. No, there is no entity. ◉B. Yes, there is an entity, but it has limited authority, insufficient staff, and insufficient budget ○C. Yes, there is an entity with authority and sufficient staff, but not a sufficient budget. ○D. Yes, there is an entity with authority and sufficient staff and budget.	10.2 Score: 0.44	Organic law of MOH on the role of the National Laboraty(LNSP)	There is an approved national guidance document that defines the role of labs in Haiti, at each health level. This document exists since Aug 2013: MOH LAB harmonization and standardization
10.3 Regulations to Monitor Quality of Laboratories and Point of Care Testing (POCT) Sites: To what extent does the host country have regulations in place to monitor the quality of its laboratories and POCT sites? (if exact or approximate percentage known, please note in Comments column)	○A. Regulations do not exist to monitor minimum quality of laboratories in the country. ○B. Regulations exist, but are not implemented (0% of laboratories and POCT sites regulated). ○C. Regulations exist, but are minimally implemented (approx.. 1-9% of laboratories and POCT sites regulated). ◉D. Regulations exist, but are partially implemented (approx. 10-49% of laboratories and POCT sites regulated). ○E. Regulations exist and are mostly implemented (approx. 50-89% of laboratories and POCT sites regulated). ○F. Regulations exist and are fully or almost fully implemented (approx. 90%+ of laboratories and POCT sites regulated).	10.3 Score: 0.67	National lab policy from March 2018 that defines the minimum criteria for a lab to be qualified as being at the minimum quality level. Results of the assessment of quality of HIV rapid tests at 41 laboratories and POCT in 2018 (Rapid Test Quality Improvement Initiave).	The National Lab(LNSP) has developped check lists to assess the level of quality of the laboratories and POCT. An assessment was conducted during 2018 at 41 lab and POCT: 20% sites were evaluated/regulated. Due to budget constraints and civil unrest, LNSP was not able to conduct as much as many supervision visits that they used to do in the past.
10.4 Capacity of Laboratory Workforce: Does the host country have an adequate number of qualified laboratory personnel (human resources [HR]) in the public sector, to sustain key functions to meet the needs of PLHIV for diagnosis, monitoring treatment and viral load suppression?	○A. There are not adequate qualified laboratory personnel to achieve sustained epidemic control ◉B. There are adequate qualified laboratory personnel to perform the following key functions: ☑ HIV diagnosis by rapid testing and point-of-care testing ☑ Routine laboratory testing, including chemistry, hematology, microbiology, serology, blood banking, and malaria ☑ Complex laboratory testing, including HIV viral load, CD4 testing, and molecular assays ☑ TB diagnosis	10.4 Score: 1.33	Service provision assessment 2017-2018 (SPA)	Complex lab testing, including HIV viral load, CD4 testing and molecular assays: available qualified staff and in quantity at central reference laboratories (IMIS/GHESKIO and LNSP) and at selected HUBs (CD4).

10.5 Viral Load Infrastructure: Does the host country have sufficient infrastructure to test for viral load to reach sustained epidemic control?	○A. There is not sufficient infrastructure to test for viral load. ◉B. There is sufficient infrastructure to test for viral load, including: ☑ Sufficient HIV viral load instruments ☑ All HIV viral load laboratories have an instrument maintenance program ☑ Sufficient supply chain system is in place to prevent stock out ☑ Adequate specimen transport system and timely return of results	10.5 Score: 1.33	National quantification for Viral Load/EID (2018), monthly stock and consumption reports of viral load commodities from IMIS and LNSP; maintenance service agreement with Abbott for the equiment at both reference labs (4 equipments); results are given back to health providers electronically within 24 hours after results are validated by the LNSP; there is a national tranport system described in the Specimen Referral Network document (2018).	
10.6 Domestic Funds for Laboratories: To what extent are laboratory services financed by domestic public or private resources (i.e. excluding external donor funding)? (if exact or approximate percentage known, please note in Comments column)	○A. No (0%) laboratory services are financed by domestic resources. ◉B. Minimal (approx. 1-9%) laboratory services are financed by domestic resources. ○C. Some (approx. 10-49%) laboratory services are financed by domestic resources. ○D. Most (approx. 50-89%) laboratory services are financed by domestic resources. ○E. All or almost all (approx. 90%+) laboratory services are financed by domestic resources.	10.6 Score: 0.83	National budget: some lab personnel are paid by the MOH and some reagents are funded by the sites (site recovery system)	No improvement since 2017
		Laboratory Score: **5.14**		

THIS CONCLUDES THE SET OF QUESTIONS ON DOMAIN B

Domain C. Strategic Financing and Market Openness

What Success Looks Like: Host country government is aware of the financial resources required to effectively and efficiently meet its national HIV/AIDS prevention, care and treatment targets. HCG actively seeks, solicits and or generates the necessary financial resources, ensures sufficient resource commitments, and uses data to strategically allocate funding and maximize investments. Finally, having and effectively implementing policies that ensure leveraging markets (both nonprofit and for profit) where appropriate and enabling their participation and competition will be critical for a sustained HIV response.

Fiscal Context for Health and HIV/AIDS This section will not be assigned a score, but will provide additional contextual information to complement the questions in Domain C.			**Data Source**	**Notes/Comments**
1. What percentage of general government expenditures goes to health?	4.4__%		National budget 2017-2018	
2. What is the per capita health expenditure all sources?	$_66_		National Health Accounts: FY 2013-2014(published november 2017)	
3. What is the total health care expenditure all sources as a percent of GDP?	8.22__%		Wold bank document 1166682-wp-v2: Better spend to better care and National Health Accounts: FY 2013-	
4. What percent of total health expenditures is financed by external resources?	56.7__%		National Health Accounts: FY 2013-2014(published november 2017)	
5. What percent of total health expenditures is financed by out of pocket spending net of household contributions to medical schemes/pre-payment schemes?	30.1__%		National Health Accounts: FY 2013-2014(published november 2017)	

11. Domestic Resource Mobilization: The partner country budgets for its HIV/AIDS response and makes adequate resource commitments and expenditures to achieve national HIV/AIDS goals for epidemic control in line with its financial ability.			**Data Source**	**Notes/Comments**
11.1 Long-term Financing Strategy for HIV/AIDS: Has the host country government developed a long-term financing strategy for HIV/AIDS?	Check all that apply: ☑ A. Yes, there is a universal, comprehensive financing scheme that integrates social health insurance, public subsidies, and national budget provisions for public health aspects (e.g., disease surveillance). It includes the following (check all that apply): ☑ ARVs are covered ☑ Non-ARV care and treatment is covered ☑ Prevention services are covered ☐ B. Yes, there is an affordable health insurance scheme available (check one of the following). ☐ It covers 25% or less of the population. ☐ It covers 26 to 50% of the population. ☐ It covers 51 to 75% of the population. ☐ It covers more than 75% of the population. ☐ C. The affordable health insurance scheme in (B.) includes the following (check all that apply): ☐ ARVs are covered. ☐ Non-ARV care and treatment services are covered. ☐ Prevention services are covered (specify in comments). ☐ It includes public subsidies for the affordability of care.	11.1 Score: 0.32	**National HIV Strategic Plan 2018-2023**	

11.2 Domestic Budget: To what extent does the national budget explicitly account for the national HIV/AIDS response?	○ A. There is no explicit funding for HIV/AIDS in the national budget. ◉ B. There is explicit HIV/AIDS funding within the national budget. ☐ The HIV/AIDS budget is program-based across ministries ☐ The budget includes or references indicators of progress toward national HIV/AIDS strategy goals ☑ The budget includes specific HIV/AIDS service delivery targets ☐ National budget reflects all sources of funding for HIV, including from external donors	11.2 Score: 0.60	Finance law/ act, national budget narrative	
11.3 Annual Goals/Targets: To what extent does the national budget contain HIV/AIDS goals/targets?	○ A. There are no HIV/AIDS goals/targets articulated in the national budget ◉ B. There are HIV/AIDS goals/targets articulated in the national budget. ☑ The goals/targets are measurable. ☐ Budget items/programs are linked to goals/targets. ☐ The goals/targets are routinely monitored during budget execution. ☐ The goals/targets are routinely monitored during the development of the budget.	11.3 Score: 0.60	National Budget	
11.4 HIV/AIDS Budget Execution: For the previous three years, what was the average execution rate for budgeted domestic HIV/AIDS resources (i.e. excluding any donor funds) at both the national and subnational level? (If subnational data does not exist or is not available, answer the question for the national level. Note level covered in the comments column)	◉ A. There is no HIV/AIDS budget, or information is not available. ○ B. 0-49% of budget executed ○ C. 50-69% of budget executed ○ D. 70-89% of budget executed ○ E. 90% or greater of budget executed	11.4 Score: 0.00		

11.5 Donor Spending: Does the Ministry of Health or Ministry of Finance routinely, and at least on an annual basis, collect all donor spending in the health sector or for HIV/AIDS-specific services?	○ A. Neither the Ministry of Health nor the Ministry of Finance routinely collects all donor spending in the health sector or for HIV/AIDS-specific services. ○ B. The Ministry of Health or Ministry of Finance routinely collects all donor spending for only HIV/AIDS-specific services. ◉ C. The Ministry of Health or Ministry of Finance routinely collects all donor spending all the entire health sector, including HIV/AIDS-specific services.	11.5 Score: 0.95	REDES, National health accounts	All non-governmental organizations (national or international) have the obligation to provide to the Ministry of Planning and External Cooperation an annual report of expenditures in all areas
11.6 Domestic Spending: What percent of the annual national HIV response is financed with domestic public and domestic private sector HIV funding? (Domestic funding excludes out-of-pocket, Global Fund grants, and other donor resources)? (if exact or approximate percentage known, please note in Comments column)	○ A. None (0%) is financed with domestic funding. ◉ B. Very liitle (approx. 1-9%) is financed with domestic funding. ○ C. Some (approx. 10-49%) is financed with domestic funding. ○ D. Most (approx. 50-89%) is financed with domestic funding. ○ E. All or almost all (approx. 90%+) is financed with domestic funding.	11.6 Score: 0.83	National health accounts	
11.7 Health Budget Execution: What was the country's execution rate of its budget for health in the most recent year's budget?	○ A. There is no budget for health or no money was allocated. ○ B. 0-49% of budget executed. ○ C. 50-69% of budget executed. ◉ D. 70-89% of budget executed. ○ E. 90% or greater of budget executed.	11.7 Score: 0.63	Budgetary implementation report of the Ministry of Economy and Finance (MEF); National budget	Decreased score compared to 2017 because this is the current execution rate.
11.8 Data-Driven Reprogramming: Do host country government policies/systems allow for reprograming domestic investments based on new or updated program data during the government funding cycle?	○ A. There is no system for funding cycle reprogramming. ○ B. There is a policy/system that allows for funding cycle reprogramming, but is seldom used. ◉ C. There is a policy/system that allows for funding cycle reprogramming and reprogramming is done as per the policy, but not based on data. ○ D. There is a policy/system that allows for funding cycle reprogramming and reprogramming is done as per the policy, and is based on data.	11.8 Score: 0.63	National budget	
	Domestic Resource Mobilization Score:	**4.56**		

12. Technical and Allocative Efficiencies: The host country analyzes and uses relevant HIV/AIDS epidemiological, health, health workforce, and economic data to inform HIV/AIDS investment decisions. For maximizing impact, data are used to choose which high impact program services and interventions are to be implemented, where resources should be allocated, and what populations demonstrate the highest need and should be targeted (i.e. the right thing at the right place and at the right time). Unit costs are tracked and steps are taken to improve HIV/AIDS outcomes within the available resource envelope (or achieves comparable outcomes with fewer resources).			**Data Source**	**Notes/Comments**
12.1 Resource Allocation Process: Does the partner country government utilize a recognized data-driven model to inform the allocation of domestic (i.e. non-donor) public HIV resources? If yes, please note in the comments section when the model was last used and for what purpose (e.g., for Global Fund concept note development) (note: full score achieved by selecting one checkbox)	○ A. The host country government does not use one of the mechanisms listed below to inform the allocation of their resources. ◉ B. The host country government does use the following mechanisms to inform the allocation of their resources (check all that apply): ☐ Optima ☑ Spectrum (including EPP and Goals) ☐ AIDS Epidemic Model (AEM) ☐ Modes of Transmission (MOT) Model ☐ Other recognized process or model (specify in notes column)	12.1 Score: 2.00	SPECTRUM, UNAIDS publication	
12.2 Geographic Allocation: Of central government HIV-specific resources (excluding any donor funds) allocated to geographic subunits in the most recent year available, what percentage is being allocated in the highest burden geographic areas (i.e. districts that cumulatively account for 80% of PLHIV)? (if exact or approximate percentage known, please note in Comments column)	○ A. Information not available. ○ B. No resources (0%) are targeting the highest burden geographic areas. ◉ C. Minimal resources (approx. 1-9%) are targeting the highest burden geographic areas. ○ D. Some resources (approx. 10-49%) are targeting the highest burden geographic areas. ○ E. Most resources (approx. 50-89%) are targeting the highest burden geographic areas. ○ F. All or almost all resources (approx. 90%+) are targeting the highest burden geographic areas.	12.2 Score: 0.50	National health accounts. National Budget	

12.3 Information on cost of service provision: Does the host country government have a system that routinely produces information on the costs of providing HIV/AIDS services, and is this information used for budgeting or planning purposes? (note: full score can be achieved without checking all disaggregate boxes).	◉ A. The host country DOES NOT have a system that routinely produces information on the costs of providing HIV/AIDS services. ○ B. The host country has a system that routinely produces information on the costs of providing HIV/AIDS services, but this information is not used for budgeting or planning. ○ C. The host country has a system that routinely produces information on the costs of providing HIV/AIDS services AND this information is used for bugeting or planning purposes for the following services (check all that apply): ☐ HIV Testing ☐ Laboratory services ☐ ART ☐ PMTCT ☐ VMMC ☐ OVC Service Package ☐ Key population Interventions ☐ PrEP	12.3 Score: 0.00	Based on discussion with multiple stakeholders during SID Workshop	Decreased score compared to 2017 because of changes in the question formulation and there's no system to routinely produce information on costs of HIV/AIDS service delivery.
12.4 Improving Efficiency: Has the partner country achieved any of the following efficiency improvements through actions taken within the last three years?	Check all that apply: ☐ Improved operations or interventions based on the findings of cost-effectiveness or efficiency studies ☐ Reduced overhead costs by streamlining management ☐ Lowered unit costs by reducing fragmentation, i.e. pooled procurement, resource pooling, etc. ☐ Improved procurement competition ☐ Integrated HIV/AIDS into national or subnational insurance schemes (private or public -- need not be within last three years) ☑ Integrated HIV into primary care services with linkages to specialist care (need not be within last three years) ☑ Integrated TB and HIV services, including ART initiation in TB treatment settings and TB screening and treatment in HIV care settings (need not be within last three years)	12.4 Score: 0.89	TB/VIH strategic plan. New guidelines of MOH (ART-MMD-DAC).	In the past, care for PLHIV was provided almost exclusively in an institutional setting. Currently, PNLS, with partners support, has developed a new model of care by advocating for a mixed approach (community and institutional) of care for PLHIV

	☑ Integrated HIV and MCH services, including ART initiated and maintained in eligible pregnant and postpartum women and in infants at maternal and child health care settings (need not be within last three years) ☑ Developed and implemented other new and more efficient models of HIV service delivery (e.g., multi-month scripting, testing modalities targeted to the population profile, etc. - specify in comments)			
12.5 ARV Benchmark prices: How do the costs of ARVs (most common first line regimen) purchased in the previous year by the partner government using domestic resources compare to international benchmark prices for that year? (Use the "factory cost" of purchased commodities, excluding transport costs, distribution costs, etc.)	◉ A. Partner government did not pay for any ARVs using domestic resources in the previous year. ○ B. Average price paid for ARVs by the partner government in the previous year was more than 50% greater than the international benchmark price for that regimen. ○ C. Average price paid for ARVs by the partner government in the previous year was 10-50% greater than the international benchmark price for that regimen. ○ D. Average price paid for ARVs by the partner government in the previous year was 1-10% greater than the international benchmark price for that regimen. ○ E. Average price paid for ARVs by the partner government in the previous year was below or equal to the international benchmark price for that regimen.	12.5 Score: 0.00		
	Technical and Allocative Efficiencies Score:	**3.39**		

13. Market Openness: Host country and donor policies do not negatively distort the market for HIV services by reducing participation and/or competition.				**Data Source**	**Notes/Comments**
13.1 Granting exclusive rights for services or training: Do national government or donor (e.g., PEPFAR, GFATM, etc.) policies grant exclusive rights for the government or another local provider to provide HIV services?	Do national government or donor (e.g., PEPFAR, GFATM, etc.) polices: A. Restrict the provision of any one aspect of HIV prevention, testing, counseling, or treatment services to a single entity (i.e., creating a monopoly arrangement for that service)? ☑ Yes ☐ No B. Mandate that only government facilities have the exclusive right to provide any one aspect of HIV prevention, testing, counseling, or treatment services? ☐ Yes ☑ No C. Grant exclusive rights to government institutions for providing health service training? ☐ Yes ☑ No	13.1 Score:	0.24	2018 National quantification for viral load/EID and blood safety program	Some lab equiment/manufacturers and lab reagents were suggested by the donor and validated by the MOH (ex: Abbott equipment for viral load/EID).
13.2 Requiring license or authorization: Do national government or donor (e.g., PEPFAR, GFATM, etc.) policies establish a license, permit or authorization process as a requirement of operation?	A. Are health facilities required to obtain a government-mandated license or accreditation in order to provide HIV services? [SELECT ONE] ☑ No ☐ Yes, and the enforcement of the accreditation places equal burden on nongovernment facilities (e.g., FBOs, CBOs, or private sector) and government facilities. ☐ Yes, and the enforcement of the accreditation places higher burden on nongovernment facilities (e.g., FBOs, CBOs, or private sector) than on government facilities. B. Are health training institutions required to obtain a government-mandated license or accreditation in order to provide health service training? [SELECT ONE] ☑ No ☐ Yes, and the enforcement of the accreditation places equal burden on nongovernment institutions (e.g., FBOs, CBOs, or private sector) and government institutions. ☐ Yes, and the enforcement of the accreditation places higher burden on nongovernment institutions (e.g., FBOs, CBOs, or private sector) than on government institutions.	13.2 Score:	0.36	Based on discussion with multiple stakeholders	

13.3 Limiting provision of certain direct clinical services: Do national government or donor (e.g., PEPFAR, GFATM, etc.) policies limit the ability of licensed, local providers to provide certain direct clinical services?	National government or donor (e.g., PEPFAR, GFATM, etc.) policies limit the ability of licensed, local health service providers to offer the following HIV services: ☐ Prevention ☐ Testing and Counseling ☐ Treatment	13.3 Score: 0.36	Based on discussion with multiple stakeholders	No policy exists that limit the ability of licensed, local providers to provide certain direct clinical services.
13.4 Limiting provision of certain clinical support services: Do national government or donor (e.g., PEPFAR, GFATM, etc.) policies limit the ability of licensed local providers to provide certain clinical support services?	A. Do national government or donor (e.g., PEPFAR, GFATM, etc.) policies restrict the ability of licensed, local institutions from providing essential HIV laboratory services? ☐ Yes ☑ No B. Do national government or donor (e.g., PEPFAR, GFATM, etc.) policies create monopoly arrangements in lab testing (i.e., arrangements where effectively only one lab service provider is allowed to conduct a certain essential HIV lab service)? ☐ Yes ☑ No C. National government or donor (e.g., PEPFAR, GFATM, etc.) policies restrict the ability of licensed, local institutions from procuring or distributing the following HIV commodities and supplies [PLEASE SPECIFY TYPE IN NOTES]: ☐ ARVs ☐ Test kits ☐ Laboratory supplies ☐ Other D. Do national government or donor (e.g., PEPFAR, GFATM, etc.) policies create monopoly supply chain arrangements for HIV commodities (i.e., arrangements where effectively only one entity is able to supply a certain essential HIV commodity)? ☑ Yes ☐ No	13.4 Score: 0.27	Based on discussion with multiple stakeholders	It was reported that 633 health facilities, including the GF and PEPFAR supported health facilities, are providing HIV services (including treatments).

13.5 Limits on local manufacturing: Do national government policies limit the ability of the local manufacturing industry to compete with the international market?	A. Do national government policies restrict the production of HIV commodities and supplies by local manufacturers beyond international standards? ◯ Yes ◉ No B. [IF YES] For which of the following is local manufacturing restricted? ☐ ARVs ☐ Test kits ☐ Laboratory supplies ☐ Other	13.5 Score: 0.36	Based on discussion with multiple stakeho	
13.6 Cost of entry/exit: Do national government or donor (e.g., PEPFAR, GFATM, etc.) policies significantly raise the cost of entry or exit by a local provider?	Do local health service facilities face higher start-up or maintenance costs compared to government or donor (e.g., PEPFAR, GFATM, etc.) supported facilities (e.g., lack of access to funds, higher accreditation fees, prohibitive contracting costs, etc.)? ☑ Yes ☐ No	13.6 Score: 0.00	PEPFAR and GF supported implementing partners budgets	
13.7 Geographical barriers: Do national government or donor (e.g., PEPFAR, GFATM, etc.) policies create geographical barriers for local providers to supply goods, services or labor, or invest capital?	A. Are certain geographical areas restricted to only government or donor-supported HIV service providers? ◯ Yes ◉ No B. [IF YES] Which of the following are geographically restricted? ☐ Supplying HIV supplies and commodities ☐ Supplying HIV services or health workforce labor ☐ Investing capital (e.g., constructing or renovating facilities)	13.7 Score: 0.36	Based on discussion with multiple stakeho	
13.8 Freedom to advertise: Do national government or donor (e.g., PEPFAR, GFATM, etc.) policies limit the freedom of local organizations to advertise or market HIV goods or services? [Note: "organizations" in this case can refer broadly to clinical service providers and also organizations providing advocacy and promotion services.]	Do national government or donor (e.g., PEPFAR, GFATM, etc.) policies restrict the freedom of nongovernment (e.g., FBOs, CBOs, or private sector) organizations to advertise or promote HIV services either online, over TV and radio, or in public spaces? ☑ Yes ☐ No	13.8 Score: 0.00	CADME(Support Committee for Educational Materials) manages communication regulation	

13.9 Quality standards for HIV services: Do national government or donor (e.g., PEPFAR, GFATM, etc.) policies, and the enforcement of those polices, hold all HIV service providers (government-run, local private sector, FBOs, etc.) to the same standards of service quality?	Do national government or donor (e.g., PEPFAR, GFATM, etc.) policies, and the enforcement of those polices, hold all HIV service providers (government-run, local private sector, FBOs, etc.) to the same standards of service quality? [CHECK ALL THAT APPLY] ☑ Yes ☐ No, government service providers are held to higher standards than nongovernment service providers ☐ No, FBOs/CSOs are held to higher standards than government service providers ☐ No, private sector providers are held to higher standards than government service providers	13.9 Score: 0.63	National HIV (Care and Treatment) Guidelines - Sept 2019	
13.10 Quality standards for HIV commodities: Do national government policies set standards for product quality that provide an advantage to some commodity suppliers over others?	Do national government policies set product quality standards on HIV commodities that advantage some suppliers over others? [IF YES, PLEASE EXPLAIN IN NOTES] ☐ Yes ☑ No	13.10 Score: 0.63	Based on discussion with multiple stakeholders	
13.11 Cost of service provision: Do national government or donor (e.g., PEPFAR, GFATM, etc.) policies significantly raise the cost of service provision for some local providers relative to others (especially by treating incumbents differently from new entrants)?	A. Do government HIV service providers receive greater subsidies or support of overhead expenses (e.g., operational support) as compared to nongovernment (e.g., FBOs, CBOs, or private sector) HIV service providers? ☐ Yes ☑ No B. Does the national government selectively subsidize certain nongovernment (e.g., FBOs, CBOs, or private sector), local HIV service providers over others? ☐ Yes ☑ No subsidies or support of overhead expenses as compared to ☐ Yes ☑ No D. Does the national government selectively subsidize certain nongovernment (e.g., FBOs, CBOs, or private sector), local health service training institutions over others? ☐ Yes ☑ No	13.11 Score: 0.63	Based on discussion with multiple stakeho	
13.12 Self-regulation: Do national government or donor (e.g., PEPFAR, GFATM, etc.) policies allow for the creation of a self-regulatory or co-regulatory regime?	Do national government or donor (e.g., PEPFAR, GFATM, etc.) policies allow HIV service providers—either groups of individuals or groups of institutions—to create structural barriers (e.g., closed network systems) that may reduce the incentive of other potential providers to provide HIV services? ☐ Yes	13.12 Score: 1.25		

	☑ No		Based on discussion with multiple stakeholders	
13.13 Publishing of provider information: Do national government or donor (e.g., PEPFAR, GFATM, etc.) policies require or encourage information on local providers' outputs, prices, sales or costs to be published?	A. National government or donor (e.g., PEPFAR, GFATM, etc.) policies require nongovernment (e.g., FBOs, CBOs, or private sector) health service facilities to publish more data than government facilities on the following [CHECK ALL THAT APPLY]: ☐ HIV service caseload ☐ Procurement of HIV supplies/commodities ☐ Expenses policies require HIV commodity suppliers to publish data on the ☐ Distribution ☐ Sales/Revenue ☐ Production costs	13.13 Score: 1.25	Based on discussion with multiple stakeho	Answers are "NO" for A and B
13.14 Patient choice: Do national government or donor (e.g., PEPFAR, GFATM, etc.) policies limit the ability of patients to decide which providers or products to use?	Do national government or donor (e.g., PEPFAR, GFATM, etc.) policies restrict the ability of patients or specific groups of patients to choose: A. Which HIV service providers they use? ☐ Yes ☑ No B. Which HIV supplies/commodities they use (e.g., ARVs, PrEP, condoms, needles, etc.)? ☐ Yes ☑ No	13.14 Score: 1.25	Based on discussion with multiple stakeho	
13.15 Patient mobility: Do national government or donor (e.g., PEPFAR, GFATM, etc.) policies reduce mobility of patients between HIV service providers by increasing the explicit or implicit costs of changing providers?	Do national government or donor (e.g., PEPFAR, GFATM, etc.) policies impose costs or other barriers that restrict a patient's ability to transfer from a government HIV service provider to a nongovernment (e.g., FBO, CBO, or private sector) HIV service provider? ☐ Yes ☑ No	13.15 Score: 1.25	Based on discussion with multiple stakeholders	Current strategy is patient-centered with community support etc.
	Market Openness Score:	**8.81**		

THIS CONCLUDES THE SET OF QUESTIONS ON DOMAIN C

Domain D: Strategic Information

What Success Looks Like: Using local and national systems, the host country government collects, analyzes and makes available timely, comprehensive, and quality HIV/AIDS data (including epidemiological, economic/financial, and performance data) that can be used to inform policy, program and funding decisions.

14.Epidemiological and Health data: Host Country Government routinely collects, analyzes and makes available data on the HIV/AIDS epidemic and its effects on health outcomes. HIV/AIDS epidemiological and health data include size estimates of key populations, PLHIV, HIV incidence, HIV prevalence, viral load and AIDS-related mortality rates.			**Data Source**	**Notes/Comments**
14.1 Management and Monitoring of Surveillance Activities: Does an administrative entity, such as a national office or Bureau/s, exist with specific authority to manage - plan, monitor, and provide guidance - for HIV/AIDS epidemiological surveys and/or surveillance activities including: data collection, analysis and interpretation; data storage and retrieval; and quality assurance across all sectors. Select only ONE answer.	○ No, there is no entity. ○ Yes, there is an entity, but it has limited authority, insufficient staff, and insufficient budget ◉ Yes, there is an entity with authority and sufficient staff, but not a sufficient budget. ○ Yes, there is an entity with authority and sufficient staff and budget.	14.1 Score: 0.56	PNLS, Department of Laboratory Epidemiology and Research (DELR-French Acronym), Evaluation and Planning Unit (UEP--French Acronym)	With support from other organizations (NASTAD, UNAIDS…). The staff is linked to the budget.
14.2 Who Leads General Population Surveys & Surveillance: To what extent does the host country government lead and manage planning and implementation of the HIV/AIDS portfolio of general population epidemiological surveys and surveillance activities (population-based household surveys, case reporting/clinical surveillance, drug resistance surveillance, etc.)?	○ A. No HIV/AIDS general population surveys or surveillance activities have been conducted within the past 5 years ○ B. Surveys & surveillance activities are primarily planned and implemented by external agencies, organizations or institutions ○ C. Surveys & surveillance activities are planned and implemented by the host country government/other domestic institution, with substantial technical assistance from external agencies ◉ D. Surveys & surveillance activities are planned and implemented by the host country government/other domestic institution, with some technical assistance from external agencies ○ E. Surveys & surveillance activities are planned and implemented by the host country government/other domestic institution, with minimal or no technical assistance from external agencies	14.2 Score: 0.63	Demographic and Health Survey (EMMUS 6), ANC study, Case notification and case based surveillance database (SALVH), HAPHIA, Evaluation of HIV resistance (LNSP, PNLS)	
14.3 Who Leads Key Population Surveys & Surveillance: To what extent does the host country government lead & manage planning and implementation of the HIV/AIDS portfolio of key population epidemiological surveys and/or behavioral surveillance activities (IBBS, size estimation studies, etc.)?	○ A. No HIV/AIDS key population surveys or surveillance activities have been conducted within the past 5 years ○ B. Surveys & surveillance activities are primarily planned and implemented by external agencies, organizations or institutions ○ C. Surveys & surveillance activities are planned and implemented by the host country government/other domestic institution, with substantial technical assistance from external agencies ◉ D. Surveys & surveillance activities are planned and implemented by the host country government/other domestic institution, with some technical assistance from external agencies ○ E. Surveys & surveillance activities are planned and implemented by the host country government/other domestic institution, without minimal or no technical assistance from external agencies	14.3 Score: 0.63	Integrated Biological and Behavioral Surveillance (IBBS 2014), Programmatic Mapping and Size Estimation of Key Populations in Haiti (PLACE) 2016	Collaboration between the government and its partners.

14.4 Who Finances General Population Surveys & Surveillance: To what extent does the host country government fund the HIV/AIDS portfolio of general population epidemiological surveys and/or surveillance activities (e.g., protocol development, printing of paper-based tools, salaries and transportation for data collection, etc.)? (if exact or approximate percentage known, please note in Comments column)	○A. No HIV/AIDS general population surveys or surveillance activities have been conducted within the past 5 years ○B. No financing (0%) is provided by the host country government ◉C. Minimal financing (approx. 1-9%) is provided by the host country government ○D. Some financing (approx. 10-49%) is provided by the host country government ○E. Most financing (approx. 50-89%) is provided by the host country government ○F. All or almost all financing (90% +) is provided by the host country government	14.4 Score: 0.42	HIV-AIDS Resources and Expenditures (REDES-French Acronym) Report	
14.5 Who Finances Key Populations Surveys & Surveillance: To what extent does the host country government fund the HIV/AIDS portfolio of key population epidemiological surveys and/or behavioral surveillance activities (e.g., protocol development, printing of paper-based tools, salaries and transportation for data collection, etc.)? (if exact or approximate percentage known, please note in Comments column)	○A. No HIV/AIDS key population surveys or surveillance activities have been conducted within the past 5 years ○B. No financing (0%) is provided by the host country government ◉C. Minimal financing (approx. 1-9%) is provided by the host country government ○D. Some financing (approx. 10-49%) is provided by the host country government ○E. Most financing (approx. 50-89%) is provided by the host country government ○F. All or almost all financing (approx. 90% +) is provided by the host country government	14.5 Score: 0.42	Based on discussion with multiple stakeholders during SID workshop	

14.6 Comprehensiveness of Prevalence and Incidence Data: To what extent does the host country government collect HIV prevalence and incidence data according to relevant disaggregations, populations and geographic units?	Check ALL boxes that apply below. (A.) refers to prevalence data. (B.) refers to incidence data: ☑ A. The host country government collects at least every 5 years HIV prevalence data disaggregated by: ☑ Age (at coarse disaggregates) ☑ Age (at fine disaggregates) ☑ Sex ☑ Key populations (FSW, PWID, MSM, TG, prisoners) ☑ Priority populations (AGYW, clients of sex workers, military, mobile populations, non-injecting drug users) ☑ Sub-national units ☑ B. The host country government collects at least every 5 years HIV incidence disaggregated by: ☑ Age (at coarse disaggregates) ☑ Age (at fine disaggregates) ☑ Sex ☑ Key populations (FSW, PWID, MSM, TG, prisoners) ☑ Priority populations (AGYW, clients of sex workers, military, mobile populations, non-injecting drug users) ☑ Sub-national units	14.6 Score: 0.83	EMMUS, ANC, HAPHIA, Active longitudinal follow-up of HIV (SALVH-French Acronym), PLACE 2016	migrants, PWID, TG underreported. Recency test to be implemented to detect new cases

14.7 Comprehensiveness of Viral Load Coverage Data: To what extent does the host country government collect/report viral load coverage data according to relevant disaggregations and across all PLHIV? (if exact or approximate percentage is known, please note in Comments column)	○ A. The host country government does not collect/report viral load coverage data or does not conduct viral load monitoring ◉ B. The host country government collects/reports viral load coverage data (answer both subsections below): Government collects/report viral load coverage data according to the following disaggregates (check ALL that apply): ☑ Age ☑ Sex ☑ Key populations (FSW, PWID, MSM, TG, prisoners) ☑ Priority populations (AGYW, clients of sex workers, military, mobile populations, non-injecting drug users) For what proportion of PLHIV does the government collect/report viral load coverage data (select one of the following): ☐ Less than 25% ☐ 25-50% ☑ 50-75% ☐ More than 75%	14.7 Score: 0.73	EMR, National Public Health Laboratory (LNSP-French acronym) report	Data are collected for Key Populations but the current reports formats/indicators doesn't desaggregate by Key pop
14.8 Comprehensiveness of Key and Priority Populations Data: To what extent does the host country government conduct integrated behavioral surveillance (either as a standalone IBBS or integrated into other routine surveillance such as HSS+) and size estimation studies for key and priority populations? (Note: Full score possible without selecting all disaggregates.) Please note most recent survey dates in comments section.	○ A. The host country government does not conduct IBBS or size estimation studies for key populations (FSW, PWID, MSM, TG, prisoners) or priority populations (Military, etc.). ◉ B. The host country government conducts (answer both subsections below): IBBS (or other integrated behavioral surveillance) for (check ALL that apply): ☑ Female sex workers (FSW) ☑ Men who have sex with men (MSM) ☐ Transgender (TG) ☐ People who inject drugs (PWID) ☑ Prisoners ☑ Priority populations (AGYW, clients of sex workers, military, mobile populations, non-injecting drug users) Size estimation studies for (check ALL that apply): ☑ Female sex workers (FSW) ☑ Men who have sex with men (MSM) ☐ Transgender (TG) ☐ People who inject drugs (PWID) ☑ Prisoners ☑ Priority populations (AGYW, clients of sex workers, miliitary, mobile populations, non-injecting drug users)	14.8 Score: 0.83	SPECTRUM, Health Trough Walls (HTW) studies, PLACE 2016	

14.9 Timeliness of Epi and Surveillance Data: To what extent is a timeline for the collection of epidemiologic and surveillance data outlined in a national HIV/AIDS surveillance and survey strategy (or a national surveillance and survey strategy with specifics for HIV)?	○ A. There is no national HIV surveillance and surveys strategy, or a national surveillance and surveys strategy exists but does not include specifics for HIV surveillance and surveys ○ B. A national HIV surveillance and surveys strategy exists (or a national surveillance and surveys strategy exists and includes specifics for HIV), but the strategy does not outline a timeline for data collection for all relevant population groups ◉ C. A national HIV surveillance and surveys strategy exists (or a national surveillance and surveys strategy exists and includes specifics for HIV), and outlines a timeline for data collection for all relevant population groups	14.9 Score: 0.83	Retention survey each year,Case Notification Protocol, Monthly Report on MESI, weekly surveillance report	
14.10 Quality of Surveillance and Survey Data: To what extent does the host country government define and implement policies, procedures and governance structures that assure quality of HIV/AIDS surveillance and survey data?	○ A. No governance structures, procedures or policies designed to assure surveys & surveillance data quality exist/could be documented. ◉ B. The following structures, procedures or policies exist to assure quality of surveys & surveillance data (check all that apply): ☑ A national surveillance unit or other entity is responsible for assuring the quality of surveys & surveillance data ☑ A national, approved surveys & surveillance strategy is in place, which outlines standards, policies and procedures for data quality assurance ☑ Standard national procedures & protocols exist for reviewing surveys & surveillance data for quality and sharing feedback with appropriate staff responsible for data collection ☑ An in-country internal review board (IRB) exists and reviews all protocols.	14.10 Score: 0.83	Based on discussion with multiple stakeholders during SID workshop	
		Epidemiological and Health Data Score: **6.70**		

15. Financial/Expenditure data: Government collects, tracks and analyzes and makes available financial data related to HIV/AIDS, including the financing and spending on HIV/AIDS expenditures from all financing sources, costing, and economic evaluation, efficiency and market demand analyses for cost-effectiveness.			**Data Source**	**Notes/Comments**
15.1 Who Leads Collection of Expenditure Data: To what extent does the host country government lead & manage a national expenditure tracking system to collect HIV/AIDS expenditure data?	○A. No tracking of public HIV/AIDS expenditures has occurred within the past 5 years ○B. Collection of public HIV/AIDS expenditure data occurs using a standard tool (i.e. NASA, NHA), but planning and implementation is primarily led by external agencies, organizations, or institutions ◉C. Collection of public HIV/AIDS expenditure data occurs using a standard tool (i.e. NASA, NHA) and planning and implementation is led by the host country government, with substantial external technical assistance ○D. Collection of public HIV/AIDS expenditure data occurs using a standard tool (i.e. NASA, NHA) and planning and implementation is led by the host country government, with some external technical assistance ○E. Collection of public HIV/AIDS expenditure data occurs using a standard tool (i.e. NASA, NHA), and planning and implementation is led by the host country government, with minimal or no external technical assistance	15.1 Score: 1.67	National health accounts, NASA country report	Decreased score compared to 2017 because of changes in the question content
15.2 Comprehensiveness of Expenditure Data: To what extent does the host country government collect HIV/AIDS public sector expenditures according to funding source, expenditure type, program and geographic area?	○A. No HIV/AIDS expenditure tracking has occurred within the past 5 years ◉B. HIV/AIDS expenditure data are collected (check all that apply): ☑ By source of financing, such as domestic public, domestic private, out-of-pocket, Global Fund, PEPFAR, others ☑ By expenditures per program area, such as prevention, care, treatment, health systems strengthening ☐ By type of expenditure, such as training, overhead, vehicles, supplies, commodities/reagents, personnel ☐ Sub-nationally	15.2 Score: 1.67	REDES	Decreased score compared to 2017 because of changes in the question content
15.3 Timeliness of Expenditure Data: To what extent are expenditure data collected in a timely way to inform program planning and budgeting decisions?	○A. No HIV/AIDS expenditure data are collected ○B. HIV/AIDS expenditure data are collected irregularly, and more than 3 years ago ○C. HIV/AIDS expenditure data were collected at least once in the past 3 years ○D. HIV/AIDS expenditure data are collected annually but represent more than one year of expenditures ◉E. HIV/AIDS expenditure data are collected annually and represent only one year of expenditures	15.3 Score: 3.33	REDES	
		Financial/Expenditure Data Score: 6.67		

16. Performance data: Government routinely collects, reports, analyzes and makes available HIV/AIDS service delivery data. Service delivery data are analyzed to track program performance, i.e. coverage of key interventions, results against targets, and the continuum of care and treatment cascade, including linkage to care, adherence and retention, and viral load testing coverage and suppression.			**Data Source**	**Notes/Comments**
16.1 Who Leads Collection and Reporting of Service Delivery Data: To what extent is the routine collection and reporting of HIV/AIDS service delivery data institutionalized in an information system and managed and operated by the host country government at the national level?	○A. No system exists for routine collection of HIV/AIDS service delivery data ○B. Multiple unharmonized or parallel information systems exist that are managed and operated separately by various government entities, local institutions and/or external agencies/institutions ○C. One information system, or a harmonized set of complementary information systems, exists and is primarily managed and operated by an external agency/institution ◉D. One information system, or a harmonized set of complementary information systems, exists and is managed and operated by the host country government with technical assistance from external agency/institution ○E. One information system, or a harmonized set of complementary information systems, exists and is managed and operated by the host country government	16.1 Score: 1.00	iSante, MESI, SALVH, SNC, SAFE, PLR, PCPI	
16.2 Who Finances Collection of Service Delivery Data: To what extent does the host country government finance the routine collection of HIV/AIDS service delivery data (e.g., salaries of data clerks/M&E staff, printing & distribution of paper-based tools, electronic reporting system maintenance, data quality supervision, etc.)? (if exact or approximate percentage known, please note in Comments column)	○A. No routine collection of HIV/AIDS service delivery data exists ○B. No financing (0%) is provided by the host country government ◉C. Minimal financing (approx. 1-9%) is provided by the host country government ○D. Some financing (approx. 10-49%) is provided by the host country government ○E. Most financing (approx. 50-89%) is provided by the host country government ○F. All or almost all financing (90% +) is provided by the host country government	16.2 Score: 0.83	REDES report	

16.3 Comprehensiveness of Service Delivery Data: To what extent does the host country government collect HIV/AIDS service delivery data by population, program and geographic area? (Note: Full score possible without selecting all disaggregates.)	Check ALL boxes that apply below: ☑ A. The host country government routinely collects & reports service delivery data for: ☑ HIV Testing ☑ PMTCT ☑ Adult Care and Support ☑ Adult Treatment ☑ Pediatric Care and Support ☑ Orphans and Vulnerable Children ☐ Voluntary Medical Male Circumcision ☑ HIV Prevention ☑ AIDS-related mortality ☑ B. Service delivery data are being collected: ☑ By key population (FSW, PWID, MSM, TG, prisoners) ☑ By priority population (AGYW, clients of sex workers, military, mobile populations, non-injecting drug users) ☑ By age & sex ☑ From all facility sites (public, private, faith-based, etc.) ☑ From all community sites (public, private, faith-based, etc.)	16.3 Score: 1.33	MESI, Epidemiologic Bulletin of National AIDS Control Program (PNLS-French Acronym), WHO, SALVH, SNC, SAFE, PCPI, PLR	AIDS related mortality is underreported.
16.4 Timeliness of Service Delivery Data: To what extent are HIV/AIDS service delivery data collected in a timely way to inform analysis of program performance?	○ A. The host country government does not routinely collect/report HIV/AIDS service delivery data ○ B. The host country government collects & reports service delivery data annually ○ C. The host country government collects & reports service delivery data semi-annually ◉ D. The host country government collects & reports service delivery data at least quarterly	16.4 Score: 1.33	MESI, Epidemiologic Bulletin of National AIDS Control Program (PNLS-French Acronym), WHO, SALVH, SNC, SAFE, PCPI, PLR	Analysis done at least quarterly.

16.5 Analysis of Service Delivery Data: To what extent does the host country government routinely analyze service delivery data to measure program performance (i.e., continuum of care cascade, coverage, retention, viral suppression, AIDS-related mortality rates)?	○ A. The host country government does not routinely analyze service delivery data to measure program performance ◉ B. Service delivery data are being analyzed to measure program performance in the following ways (check all that apply): ☐ Continuum of care cascade for each identified priority population (AGYW, clients of sex workers, military, mobile populations, non-injecting drug users), including HIV testing, linkage to care, treatment, adherence and retention, and viral load ☐ Continuum of care cascade for each relevant key population (FSW, PWID, MSM, TG, prisoners), including HIV testing, linkage to care, treatment, adherence and retention, and viral load ☑ Results against targets ☑ Coverage or recent achievements of key treatment & prevention services (ART, PMTCT, VMMC, etc.) ☑ Site-specific yield for HIV testing (HTC and PMTCT) ☑ AIDS-related mortality rates ☑ Variations in performance by sub-national unit ☑ Creation of maps to facilitate geographic analysis	16.5 Score: 1.00	MESI, Epidemiologic Bulletin of National AIDS Control Program (PNLS-French Acronym), WHO, SALVH, SNC, SAFE, PCPI, CNQD meetings	Index testing performance analysis
16.6 Quality of Service Delivery Data: To what extent does the host country government define and implement policies, procedures and governance structures that assure quality of HIV/AIDS service delivery data?	○ A. No governance structures, procedures or policies designed to assure service delivery data quality exist/could be documented. ◉ B. The following structures, procedures or policies exist to assure quality of service delivery data (check all that apply): ☑ A national, approved data quality strategy is in place, which outlines standards, policies and procedures for HIV/AIDS data quality assurance ☑ A national protocol exists for routine (at least annual) Data Quality Audits/Assessments of key HIV program indicators, which are led and implemented by the host country government ☑ Standard national procedures & protocols exist for routine data quality checks at the point of data entry ☑ Data quality reports are published and shared with relevant ministries/government entities & partner organizations ☑ The host country government leads routine (at least annual) data review meetings at national & subnational levels to review data quality issues and outline improvement plans	16.6 Score: 1.33	CNQD, PNLS DQA, activities,Strategic plan PNLS 2018-2023 , operational manual of standard procedures in monitoring and evaluation in HIV-AIDS 2016, data dictionaries, Combined ME Plan, Operational Manual of Standard Procedures for HIV / AIDS Monitoring and Evaluation. Surveillance Manual, CNQD meetings reports.	
		Performance Data Score: **6.83**		

17. Data for Decision-Making Ecosystem: Host country government demonstrates commitment and capacity to advance the use of data in informing government decisions and cultivating an informed, engaged civil society.			**Data Source**	**Notes/Comments**
17.1 Civil Registration and Vital Statistics (CRVS): Is there a CRVS system in place that records births and deaths and is fully operational across the country? Is CRVS data made publically available in a timely manner?	○ A. No, there is not a CRVS system. ◉ B. Yes, there is a CRVS system that... (check all that apply): ☑ records births ☑ records deaths ☐ is fully operational across the country [IF YES] How often is CRVS data updated and made publically available (select only one)? ☐ A. The host country government does not make CRVS data available to the general public, or they are made available more than one year after the date of collection. ☑ B. The host country government makes CRVS data available to the general public within 6-12 months. ☐ C. The host country government makes CRVS data available to the general public within 6 months.	17.1 Score: 1.17	Monthly Service Delivery reports, Annual Health Statistic, (SISNU-French Acronym)	Mostly institutional data for births and deaths are avilable on a routine basis, EMMUS each 5 years.
17.2 Unique Identification: Is there a national Unique Identification system that is used to track delivery of HIV/AIDS and other health services? Do national polices protect privacy of Unique ID information?	Is there a national Unique Identification system that is used to track delivery of HIV/AIDS and other health services? ◉ A. No, there is no national Unique Identification system used to track delivery of services for HIV/AIDS or other health services. ○ B. Yes, there is a national Unique Identification system used to track delivery of services for HIV/AIDS, but not other health services. ○ C. Yes, there is a national Unique Identification system used to track delivery of services for HIV/AIDS and other health services. [IF YES to B or C] Are there national policies, procedures and systems in place that protect the security and privacy of Unique ID information? ☐ Yes ☐ No	17.2 Score: 0.00	Based on discussion with multiple stakeholders during SID workshop	Fingerprint data is collected for HIV patients but not all of them have a fingerprint registered on the system. The system is not fully functional at all sites.

17.3 Interoperability of National Administrative Data: To fully utilize all administrative data, are HIV/AIDS data and other relevant administrative data sources integrated in a data warehouse where they are joined for analysis across diseases and conditions?	○ A. No, there is no central integration of HIV/AIDS data with other relevant administrative data. ◉ B. Yes, national HIV/AIDS administrative data is integrated and joined with administrative data on the following: ☑ a. TB ☑ b. Maternal and Child Health ☐ c. Other Health Data (e.g., other communicable and non-communicable diseases) ☐ d. Education ☑ e. Health Systems Information (e.g., health workforce data) ☐ f. Poverty and Employment ☐ g. Other (specify in notes)	17.3 Score: 1.00	GAM (Global AIDS Monitoring), Health Systems Monthly Reports, MESI	
17.4 Census Data: Does the host country government regularly (at least every 10 years) collect and publically disseminate census data?	○ A. No, the host country government does not collect census data at least every 10 years ○ B. Yes, the host country government regularly collects census data, but does not make it available to the general public. ◉ C. Yes, the host country government regularly collects census data and makes it available to the general public. [IF YES to C only] Data that are made available to the public are disaggregated by: ☑ a. Age ☑ b. Sex ☑ c. District	17.4 Score: 2.00	Haitian Institute of Statistics and Informatics (IHSI-French Acronym) Census	
17.5 Subnational Administrative Units: Are the boundaries of subnational administrative units made public (including district and site level)?	○ A. No, the country's subnational administrative boundaries are not made public. ○ B. Yes, the host country government publicizes district-level boundaries, but not site-level geocodes. ◉ C. Yes, the host country government publicizes district-level boundaries and site-level geocodes.	17.5 Score: 2.00	Haitian Institute of Statistics and Informatics (IHSI-French Acronym) Census	
	Data for Decision-Making Ecosystem Score:	**6.17**		

THIS CONCLUDES THE SET OF QUESTIONS ON DOMAIN D