2017 The HIV/AIDS Sustainability Index and Dashboard 3.0 Summary: Ukraine

The HIV/AIDS Sustainability Index and Dashboard (SID) is a tool completed every two years by PEPFAR teams and partner stakeholders to sharpen the understanding of each country's sustainability landscape and to assist PEPFAR and others in making informed HIV/AIDS investment decisions. Based on responses to 89 questions, the SID assesses the current state of sustainability of national HIV/AIDS responses across 15 critical elements. Scores for these elements are displayed on a color-coded dashboard, together with other contextual charts and information. As the SID is completed over time, it will allow stakeholders to track progress and gaps across these key components of sustainability.

Dark Green Score (8.50-10 points) (sustainable and requires no additional investment at this time)
Light Green Score (7.00-8.49 points) (approaching sustainability and requires little or no investment)
Yellow Score (3.50-6.99 points) (emerging sustainability and needs some investment)
Red Score (<3.50 points) (unsustainable and requires significant investment)

Overview:

As of 2016, the Ukraine State Statistics Service estimates total Ukraine's population as 42.6 million, excluding Crimea and Sevastopol. Approximately 2.3 million people live in Russian-occupied Crimea and another 3 million in separatist/Russian-occupied portions of the eastern Luhansk and Donetsk regions; over 1 million people from these regions are internally displaced within Ukraine. As of 01/01/2017 by the MoH estimates there were 238,000 PLHIV in Ukraine, all ages, with the majority of diagnosed cases among men (57.5%). Approximately 23% of estimated PLHIV live in Crimea (5%) and Luhansk/Donetsk (18%). Ukraine's HIV epidemic remains geographically concentrated with a belt of regions in the South and East disproportionately affected; seven regions, six of which are located in the South and East, account for ~50% of estimated cases but only 31% of the population. The epidemic is concentrated in KP with a prevalence of 21.9% among PWID, 7.0% among female sex workers (FSW), and 8.5% among MSM according to the 2015 Integrated Bio-Behavioral Survey (IBBS).

Results of the SID3.0 analysis identified three main areas of strength – planning and coordination, policies and governance, and public access to information -- all under the Governance, Leadership, and Accountability domain. The MoH has endorsed the global 90-90-90 strategy and the Fast Track Initiative based on the WHO Test-&-Start approach. The final draft of the new comprehensive HIV clinical guideline was developed during several rounds of wide multi-sectoral consultations, with full participation of national stakeholders, including key population representatives. The MoH led by the Center for Public Health developed the Strategy for Sustainability of the national HIV/AIDS and TB response by 2020 with Action plan with full stakeholder participation and an active Country Coordinating Mechanism in support of it. The Strategy was approved by the Cabinet of Ministers of Ukraine in March 2017. The national HIV response also faces key vulnerabilities. CSOs currently provide most prevention, care, and support services, while also supporting strategic information, health management information systems, research, procurement, and advocacy. However, because CSOs are dependent on external funding, their long-term existence is threatened by a reduction of GFATM assistance after 2020. Also, given the war and economic instability, the MoH now procures about 80% of the required ARVs and test kits, and it has not established a logistics management information system (LMIS) for HIV commodities. At the same time the health sector, including public health system, undergoes radical reforms and a new government agency for health state procurement, independent from the MoH, has to be established by 2019. Finally, the SID identifies quality management as an area of vulnerability by noting that the government lacks a budget line item for quality management, a data collection and analysis system to track performance improvement, and provision of

oversight that ensures continuous quality improvement in HIV care and services. Private sector engagement is another weak area in the country's response to HIV/AIDS, with government sector being the predominant player in the overall health care services provision and private providers (less than 2 % of all the HCF) currently not being able to provide HIV services. National stakeholders are working together to mitigate the impact of these vulnerabilities through planned activities to introduce changes into the health and HIV-related legislation and through recently initiated development of a new National HIV/AIDS State Program for 2019-2023.

SID Process: The Sustainability Index and Dashboard (SID 3.0) analysis of Ukraine's national HIV response was undertaken in partnership with UNAIDS Country Office in Ukraine and jointly with key national stakeholders in three stages: 1) on October 10, 2017 a working group led by the UNAIDS and PEPFAR, consisting of the MoH/ Center for Public Health, two GFATM PRs of the current HIV grant to Ukraine: Alliance for Public Health and PLHIV Network and UN agencies had preliminary meeting, where SID3.0 was pre-populated; 2) on October 23, 2017 key populations group representatives were invited for consultation about SID process and preliminary results of SID3.0 for Ukraine; 3) and on October 25, 2017 the national stakeholder consultation took place in Kyiv to validate the completed SID 3.0 tool for Ukraine, electronically shared with all the participants and their organizations prior to the meeting. The final SID 3.0 report for Ukraine was a result of consensus reached at that meeting.

Sustainability Strengths:

- **Planning and Coordination (Score: 9.3):** An area of significant multi-stakeholder effort and donor investment in past years, Ukraine has made significant strides in its capacity to develop, plan, budget and coordinate HIV/AIDS response activities with funding from different sources under costed and targeted national and regional AIDS programs. National HIV and TB Coordination Council at the Cabinet of Ministers of Ukraine (performing the function of CCM for the GFATM grants) served a truly multi-stakeholder mechanism of programmatic oversight of both GoU- and donor-funded programs and a discussion platform to improve the sustainability and effectiveness of the national AIDS response and inter-sectoral linkage with TB. Similar processes are observed at the sub-national level in most regions in the form of Oblast Coordination Councils actively supported by CSOs.

- **Policies and Governance (Score: 8.1):** The MoH has endorsed the global 90-90-90 strategy and the Fast Track Initiative based on the WHO Test-&-Start approach. The final draft of the new comprehensive HIV clinical guideline was developed during several rounds of wide multi-sectoral consultations, with full participation of national stakeholders, including key population representatives. The MoH led by the Center for Public Health and facilitated by UNAIDS and PEPFAR program, developed the Strategy for Sustainability of the national HIV/AIDS and TB response by 2020. This Strategy based on the Fast Track 90-90-90 targets and Test-&-Start approach, was approved by the Cabinet of Ministers of Ukraine in March 2017. In the context of wide health sector reforms, a National Concept of the Development of a New Public Health System, that includes HIV policy, programing and service provision, was developed by the MoH with support from PEPFAR, UNAIDS, World Bank and EU and approved by the Cabinet of Ministers in November 2016.

- **Public Access to Information (Score: 8.0):** Ukraine has made major strides in its capacity to provide epidemiologic, programmatic, and financial information related to the national HIV response open to public scrutiny. The MoH Center for Public Health website has significantly improved over the last two years with a National Portal of Strategic HIV/AIDs Information developed with PEPFAR support available to program managers, CSOs, and service providers.

Sustainability Vulnerabilities:

- **Commodity Security and Supply Chain (Score: 3.4):** While the score in this area has improved from the previous SID (2.5 in 2015) it indicates there is still systemic vulnerability in supply chain management. The availability of life-saving antiretroviral medications and other HIV commodities are essential for epidemic control and a sustainable national response. Although there are no indications that patients who are currently on treatment

have ceased treatment because of lack of commodities, in some regions health providers had been reluctant to add new patients because of concerns of potential stock-outs. PEPFAR is helping to develop an electronic HIV Management Information System and an LMIS module will be developed for it. Although most government-procured drugs are generics, a few in commonly prescribed regimens are branded. This issue is addressed through the development of a new treatment protocol within the new comprehensive HIV Guideline under public discussion now, expected to be officially approved by the GoU by the end of 2017.

- **Quality Management (Score: 3.2):** The score in this area has improved from the previous SID (2.2 in 2015). Recently, a Quality Improvement Curriculum for post-graduate education was adopted, and a set of Quality Improvement recommendations were approved by MoH/CPH as organizational standards for public health management. However, the government lacks a budget line item for quality management, a data collection and analysis system to track performance improvement, and provision of oversight that ensures continuous quality improvement in HIV care and services. PEPFAR will continue to address these shortcomings by fostering innovations throughout the clinical cascade, including improving network-based and PITC HIV testing services, and linkage and retention, rolling out a national HIV Management Information System that collects data on HIV program indicators and allows analysis at different levels of the system.

- **Private Sector Engagement (Score: 3.0):** Although legislation does not bar national and sub-national governments from procuring private-sector medical services, currently private sector facilities cannot provide any HIV basic care, such as HIV testing or ART prescription or dispensing. Non-for-profit clinics are nearly non-existent in Ukraine. PEPFAR is tackling this deficiency by providing TA to introduce changes into the health and HIV legislation and regulatory acts, on the one hand. Additionally, there are plans to provide regional governments, newly formed regional public health centers and local health care facilities with technical assistance to procure services from NGOs and emerging non-for-profit primary health care clinics. In addition, some PEPFAR-supported NGOs received TA to develop business plans and several of them have applied for low-interest social entrepreneurship loans from commercial banks.

Contact: For questions or further information about PEPFAR's efforts to support sustainability of the HIV response in Ukraine, please contact Paola Pavlenko at Ppavlenko@usaid.gov.

Sustainability Analysis for Epidemic Control: Ukraine

Epidemic Type: Concentrated
Income Level: Lower middle income
PEPFAR Categorization: Targeted Assistance
PEPFAR COP 17 Planning Level: 37,742,042

SUSTAINABILITY DOMAINS and ELEMENTS

	2015 (SID 2.0)	2017 (SID 3.0)	2019	2021
Governance, Leadership, and Accountability				
1. Planning and Coordination	9.33	9.33		
2. Policies and Governance	4.58	8.08		
3. Civil Society Engagement	7.17	6.88		
4. Private Sector Engagement	2.38	3.01		
5. Public Access to Information	9.00	8.00		
National Health System and Service Delivery				
6. Service Delivery	5.00	4.63		
7. Human Resources for Health	5.92	6.25		
8. Commodity Security and Supply Chain	2.48	3.43		
9. Quality Management	2.19	3.19		
10. Laboratory	6.20	4.50		
Strategic Investments, Efficiency, and Sustainable Financing				
11. Domestic Resource Mobilization	6.67	6.98		
12. Technical and Allocative Efficiencies	6.23	4.39		
Strategic Information				
13. Epidemiological and Health Data	5.65	5.92		
14. Financial/Expenditure Data	6.25	8.33		
15. Performance Data	5.87	5.96		

CONTEXTUAL DATA

National Clinical Cascade



Epidemiological Data



Population and Fertility



CONTEXTUAL DATA

Financing the HIV Response



GNI Per Capita (Atlas Method)



Population Pyramid (2017)



Domain A. Governance, Leadership, and Accountability

What Success Looks Like: Host government upholds a transparent and accountable resolve to be responsible to its citizens and international stakeholders for achieving planned HIV/AIDS results, is a good steward of HIV/AIDS finances, widely disseminates program progress and results, provides accurate information and education on HIV/AIDS, and supports mechanisms for eliciting feedback. Relevant government entities take actions to create an enabling policy and legal environment, ensure good stewardship of HIV/AIDS resources, create space for and promote participation of the private sector, and provide technical and political leadership to coordinate an effective national HIV/AIDS response.

1. Planning and Coordination: Host country develops, implements, and oversees a costed multiyear national strategy and serves as the preeminent architect and convener of a coordinated HIV/AIDS response in the country across all levels of government and key stakeholders, civil society and the private sector.			**Data Source**	**Notes/Comments**
1.1 Content of National Strategy: Does the country have a multi-year, costed national strategy to respond to HIV?	○ A. There is no national strategy for HIV/AIDS ◉ B. There is a multiyear national strategy. Check all that apply: ☑ It is costed ☑ It has measurable targets. ☑ It is updated at least every five years ☑ Strategy includes all crucial response components for prevention and treatment (HIV testing, treatment and care [including children and adolescents], PMTCT, transition from 'catchup' to sustainable VMMC if country performs VMMCs, scale-up of viral load, EID, and other key metrics) ☑ Strategy includes explicit plans and activities to address the needs of key populations. ☑ Strategy includes all crucial response components to mitigate the impact of HIV on vulnerable children ☑ Strategy (or separate document) includes considerations and activities related to sustainability	1.1 Score: 2.50	http://zakon5.rada.gov.ua/laws/show/1708-18 http://zakon2.rada.gov.ua/laws/show/248-2017-%D1%80	
1.2 Participation in National Strategy Development: Who actively participates in development of the country's national HIV/AIDS strategy?	○ A. There is no national strategy for HIV/AIDS ◉ B. The national strategy is developed with participation from the following stakeholders (check all that apply): ☑ Its development was led by the host country government ☑ Civil society actively participated in the development of the strategy ☑ Private health sector providers, facilities, and training institutions, actively participated in the development of the strategy ☐ Businesses and the corporate sector actively participated in the development of the strategy including workplace development and corporate social responsibility (CSR) ☑ External agencies (i.e. donors, other multilateral orgs., etc.) supporting HIV services in-country participated in the development of the strategy	1.2 Score: 2.00	Sustainability Strategy: http://zakon2.rada.gov.ua/laws/show/248-2017-%D1%80; http://www.moz.gov.ua/ua/portal/tha_mt_20160324_01.html Cabinet of Ministers of Ukraine (CMU) Consensus Meeting Minutes (March 13,2017) http://www.moz.gov.ua/ua/portal/pz_tha_2016_zvit.html	

1.3 Coordination of National HIV Implementation: To what extent does the host country government coordinate all HIV/AIDS activities implemented in the country, including those funded or implemented by CSOs, private sector, and donor implementing partners?	Check all that apply: ☑ There is an effective mechanism within the host country government for internally coordinating HIV/AIDS activities implemented by various government ministries, institutions, offices, etc. ☑ The host country government routinely tracks and maps HIV/AIDS activities of: ☑ civil society organizations ☐ private sector (including health care providers and/or other private sector partners) ☑ donors ☑ The host country government leads a mechanism or process (i.e. committee, working group, etc.) that routinely convenes key internal and external stakeholders and implementers of the national response for planning and coordination purposes. ☑ Joint operational plans are developed that include key activities of implementing organizations. ☑ Duplications and gaps among various government, CSO, private sector, and donor activities are systematically identified and addressed.	1.3 Score: 2.33	http://www.moz.gov.ua/ua/portal/mtaa_staff/ http://zakon3.rada.gov.ua/laws/show/909-2015-%D1%80 http://moz.gov.ua/ua/portal/dn_20150918_0604.html	
1.4 Sub-national Unit Accountability: Is there a mechanism by which sub-national units are accountable to national HIV/AIDS goals or targets? (note: equal points for either checkbox under option B)	○ A. There is no formal link between the national plan and sub-national service delivery. ◉ B. There is a formal link between the national plan and sub-national service delivery. (Check the ONE that applies.) ☑ Sub-national units have performance targets that contribute to aggregate national goals or targets. ☐ The central government is responsible for service delivery at the sub-national level.	1.4 Score: 2.50	National M&E plan: http://www.aidsalliance.org.ua/ru/metida/2015/ME%20Plan.pdf The Oblast AIDS Councils and Programmes as stipulated ion the Law on HIV and the NAP 2014-2018: http://zakon1.rada.gov.ua/laws/show/1708-18	
		Planning and Coordination Score: 9.33		

2. Policies and Governance: Host country develops, implements, and oversees a wide range of policies, laws, and regulations that will achieve coverage of high impact interventions, ensure social and legal protection and equity for those accessing HIV/AIDS services, eliminate stigma and discrimination, and sustain epidemic control within the national HIV/AIDS response.			**Data Source**	**Notes/Comments**
2.1 WHO Guidelines for ART Initiation: Does current national HIV/AIDS technical practice follow current WHO guidelines for initiation of ART, i.e. Test and START for all populations?	For each category below, check yes or no to indicate if current national HIV/AIDS technical practice follows current WHO guidelines for each of the following: A. Adults (>19 years) ☑ Yes ☐ No B. Pregnant and Breastfeeding Mothers ☑ Yes ☐ No C. Adolescents (10-19 years) ☑ Yes ☐ No D. Children (<10 years) ☑ Yes ☐ No	2.1 Score: 1.11	Final draft of the new HIV clinical guideline - for mandatory public discussion on MoH Center for Public Health: https:/phc.org.ua http://www.moz.gov.ua/ua/portal/dn_20151222_0887.html http://moz.gov.ua/ua/portal/dn_20150224_0092.html	

2.2 Enabling Policies and Legislation: Are there policies or legislation that govern HIV/AIDS service delivery or policies and legislation on health care which is inclusive of HIV service delivery? Note: If one of the listed policies differentiates policy for specific groups, please note in the Notes/Comments column.	Check all that apply: ☑ A national public health services act that includes the control of HIV ☐ A task-shifting policy that allows trained non-physician clinicians, midwives, and nurses to initiate and dispense ART ☐ A task-shifting policy that allows trained and supervised community health workers to dispense ART between regular clinical visits ☑ Policies that permit patients stable on ART to have reduced clinical visits (i.e. every 6-12 months) ☑ Policies that permit patients stable on ART to have reduced ARV pickups (i.e. every 3-6 months) ☑ Policies that permit streamlined ART initiation, such as same day initiation of ART for those who are ready ☑ Legislation to ensure the well-being and protection of children, including those orphaned and made vulnerable by HIV/AIDS ☑ Policies that permit HIV self-testing ☑ Policies that permit pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP) ☑ Policies that permit post-exposure prophylaxis (PEP) ☑ Policies that allow HIV testing without parental consent for adolescents, starting at age 15 ☐ Policies that allow HIV-infected adolescents, starting at age 15, to seek HIV treatment without parental consent	2.2 Score: 0.83	Sustainability Strategy: http://zakon2.rada.gov.ua/laws/show/248-2017-%D1%80 Public Health Concept: http://zakon2.rada.gov.ua/laws/show/1002-2016-%D1%80 + draft HIV protocol Kyiv City AIDS Program: http://kmr.ligazakon.ua/SITE2/l_docki2.nsf/alldocWWW/FB6EABE87E7C3B93C225809E006E0D01?OpenDocument Law on HIV http://zakon2.rada.gov.ua/laws/show/1972-12 http://zakon1.rada.gov.ua/laws/show/1708-18; http://zakon5.rada.gov.ua/laws/show/1645-14/page; http://phc.org.ua/pages/diseases/hiv_aids/guiding-documents; http://zakon2.rada.gov.ua/laws/show/966-15 http://zakon5.rada.gov.ua/laws/show/z1614-12; http://zakon3.rada.gov.ua/laws/show/2861-17; http://kvs.gov.ua/zmi/DodatokDoRishennyaN6PKProgramVILSNIDPlanZahodiv11082015.pdf; http://www.ilo.org/wcmsp5/groups/public/---ed_protect/---protrav/---ilo_aids/documents/legaldocument/wcms_191148.pdf; http://zakon2.rada.gov.ua/laws/show/1642-98-%D0%BF; http://zakon2.rada.gov.ua/laws/show/123/96-%D0%B2%D1%80.; http://zakon5.rada.gov.ua/laws/show/z0377-15; http://moz.gov.ua/ua/portal/dn_20100712_551.html; http://www.moz.gov.ua/ua/portal/dn_20160516_0449.html; http://document.ua/pro-zatverdzhennja-strategiyi-zabezpechennja-dostupu-predsta-doc217418.html; http://zakon5.rada.gov.ua/laws/show/2801-12; http://zakon2.rada.gov.ua/laws/show/877-2013-%D0%BF; http://zakon2.rada.gov.ua/laws/show/z0499-10; http://zakon2.rada.gov.ua/laws/show/z0319-11; http://zakon2.rada.gov.ua/laws/show/z1254-13; http://zakon2.rada.gov.ua/laws/show/z0794-12; http://zakon2.rada.gov.ua/rada/show/v0551282-10/print1477485141305856;	It is planned to change legal framework that will allow introduce a task-shifting practices.

2.3 Data Protection: Does the country have policies in place that support the collection and appropriate use of patient-level data for health, including HIV/AIDS?	The country has policies in place that (check all that apply): ☑ Govern the collection of patient-level data for public health purposes, including surveillance ☐ Govern the collection and use of unique identifiers such as national ID for health records ☑ Govern the privacy and confidentiality of health outcomes matched with personally identifiable information ☑ Govern the use of patient-level data, including protection against its use in crimincal cases	2.3 Score: 0.83	Law on HIV http://zakon2.rada.gov.ua/laws/show/1972-12 Law on Personal Data Protection (+ наказ 182 МОЗ) http://zakon3.rada.gov.ua/laws/show/2297-17 MOH Order #182 http://www.moz.gov.ua/ua/portal/dn_20120321_182.html Law on Foundations of Healthcare in Ukraine http://zakon3.rada.gov.ua/laws/show/2801-12	
2.4 Legal Protections for Key Populations: Does the country have laws or policies that specify protections (not specific to HIV) for specific populations?	Check all that apply: Transgender people (TG): ☑ Constitutional prohibition of discrimination based on gender diversity ☑ Prohibitions of discrimination in employment based on gender diversity ☐ A third gender is legally recognized ☐ Other non-discrimination provisions specifying gender diversity (note in comments) Men who have sex with men (MSM): ☑ Constitutional prohibition of discrimination based on sexual orientation ☐ Hate crimes based on sexual orientation are considered an aggravating circumstance ☑ Incitement to hatred based on sexual orientation prohibited ☑ Prohibition of discrimiation in employment based on sexual orientation ☐ Other non-discrimination provisions specifying sexual orientation Female sex workers (FSW): ☑ Constitutional prohibition of discrimination based on occupation ☐ Sex work is recognized as work ☐ Other non-discrimination protections specifying sex work (note in comments)	2.4 Score: 0.63	CRIMINAL CODE OF UKRAINE: http://zakon3.rada.gov.ua/laws/show/2341-14	

	People who inject drugs (PWID): ☐ Specific antidiscrimination laws or other provisions for people who use drugs (specify in comments) ☑ Explicit supportive reference to harm reduction in national policies ☐ Policies that address the specific needs of women who inject drugs			
2.5 Legal Protections for Victims of Violence: Does the country have protections in place for victims of violence?	The country has the following to protect key populations and people living with HIV (PLHIV) from violence: ☑ General criminal laws prohibiting violence ☐ Specific legal provisions prohibiting violence against people based on their HIV status or belonging to a key population ☑ Programs to address intimate partner violence ☑ Programs to address workplace violence ☑ Interventions to address police abuse ☑ Interventions to address torture and ill treatment in prisons ☑ A national plan or strategy to address gender-based violence and violence against women that includes HIV ☑ Legislation on domestic violence ☑ Criminal penalties for domestic violence ☑ Criminal penalties for violence against children	2.5 Score: 1.00	CRIMINAL CODE OF UKRAINE: http://zakon3.rada.gov.ua/laws/show/2341-14 National Program on Social Family Support: http://zakon3.rada.gov.ua/laws/show/341-2013-%D0%BF http://zakon3.rada.gov.ua/laws/show/2861-17 http://zakon2.rada.gov.ua/laws/show/5207-17 + от Г. Скипальской фидбэк OST Hotline http://www.osthotline.in.ua/	

2.6 Structural Obstacles: Does the country have laws and/or policies that present barriers to delivery of HIV prevention, testing and treatment services or the accessibility of these services?	**For each question, select the most appropriate option:** Are transgender people criminalized and/or prosecuted in the country? ☐ Both criminalized and prosecuted ☐ Criminalized ☐ Prosecuted ☑ Neither criminalized nor prosecuted Is cross-dressing criminalized in the country? ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, only in parts of the country ☐ Yes, only under certain circumstances ☑ No Is sex work criminalized in your country? ☐ Selling and buying sexual services is criminalized ☐ Selling sexual services is criminalized ☐ Buying sexual services is criminalized ☐ Partial criminalization of sex work ☑ Other punitive regulation of sex work ☐ Sex work is not subject to punitive regulations or is not criminalized. ☐ Issue is determined/differs at subnational level	2.6 Score:	0.90	Administrative Code http://zakon2.rada.gov.ua/laws/show/8073 1-10 Action plan for implementation National Human Rights Strategy for the period up to 2020: http://www.kmu.gov.ua/control/uk/cardnp d?docid=248740679 Joint Order on OST in detention centers and prisons: http://zakon2.rada.gov.ua/laws/show/z186 8-12, Criminal Code, Law on HIV - http://zakon2.rada.gov.ua/laws/show/1972- 12; Several interministrial regulations on labour (employment) (MoH, Ministry of Justice, Ministry of Finance).	

Does the country have laws criminalizing same-sex sexual acts?

- ☐ Yes, death penalty
- ☐ Yes, imprisonment (14 years - life)
- ☐ Yes, imprisonment (up to 14 years)
- ☐ No penalty specified
- ☐ No specific legislation
- ☑ Laws penalizing same-sex sexual acts have been decriminalized or never existed

Does the country maintain the death penalty in law for people convicted of drug-related offenses?

- ☐ Yes, with high application (sentencing of people convicted of drug offenses to death and/or carrying out executions are a routine and mainstreamed part of the criminal justice system)
- ☐ Yes, with low application (executions for drug offenses may have been carried out in recent years, but in practice such penalties are relatively rare)
- ☐ Yes, with symbolic application (the death penalty for drug offenses is included in legislation, but executions are not carried out)
- ☑ No

Does the country have laws criminalizing the transmission of, non-disclosure of, or exposure to HIV transmission?

- ☑ Yes
- ☐ No, but prosecutions exist based on general criminal laws
- ☐ No

Does the country have policies restricting the entry, stay, and residence of people living with HIV (PLHIV)?

- ☐ Yes
- ☑ No

	Does the country have other punitive laws affecting lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, and intersex (LGBTI) people? ☐ Yes, promotion ("propaganda") laws ☐ Yes, morality laws or religious norms that limit LGBTI freedom of expression and association ☑ No			
2.7 Rights to Access Services: Recognizing the right to nondiscriminatory access to HIV services and support, does the government have efforts in place to educate and ensure the rights of PLHIV, key populations, and those who may access HIV services about these rights?	There are host country government efforts in place as follows (check all that apply): ☑ To educate PLHIV about their legal rights in terms of access to HIV services ☑ To educate key populations about their legal rights in terms of access to HIV services ☑ National law exists regarding health care privacy and confidentiality protections ☑ Government provides financial support to enable access to legal services if someone experiences discrimination, including redress where a violation is found	2.7 Score: 1.11	Law of Ukraine "On free legal aid" http://zakon2.rada.gov.ua/laws/show/3460-17 Law on Foundations of Health Care in Ukraine Law on HIV	
2.8 Audit: Does the host country government conduct a national HIV/AIDS program audit or audit of Ministries that work on HIV/AIDS on a regular basis (excluding audits of donor funding that are through government financial systems)?	○ A. No audit is conducted of the National HIV/AIDS Program or other relevant ministry. ○ B. An audit is conducted of the National HIV/AIDS program or other relevant ministries every 4 years or more. ◉ C. An audit is conducted of the National HIV/AIDS program or other relevant ministries every 3 years or less.	2.8 Score: 1.11	Accounting Chamber of Ukraine. Report 2004-2008. Search done by "AIDS" word (CHID in Ukrainian) at the official/ public site of RADA's Accounting Chamber (www.ac-rada.gov.ua): Audit Report (for 2011-2012) on HIV and TB programs implementation (41 pages): http://www.acrada.gov.ua/doccatalog/document/16741950/Tuberkulez_2013.pdf News on the 2009-2013 audit of HIV/AIDS program by RADA's Accounting Chamber, done by 23 April 2014 http://www.acrada.gov.ua/control/main/uk/publish/article/1674394 News on the 2009-2013 audit of HIV/AIDS program by RADA's Accounting Chamber, done by 23 April 2014 http://www.acrada.gov.ua/control/main/uk/publish/article/16743945 Audit of 2013 and 1st Quarter of 2014 of HIV/AIDS Program of Penitentiary Service: http://www.acrada.gov.ua/control/main/uk/publish/article/16744069	
2.9 Audit Action: To what extent does the host country government respond to the findings of a HIV/AIDS audit or audit of Ministries that	○ A. Host country government does not respond to audit findings, or no audit of the national HIV/AIDS program is conducted. ◉ B. The host country government does respond to audit findings by implementing changes as a result of the audit.	2.9 Score: 0.56	Minutes of Meeting of the National Council on TB and HIV/AIDS (March 24, 2016): http://www.moz.gov.ua/ua/portal/tha_mt_20160324_04.html ПО ЗПТ (бюджетна програма / запит від	

a HIV/AIDS audit or audit of Ministries that work on HIV/AIDS?	C. The host country government does respond to audit findings by implementing changes which can be tracked by legislature or other bodies that hold government accountable.		MOЗ _ APT+ЗПТ)	
		Policies and Governance Score:	**8.08**	

3. Civil Society Engagement: Local civil society is an active partner in the HIV/AIDS response through service delivery provision when appropriate, advocacy efforts as needed, and as a key stakeholder to inform the national HIV/AIDS response. There are mechanisms for civil society to review and provide feedback regarding public programs, services and fiscal management and civil society is able to hold government institutions accountable for the use of HIV/AIDS funds and for the results of their actions.			**Data Source**	**Notes/Comments**
3.1 Civil Society and Accountability for HIV/AIDS: Are there any laws or policies that restrict civil society from playing an oversight role in the HIV/AIDS response?	○ A. There exists a law or laws that restrict civil society from playing an oversight role in the HIV/AIDS response. ○ B. There are no laws that restrict civil society playing a role in providing oversight of the HIV/AIDS response but in practice, it does not happen. ◉ C. There are no laws or policies that prevent civil society from providing an oversight of the HIV/AIDS response and civil society is very actively engaged in providing oversight.	3.1 Score: 1.67	Regulation on the CCM - https://www.moz.gov.ua/ua/portal/ms_antiaidscouncil	
3.2 Government Channels and Opportunities for Civil Society Engagement: Does host country government have formal channels or opportunities for diverse civil society groups to engage and provide feedback on its HIV/AIDS policies, programs, and services (not including Global Fund CCM civil society engagement requirements)?	Check A, B, or C; if C checked, select appropriate disaggregates: ○ A. There are no formal channels or opportunities. ○ B. There are formal channels or opportunities, but civil society is called upon in an ad hoc manner to provide inputs and feedback. ◉ C. There are functional formal channels and opportunities for civil society engagement and feedback. Check all that apply: ☑ During strategic and annual planning ☑ In joint annual program reviews ☑ For policy development ☑ As members of technical working groups ☑ Involvement on government HIV/AIDS program evaluation teams ☑ Involvement in surveys/studies ☑ Collecting and reporting on client feedback ☑ Service delivery	3.2 Score: 1.67	Regulations on the CCM and participation of the different entities - https://www.moz.gov.ua/ua/portal/ms_antiaidscouncil; Law on civil society organizations - http://zakon2.rada.gov.ua/laws/show/4572-17; Law on GFATM grants - http://zakon5.rada.gov.ua/laws/show/4999-17; CCM membership, memberships of the workign groups (M&E Group), Stigma Index report http://stigmaindex.org/sites/default/files/reports/Ukraine%20Stigma%20Index_Report2014_ENG.pdf, Policy index of the GARP, web-sites of the NGOs, Appeals of citizens system, Electronic application of citizens, Закон об обращении граждан. Law of Ukraine "On Civic Associations" № 4572-VI of Mar 22, 2012, with changes № 1593-VII of July 4, 2014; Law of Ukraine 'On charity and charitable organizations' № 5073-VI of July 05, 2012 with changes № 1663-VII of Sept 02, 2014po	There are 9 legislative documents that regulated procedure of public engagement and feedback

3.3 Impact of Civil Society Engagement: Does civil society engagement substantively impact policy, programming, and budget decisions related to HIV/AIDS?	○ A. Civil society does not actively engage, or civil society engagement does not impact policy, programming, and budget decisions related to HIV/AIDS. ◉ B. Civil society's engagement impacts HIV/AIDS policy, programming, and budget decisions (check all that apply): ☑ In policy design ☑ In programmatic decision making ☑ In technical decision making ☑ In service delivery ☑ In HIV/AIDS basket or national health financing decisions	3.3 Score: 1.67		
3.4 Domestic Funding of Civil Society: To what extent are HIV/AIDS related Civil Society Organizations funded domestically (either from government, private sector, or self generated funds)? (if exact or approximate overall percentage known, or the percentages from the various domestic sources, please note in Comments column)	○ A. No funding (0%) for HIV/AIDS related civil society organizations comes from domestic sources. ◉ B. Minimal funding (approx. 1-9%) for HIV/AIDS related civil society organizations comes from domestic sources (not including Global Fund grants through government Principal Recipients). ○ C. Some funding (approx. 10-49%) for HIV/AIDS related civil society organizations comes from domestic sources (not including Global Fund grants through government Principal Recipients). ○ D. Most funding (approx. 50-89%) for HIV/AIDS related civil society organizations comes from domestic sources (not including Global Fund grants through government Principal Recipients). ○ E. All or almost all funding (approx. 90%+) for HIV/AIDS related civil society organizations comes from domestic sources (not including Global Fund grants through government Principal Recipients).	3.4 Score: 0.83	NASA (aidsinfo.unaids.org), Financial Reports of the local NGOs to the Municipalities (eg. Poltava "Light of Hope"; Cherkassy -NGO "From Heart to Heart"), Кременчуг, Черкассы, Симстема ПРОЗОРО-выбрать по тендерам. Типам услуг (снижение вреда)	
3.5 Civil Society Enabling Environment: Are there laws, policies, or regulations in place which permit CSOs to be funded from a government budget for HIV services through open competition (from any Ministry or Department, at any level - national, regional, or local)? Note: This sometimes referred to as "social contracting" or "social procurement."	○ A. There is no law, policy, ore rgulation which permits CSOs to be funded from a government budget for HIV Services through open competition (not to include Global Fund or other donor funding to government that goes to CSOs). ◉ B. There is a law, policy or regulation which permits CSOs to be funded from a government budget for HIV services. Check all that apply: ☑ Competition is open and transparent (notices of opportunities are made public) ☐ Opportunities for CSO funding are made on an annual basis ☐ Awards are made in a timely manner (within 6-12 months of announcements) ☐ Payments are made to CSOs on time for provision of services	3.5 Score: 1.04	http://zakon2.rada.gov.ua/laws/show/966-15 http://zakon0.rada.gov.ua/laws/show/310-2017-%D0%BF ПРОЗОРО, Отчёты НГО в местные органы власти о выполнении соцзаказа + положення про конкурс + посилання на конкурси в регіонах + регіональні програми з соціальним замовленням	Additionally, in some PEPFAR-supported regions the practice of social contracting or procurement of social services via ProZorro system is available
		Civil Society Engagement Score: **6.88**		

4. Private Sector Engagement: Global as well as local private sector (both private health care providers and private business) is an active partner in the HIV/AIDS response through service delivery provision when appropriate, advocacy efforts as needed, innovation, and as a key stakeholder to inform the national HIV/AIDS response. There are supportive policies and mechanisms for the private sector to engage and to review and provide feedback regarding public programs, services and fiscal management of the national HIV/AIDS response. The public uses the private sector for HIV service delivery at a similar level as other health care needs.			**Data Source**	**Notes/Comments**
4.1 Government Channels and Opportunities for Private Sector Engagement: Does the host country government have formal channels and opportunities for diverse private sector entities (including service delivery, corporations, and private training institutions) to engage and provide feedback on its HIV/AIDS policies, programs, and services? (If option B is true, check all subsequent boxes that apply.)	○ A. There are no formal channels or opportunities for private sector engagement. ◉ B. There are formal channels or opportunities for private sector engagement. i. The following private sector stakeholders formally contribute input into national or sub-national processes for HIV/AIDS planning and strategic development (check all that apply): ☐ Corporations ☑ Employers ☐ Private training institutions ☐ Private health service delivery providers ii. Stakeholders contribute in the following ways (check all that apply): ☐ The private sector contributes technical expertise into HIV program planning ☐ Data and strategic input into supply chain management for HIV commodities ☐ Service delivery and/or client satisfaction data from private service delivery providers is included in health sector and HIV program planning ☐ Data on staffing in private health service delivery providers ☐ Data on private training institution's human resources for health (HRH) graduates and placements are included in health sector and HIV program planning ☐ For technical advisory on best practices and delivery solutions	4.1 Score: 0.21	CCM membership: http://www.moz.gov.ua/ua/portal/mtaa_staff/ Law on Public-Private partnership - http://zakon2.rada.gov.ua/laws/show/2404-17	

	iii. The national HIV/AIDS strategic plan explicitly addresses private sector's role in the HIV/AIDS response (check all that apply): ☐ The national HIV/AIDS strategic plan has a specific section that specifies the private sector's role in the HIV/AIDS response. ☐ A recent (within past 4 years) market analysis informs the private sector strategy that is included in the HIV/AIDS strategic plan ☐ The government and private sector effectively coordinates and executes a total market approach for HIV service delivery, which accounts for whether people are able and/or willing to pay for HIV services.			
4.2 Enabling Environment for Private Corporate Contributions to HIV/AIDS Programming: Does the host country government have systems and policies in place that allow for private corporate contributions to HIV/AIDS programming?	Check all that apply: ☐ Tax policies and incentives are designed to encourage corporate social responsibility efforts from companies who are contributing financial commitments and/or non-financial resources (including, but not limited to, product donations, expertise, and employee staff time). ☑ The host country government has in-house expertise in contracting services to private sector corporations when appropriate and necessary (e.g., transportation and waste management). ☐ The host country government has standards for reporting and sharing data across public and private sectors. ☑ Regulations help ensure that workplace programs align with the national HIV/AIDS program (e.g., medical leave policies, on-site testing, on-site prevention and education, anti-discrimination policies). ☐ There are strong linkage and referral networks between on-site workplace programs and public health care facilities.	4.2 Score: 1.00		

4.3 Enabling Environment for Private Health Service Delivery: Does the host country government have systems and policies in place that allow for private health service delivery? Note: Full score possible without checking all boxes.	○ A. Private health service delivery providers are not legally allowed to deliver HIV/AIDS services. ○ B. The host country government plans to allow private health service delivery providers to provide HIV/AIDS services in the next two years. ◉ C. Private health service delivery providers are legally allowed to deliver HIV/AIDS services. In addition (check all that apply): ☑ Policies are in place to ensure that private providers receive, understand, and adhere to national guidelines/protocols for ART, and appropriate quality standards and certifications. ☐ Systems are in place for service provision and/or research reporting by private facilities to the government, including guidelines for data reporting. ☐ Joint (i.e., public-private) supervision and quality oversight of private facilities. ☐ The government offers tax deductions for private facilities delivering HIV/AIDS services. ☐ The government offers tax deductions for private training institutions. ☑ The private sector is eligible to procure HIV/AIDS and/or ART commodities via public sector procurement channels and/or national medical stores ☐ The host country government has formal contracting or service-level agreement procedures to compensate private facilities for HIV/AIDS services. ☐ HIV/AIDS services received in private facilities are eligible for reimbursement through national health insurance schemes ☑ There are open competitions for private health care providers to compete for government service contracts ☑ There is a systematic and timely process for private company registration and/or testing of new health products (e.g., drugs, diagnostic kits, medical devices, etc.) that support HIV/AIDS programming ☐ The government effectively regulates the flow of subsidized commodities into the private sector.	4.3 Score: 1.81	Локальний протокол по ЗТ та АРТ (Полтава) + Приказ (приштет И. Агеева) Закон 2002 http://zakon2.rada.gov.ua/laws/show/2002-19; закон о международных закупках и линк на сайт: ЗУ "Про внесення змін до деяких законів України щодо забезпечення своєчасного доступу пацієнтів до необхідних лікарських засобів та медичних виробів шляхом здійснення державних закупівель із залученням спеціалізованих організацій, які здійснюють закупівлі" -- http://zakon2.rada.gov.ua/laws/show/269-19 закон о гос закупках и линк на сайт : ЗУ "Про публічні закупівлі" -- http://zakon3.rada.gov.ua/laws/show/922-19	It is important to know that despite availability of legal framework the real practice is not according to thee approved policies

4.4 Private Sector Capability and Interest: Does the private sector possess the capability to support HIV/AIDS services, and do private sector stakeholders demonstrate interest in supporting the national HIV/AIDS response?	○ A. The host country government does not leverage the skill sets of the private sector for the national HIV/AIDS response. ◉ B. The private sector does not express interest in or actively seek out opportunities to support the national HIV/AIDS response. ○ C. The private sector has expertise and has expressed interest in or actively seeks out (check all that apply): ☐ Market opportunities that align with and support the national HIV/AIDS response ☐ Opportunities to contribute financial and/or non-financial resources to the national response (including business skills, market research, logistics, communication, research and development, product design, brand awareness, and innovation)	4.4 Score:	0.00	
		Private Sector Engagement Score:	3.01	

5. Public Access to Information: Host government widely disseminates timely and reliable information on the implementation of HIV/AIDS policies and programs, including goals, progress and challenges towards achieving HIV/AIDS targets, as well as fiscal information (public revenues, budgets, expenditures, large contract awards , etc.) related to HIV/AIDS. Program and audit reports are published publically. Efforts are made to ensure public has access to data through print distribution, websites, radio or other methods of disseminating information.			**Source of Data**	**Notes/Comments**
5.1 Surveillance and Survey Transparency: Does the host country government ensure that HIV/AIDS surveillance and survey data and analyses are made available to stakeholders and general public in a timely and useful way?	○ A. The host country government does not make HIV/AIDS surveillance and survey data available to stakeholders and the general public, or they are made available more than one year after the date of collection. ◉ B. The host country government makes HIV/AIDS surveillance and survey data available to stakeholders and the general public within 6-12 months. ○ C. The host country government makes HIV/AIDS surveillance and survey data available to stakeholders and the general public within six months.	5.1 Score: 1.00	PHC Bulletins, IBBS Reports, other data docs at the site of NPHC and M&E Resource Center: http://phc.org.ua/pages/diseases/hiv_aids/information; http://phc.org.ua/resource-center http://hiv.phc.org.ua/nationalportal/ GAM reporting	
5.2 Expenditure Transparency: Does the host country government make annual HIV/AIDS expenditure data available to stakeholders and the public in a timely and useful way?	○ A. The host country government does not track HIV/AIDS expenditures. ○ B. The host country government does not make HIV/AIDS expenditure data available to stakeholders and the general public, or they are made available more than one year after the date of expenditures. ◉ C. The host country government makes HIV/AIDS expenditure data available to stakeholders and the general public within 6-12 months after date of expenditures. ○ D. The host country government makes HIV/AIDS expenditure data available to stakeholders and the general public within six months after expenditures.	5.2 Score: 1.00	Financial Report on the NAP - https://www.moz.gov.ua/ua/portal/pgrep_AIDS_2014_03.html; NASA as a separate report and an agregated indicator in GARPR aidsinfo.unaids.org	
5.3 Performance and Service Delivery Transparency: Does the host country government make annual HIV/AIDS program performance and service delivery data available to stakeholders and the public in a timely and useful way?	○ A. The host country government does not make HIV/AIDS program performance and service delivery data available to stakeholders and the general public or they are made available more than one year after the date of programming. ○ B. The host country government makes HIV/AIDS program performance and service delivery data available to stakeholders and the general public within 6-12 months after date of programming. ◉ C. The host country government makes HIV/AIDS program performance and service delivery data available to stakeholders and the general public within six months after date of programming .	5.3 Score: 2.00	GARPR Report - http://phc.org.ua/pages/diseases/hiv_aids/information, GARPR indicators in aidsinfo.unaids.org.	

5.4 Procurement Transparency: Does the host country government make government HIV/AIDS procurements public in a timely way?	○ A. The host country government does not make any HIV/AIDS procurements. ○ B. The host country government makes HIV/AIDS procurements, but neither procurement tender nor award details are publicly available. ○ C. The host country government makes HIV/AIDS procurements, and tender, but not award, details are publicly available. ◉ D. The host country government makes HIV/AIDS procurements, and both tender and award details available.	5.4 Score: 2.00	The tenders informaiton is available on the MoH site. http://moz.gov.ua/ua/portal/pre_20170612_b.html	
5.5 Institutionalized Education System: Is there a government agency that is explicitly responsible for providing scientifically accurate education to the public about HIV/AIDS?	○ A. There is no government institution that is responsible for this function and no other groups provide education. ○ B. There is no government institution that is responsible for this function but at least one of the following provides education: ☐ Civil society ☐ Media ☐ Private sector ◉ C. There is a government institution that is responsible for, and is providing, scientifically accurate information on HIV/AIDS.	5.5 Score: 2.00	http://phc.org.ua/events/category/training http://phc.org.ua/pages/for_patients	
		Public Access to Information Score: 8.00		

THIS CONCLUDES THE SET OF QUESTIONS ON DOMAIN A

Domain B. National Health System and Service Delivery

What Success Looks Like: Host country institutions (inclusive of government, NGOs, civil society, and the private sector), the domestic workforce, and local health systems constitute the primary vehicles through which HIV/AIDS programs and services are managed and delivered. Optimally, national, sub-national and local governments have achieved high and appropriate coverage of a range of quality, life-saving prevention, treatment, and care services and interventions. There is a high demand for HIV/AIDS services, which are accessible and affordable to poor and vulnerable populations at risk of infection (i.e. key populations, discordant couples, exposed infants), are infected and/or are affected by the HIV/AIDS epidemic.

6. Service Delivery: The host country government at national, sub-national and facility levels facilitates planning and management of, access to and linkages between facility- and community-based HIV services.			Data Source	Notes/Comments
6.1 Responsiveness of facility-based services to demand for HIV services: Do public facilities respond to and generate demand for HIV services to meet local needs? (Check all that apply.)	☐ Public facilities are able to tailor services to accommodate demand (e.g., modify or add hours/days of operations; add/second additional staff during periods of high patient influx; customize scope of HIV services offered; adapt organization/model of service deliver to patient flow) ☑ Public facilities are able to situate services in proximity to high-HIV burden locations or populations (e.g., mobile clinics) ☑ There is evidence that public facilities in high burden areas and/or serving high-burden populations generate demand for HIV services	6.1 Score: 0.74	IBBS 2015 http://aph.org.ua/wp-content/uploads/2017/06/Monitoryng-povedinky-ta-poshyrennya-VIL-infektsiyi-sered-RKS_22.06.2017_Natsyonalnaya-chast.pdf http://aph.org.ua/wp-content/uploads/2015/09/monsin.pdf http://aph.org.ua/wp-content/uploads/2017/06/Analituchnuy_zvit_Nacionalna-chastyna_28.06.2017_com.pdf	
6.2 Responsiveness of community-based HIV/AIDS services: Has the host country standardized the design and implementation of community-based HIV services? (Check all that apply.)	The host country has standardized the following design and implementation components of community-based HIV/AIDS services through (check all that apply): ☑ Formalized mechanisms of participation by communities, high-burden populations and/or civil society engagement in delivery or oversight of services ☐ National guidelines detailing how to operationalize HIV/AIDS services in communities ☑ Providing official recognition to skilled human resources (e.g. community health workers) working and delivering HIV services in communities ☑ Providing financial support for community-based services ☐ Providing supply chain support for community-based services ☐ Supporting linkages between facility- and community-based services through formalized bidirectional referral services (e.g., use of national reporting systems to refer and monitor referrals for completeness)	6.2 Score: 0.56	IBBS 2015 http://aph.org.ua/wp-content/uploads/2017/06/Monitoryng-povedinky-ta-poshyrennya-VIL-infektsiyi-sered-RKS_22.06.2017_Natsyonalnaya-chast.pdf http://aph.org.ua/wp-content/uploads/2015/09/monsin.pdf http://aph.org.ua/wp-content/uploads/2017/06/Analituchnuy_zvit_Nacionalna-chastyna_28.06.2017_com.pdf GF Grant performance: https://www.theglobalfund.org/en/portfolio/country/grant/?k=83c43d32-49ef-4ed0-bcd9-09dc57b8c2cf&grant=UKR-C-AUA https://www.theglobalfund.org/en/portfolio/country/grant/?k=912991d0-73e0-4a25-a810-70461fce86d7&grant=UKR-C-AUN https://www.theglobalfund.org/en/portfolio/country/grant/?k=1306e54b-170e-438f-8181-c634acb439fc&grant=UKR-C-UCDC https://www.theglobalfund.org/en/portfolio/country/grant/?k=a4dae114-5406-4f4e-bbc7-	National and Regional/ Subnational HIV and TB Coordination Councils' ToR with separate constituencies for local NGOs and PLHIV and TB patients (CabMin Resultion № 712 of September 18, 2013); As noted by the NGO representatives at the Dec 15-2015 National Stakeholders meeting on HIV resposne sustainability, the local governments in Poltava, Cherkasy and Sumy regions provided small grants to local NGOs for HIV-related social services in 2015 and planned to continue in 2016
6.3 Domestic Financing of Service Delivery: To what extent do host country institutions (public, private, or voluntary sector) finance the delivery of HIV/AIDS services (i.e. excluding any external financial assistance from donors)? (if exact or approximate percentage known, please note in Comments column)	○ A. Host country institutions provide no (0%) financing for delivery of HIV/AIDS services ○ B. Host country institutions provide minimal (approx. 1-9%) financing for delivery of HIV/AIDS services ◉ C. Host country institutions provide some (approx. 10-49%) financing for delivery of HIV/AIDS services ○ D. Host country institutions provide most (approx. 50-89%) financing for delivery of HIV/AIDS services ○ E. Host country institutions provide all or almost all (approx. 90%+) financing for delivery of HIV/AIDS services	6.3 Score: 0.83	NASA report for 2011-12, MoH report on AIDS program implemenattion in 2014: http://www.moz.gov.ua/ua/portal/pgrep_AIDS_2014_03.html; http://www.moz.gov.ua/docfiles/pgrep_AIDS_2014_03.pdf; GAM 2017 report (indicator 6.1) GF Application Fin-GAP analysis	

6.4 Domestic Provision of Service Delivery: To what extent do host country institutions (public, private, or voluntary sector) deliver HIV/AIDS services without external technical assistance from donors?	◉ A. HIV/AIDS services are primarily delivered by external agencies, organizations, or institutions. ○ B. Host country institutions deliver HIV/AIDS services but with substantial external technical assistance. ○ C. Host country institutions deliver HIV/AIDS services with some external technical assistance. ○ D. Host country institutions deliver HIV/AIDS services with minimal or no external technical assistance.	6.4 Score: 0.00	GAM 2017 Indicator #6.1	
6.5 Domestic Financing of Service Delivery for Key Populations: To what extent do host country institutions (public, private, or voluntary sector) finance the delivery of HIV/AIDS services to key populations (i.e. without external financial assistance from donors)? (if exact or approximate percentage known, please note in Comments column)	○ A. Host country institutions provide no or minimal (0%) financing for delivery of HIV/AIDS services to key populations. ○ B. Host country institutions provide minimal (approx. 1-9%) financing for delivery of HIV/AIDS services to key populations. ◉ C. Host country institutions provide some (approx. 10-49%) financing for delivery of HIV/AIDS services to key populations. ○ D. Host country institutions provide most (approx. 50-89%) financing for delivery of HIV/AIDS services to key populations. ○ E. Host country institutions provide all or almost all (approx. 90%+) financing for delivery of HIV/AIDS services to key populations.	6.5 Score: 0.83	GAM 2017 Indicator #6.1	
6.6 Domestic Provision of Service Delivery for Key Populations: To what extent do host country institutions (public, private, or voluntary sector) deliver HIV/AIDS services to key populations without external technical assistance from donors?	○ A. HIV/AIDS services to key populations are primarily delivered by external agencies, organizations, or institutions. ◉ B. Host country institutions deliver HIV/AIDS services to key populations but with substantial external technical assistance. ○ C. Host country institutions deliver HIV/AIDS services to key populations with some external technical assistance. ○ D. Host country institutions deliver HIV/AIDS services to key populations with minimal or no external technical assistance.	6.6 Score: 0.37	GAM 2017 Indicator #6.1	
6.7 National Service Delivery Capacity: Do national health authorities have the capacity to effectively plan and manage HIV services?	National health authorities (check all that apply): ☑ Translate national policies/strategies into sub-national level HIV/AIDS strategic plan and response activities. ☑ Use epidemiologic and program data to measure effectiveness of sub-national level programs in delivering needed HIV/AIDS services in right locations. ☐ Assess current and future staffing needs based on HIV/AIDS program goals and budget realities for high burden locations. ☐ Develop sub-national level budgets that allocate resources to high burden service delivery locations. ☑ Effectively engage with civil society in program planning and evaluation of services. ☐ Design a staff performance management plan to assure that staff working at high burden sites maintain good clinical and technical skills, such as through training and/or mentorship.	6.7 Score: 0.56	http://www.hivreforminaction.org/eng/wp-content/uploads/2017/07/Deloitte_Client-Satisfaction-HIV-Services_2017_for-WEB.pdf http://www.hivreforminaction.org/eng/wp-content/uploads/2017/01/HRH_STRATEGY__EN_fin_web.pdf http://www.hivreforminaction.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/04/Deloite_ANALIZ_UA_2017__pages-web.pdf	

6.8 Sub-national Service Delivery Capacity: Do sub-national health authorities (i.e., district, provincial) have the capacity to effectively plan and manage HIV services sufficiently to achieve sustainable epidemic control?	Sub-national health authorities (check all that apply): ☑ Translate national policies/strategies into sub-national level HIV/AIDS strategic plan and response activities. ☐ Use epidemiologic and program data to measure effectiveness of sub-national level programs in delivering needed HIV/AIDS services in right locations. ☑ Assess current and future staffing needs based on HIV/AIDS program goals and budget realities for high burden locations. ☑ Develop sub-national level budgets that allocate resources to high burden service delivery locations. ☑ Effectively engage with civil society in program planning and evaluation of services. ☐ Design a staff performance management plan to assure that staff working at high burden sites maintain good clinical and technical skills, such as through training and/or mentorship.	6.8 Score:	0.74	http://www.hivreforminaction.org/eng/wp-content/uploads/2017/01/HRH_STRATEGY__EN_fin_web.pdf http://www.hivreforminaction.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/04/Deloite_ANALIZ_UA_2017__pages-web.pdf HRH Projection for 3 PEPFAR-supported regions	
	Service Delivery Score	**4.63**			

7. Human Resources for Health: HRH staffing decisions for those working on HIV/AIDS are based on use of HR data and are aligned with national plans. Host country has sufficient numbers and categories of competent health care workers and volunteers to provide quality HIV/AIDS prevention, care and treatment services in health facilities and in the community. Host country trains, deploys and compensates health workers providing HIV/AIDS services through local public and/or private resources and systems. Host country has a strategy or plan for transitioning staff funded by donors.			**Data Source**	**Notes/Comments**
7.1 HRH Supply: To what extent is the clinical health worker supply adequate to enable the volume and quality of HIV/AIDS services needed for sustained epidemic control at the facility and/or community site level?	Check all that apply: ☑ The country's pre-service education institutions are producing an adequate supply and skills mix of clinical health care providers ☐ The country's clinical health workers are adequately deployed to, or distributed within, facilities and communities with high HIV burden ☐ The country has developed retention schemes that address clinical health worker vacancy or attrition in high HIV burden areas ☐ The country's pre-service education institutions are producing an adequate supply and appropriate skills mix of social service workers to deliver social services to vulnerable children	7.1 Score: 0.28	http://zakon3.rada.gov.ua/laws/show/en/918-97-%D0%BF http://www.euro.who.int/__data/assets/pdf_file/0018/280701/UkraineHiT.pdf http://www.uiph.kiev.ua/dawnload/Vidavnictvo/Shchorichna%20dopovid/%D0%A9%D0%BE%D1%80%D1%96%D1%87%D0%BD%D0%B0%20%D0%B4%D0%BE%D0%BF%D0%BE%D0%B2%D1%96%D0%B4%D1%8C.2016.pdf (pages 346 - 354)	System "KADRY" is avaialble in the country. But the functionality of the system is not allow to support staff retention to address clinical health worker vacancy or attrition in high burden areas
7.2 Role of Community-based Health Workers (CHWs): To what extent are community-based health workers' roles and responsibilities specified for HIV/AIDS service delivery?	Check all that apply: ☑ There is a national community-based health worker (CHW) cadre that has a defined role in HIV/AIDS service delivery (e.g., through a national strategy or task-sharing framework/guidelines). ☑ Data are made available on the staffing and deployment of CHWs, including non-formalized CHWs supported by donors. ☑ The host country government officially recognizes non-formalized CHWs delivering HIV/AIDS services.	7.2 Score: 1.11	"Ситуационный анализ человеческих ресурсов в сфере противодействия ВИЧ. // Проект USAID «Реформа ВИЧ-услуг в действии», Deloitte. - Киев: 2015. Кадровые ресурсы в сфере ВИЧ/СПИД (работники сферы здравоохранения) в Украине (последняя версия проекта отчета). // Бюро ВОЗ в Украине. - Киев: 2014. - 88 с. http://www.hivreforminaction.org/eng/wp-content/uploads/2017/01/HRH_STRATEGY__EN_fin_web.pdf http://www.hivreforminaction.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/06/HRH-Guidelines-2017_web.pdf + стандарти МінСОЦПОлітики + положення про + пастортная анкета Центра СПИДа (при отчетности)	There are no consensus in operationalising terms of "community-based Health Workers", "Social Workers" and "Outreach workers" IN some PEPFAR-supported regions funding allocation from the local budgets for community-based health worker's services are available +Sources and links from M. Varban (Alliance for Public Health)
7.3 HRH transition: What is the status of transitioning PEPFAR and/or other donor supported HIV/AIDS health worker salaries to local financing/compensation? Note in comments column which donors have transition plans in place.	◉ A. There is no inventory or plan for transition of donor-supported health workers ○ B. There is an inventory of donor-supported health workers, but no official plan to transition these staff to local support ○ C. There is an inventory and plan for transition of donor-supported workers, but it has not yet been implemented ○ D. There is an inventory and plan for donor-supported workers to be transitioned, and staff are being transitioned according to this plan ○ E. No plan is necessary because all HIV/AIDS health worker salaries are already locally financed/compensated	7.3 Score: 0.00		

7.4 Domestic funding for HRH: What proportion of health worker (doctors, nurses, midwives, and CHW) salaries are supported with domestic public or private resources (i.e. excluding donor resources)? (if exact or approximate percentage known, please note in Comments column)	○ A. Host country institutions provide no (0%) health worker salaries ○ B. Host country institutions provide minimal (approx. 1-9%) health worker salaries ○ C. Host country institutions provide some (approx. 10-49%) health worker salaries ○ D. Host country institutions provide most (approx. 50-89%) health worker salaries ◉ E. Host country institutions provide all or almost all (approx. 90%+) health worker salaries	7.4 Score: 3.33	http://www.euro.who.int/__data/assets/pdf_file/0018/280701/UkraineHiT.pdf GAM Reporting (Indicator #6.1) GF Policies	
7.5 Pre-service: Do current pre-service education curricula for any health workers providing HIV/AIDS services include HIV content that has been updated in last three years? Note: List applicable cadres in the comments column.	◉ A. Pre-service education institutions do not have HIV content, or HIV content used by pre-service education institutions is out of date (not updated within 3 years) ○ B. Pre-service institutions have updated HIV/AIDS content within the last three years (check all that apply): ☐ Updated content reflects national standards of practice for cadres offering HIV/AIDS-related services ☐ Institutions maintain process for continuously updating content, including HIV/AIDS content ☐ Updated curricula contain training related to stigma & discrimination of PLHIV ☐ Institutions track student employment after graduation to inform planning	7.5 Score: 0.00		
7.6 In-service Training: To what extent does the host country government (through public, private, and/or voluntary sectors) plan and implement HIV/AIDS in-service training necessary to equip health workers for sustained epidemic control? (if exact or approximate percentage known, please note in Comments column)	Check all that apply among A, B, C, D: ☑ A. The host country government provides the following support for in-service training in the country (check ONE): ☐ Host country government implements no (0%) HIV/AIDS related in-service training ☐ Host country government implements minimal (approx. 1-9%) HIV/AIDS related in-service training ☑ Host country government implements some (approx. 10-49%) HIV/AIDS in-service training ☐ Host country government implements most (approx. 50-89%) HIV/AIDS in-service training ☐ Host country government implements all or almost all (approx. 90%+) HIV/AIDS in-service training ☑ B. The host country government has a national plan for institutionalizing (establishing capacity within local institutions to deliver) donor-supported in-service training in HIV/AIDS ☑ C. The host country government requires continuing professional development, a form of in-service training, for re-licensure for key clinicians ☐ D. The host country government maintains a database to track training for HIV/AIDS, and allocates training based on need (e.g. focusing on high burden areas)	7.6 Score: 0.69	For questions A, C ther sources are as follows: http://www.hivreforminaction.org/eng/wp-content/uploads/2017/01/HRH_STRATEGY__EN_fin_web.pdf http://www.euro.who.int/__data/assets/pdf_file/0018/280701/UkraineHiT.pdf For questions B, D the source might be provided by Ukraine MoH PHC	For question A, While some "thematic improvement courses" might be offered, a substation share of HIV/AIDS in-service training is offered through donor funding. We selected "some training" option (rather than "most"), and the actual estimated % migth be closer to 49%. For question D, the country is working towards establishing such a database, it is not fully in place yet.

7.7 HR Data Collection and Use: Does the country systematically collect and use health workforce data, such as through a Human Resource Information Systems (HRIS), for HIV/AIDS services and/or health workforce planning and management?	○ A. There is no HRIS in country and data on the health workforce is not collected systematically for planning and management ○ B. There is no HRIS in country, but some data is collected for planning and management ☐ Registration and re-licensure data for key professionals is collected and used for planning and management ☐ MOH health worker employee data (number, cadre, and location of employment) is collected and used ☐ Routine assessments are conducted regarding health worker staffing at health facility and/or community sites ◉ C. There is an HRIS (an interoperable system that captures at least regulatory and deployment data on health workers) in country: ☑ The HRIS is primarily financed and managed by host country institutions ☐ There is a national strategy or approach to interoperability for HRIS ☑ The government produces HR data from the system at least annually ☐ Host country institutions use HR data from the system for planning and management (e.g. health worker deployment)	7.7 Score: 0.83	http://www.moz.gov.ua/ua/portal/pre_20160115_e.html	"Ukrmedreest" could be considered as HRIS, although there are numerous issues to be emphasized here: 1) the enterprise that manages Ukrmedreestr is in the process of liquidation; 2) Ukrmeedreestr data quality is very low due to lack of verification, as well as duplications and other errors; 3) Ukrmeedreestr data was collected on quarterly basis and the database is not interoperable, as data are often submitted on flash drives etc.; 4) HIV-related indicators are poorly covered in Ukrmedreestr. However, there is ongoing work to establish a functional and high-quality HRIS for HIV/AIDS services through eHealth platform. It is estimated the the new HRIS will become operational by mid-2018.
	Human Resources for Health Score	**6.25**		

8. Commodity Security and Supply Chain: The National HIV/AIDS response ensures a secure, reliable and adequate supply and distribution of quality products, including drugs, lab and medical supplies, health items, and equipment required for effective and efficient HIV/AIDS prevention, diagnosis and treatment. Host country efficiently manages product selection, forecasting and supply planning, procurement, warehousing and inventory management, transportation, dispensing and waste management reducing costs while maintaining quality.			**Data Source**	**Notes/Comments**
8.1 ARV Domestic Financing: What is the estimated percentage of ARV procurement funded by domestic sources? (Domestic sources includes public sector and private sector but excludes donor and out-of-pocket funds) (if exact or approximate percentage known, please note in Comments column)	○ A. This information is not known. ○ B. No (0%) funding from domestic sources ○ C. Minimal (approx. 1-9%) funding from domestic sources ○ D. Some (approx. 10-49%) funded from domestic sources ◉ E. Most (approx. 50 – 89%) funded from domestic sources ○ F. All or almost all (approx. 90%+) funded from domestic sources	8.1 Score: 0.63	These figures were presented by the State during the National consultations: stop of HIV/AIDS epidemy in Ukraine (27-28.09.2017) _(презентация)	
8.2 Test Kit Domestic Financing: What is the estimated percentage of HIV Rapid Test Kit procurement funded by domestic sources? (Domestic sources includes public sector and private sector but excludes donor and out-of-pocket funds) (if exact or approximate percentage known, please note in Comments column)	○ A. This information is not known ○ B. No (0%) funding from domestic sources ○ C. Minimal (approx. 1-9%) funding from domestic sources ◉ D. Some (approx. 10-49%) funded from domestic sources ○ E. Most (approx. 50-89%) funded from domestic sources ○ F. All or almost all (approx. 90%+) funded from domestic sources	8.2 Score: 0.42	NASA report	
8.3 Condom Domestic Financing: What is the estimated percentage of condom procurement funded by domestic (not donor) sources? Note: The denominator should be the supply of free or subsidized condoms provided to public or private sector health facilities or community based programs. (if exact or approximate percentage known, please note in Comments column)	○ A. This information is not known ◉ B. No (0%) funding from domestic sources ○ C. Minimal (approx. 1-9%) funding from domestic sources ○ D. Some (approx. 10-49%) funded from domestic sources ○ E. Most (approx. 50-89%) funded from domestic sources ○ F. All or almost all (approx. 90%+) funded from domestic sources	8.3 Score: 0.00		

8.4 Supply Chain Plan: Does the country have an agreed-upon national supply chain plan that guides investments in the supply chain?	◉ A. There is no plan or thoroughly annually reviewed supply chain standard operating procedure (SOP). ○ B. There is a plan/SOP that includes the following components (check all that apply): ☐ Human resources ☐ Training ☐ Warehousing ☐ Distribution ☐ Reverse Logistics ☐ Waste management ☐ Information system ☐ Procurement ☐ Forecasting ☐ Supply planning and supervision ☐ Site supervision	8.4 Score: 0.00		
8.5 Supply Chain Plan Financing: What is the estimated percentage of financing for the supply chain plan that is provided by domestic sources (i.e. excluding donor funds)? (if exact or approximate percentage known, please note in Comments column)	○ A. This information is not available. ○ B. No (0%) funding from domestic sources. ○ C. Minimal (approx. 1-9%) funding from domestic sources. ◉ D. Some (approx. 10-49%) funding from domestic sources. ○ E. Most (approx. 50-89%) funding from domestic sources. ○ F. All or almost all (approx. 90%+) funding from domestic sources.	8.5 Score: 0.42	Типовы ынструкцыъ спывробытникыв ЦГЗ та регылональних центрыв (де э цей обовёязок)	

8.6 Stock: Does the host country government manage processes and systems that ensure appropriate ARV stock in all levels of the system?	Check all that apply: ☑ The group making re-supply decisions for ARVs, have timely visibility into the ARV stock on hand at facilities ☑ Facilities are stocked with ARVs according to plan (above the minimum and below the maximum stock level) 90% of the time ☑ MOH or other host government personnel make re-supply decisions with minimal external assistance: ☐ Decision makers are not seconded or implementing partner staff ☑ Supply chain data are maintained within the Ministry of Health and not solely stored by donor-funded projects ☑ Team that conducts analysis of facility data is at least 50% host government	8.6 Score: 1.98	NPHC Report SIAPS report http://siapsprogram.org/wp-content/uploads/2013/02/16-196-NSCA-Report_FINAL.pdf Redistribution Orders	
8.7 Assessment: Was an overall score of above 80% achieved on the National Supply Chain Assessment or top quartile for an equivalent assessment conducted within the last three years? (if exact or approximate percentage known, please note in Comments column)	◉ A. A comprehensive assessment has not been done within the last three years. ○ B. A comprehensive assessment has been done within the last three years but the score was lower than 80% (for NSCA) or in the bottom three quartiles for the global average of other equivalent assessments ○ C. A comprehensive assessment has been done within the last three years and the score was higher than 80% (for NSCA) or in the top quartile for the assessment	8.7 Score: 0.00		
	Commodity Security and Supply Chain Score:	**3.43**		

9. Quality Management: Host country has institutionalized quality management systems, plans, workforce capacities and other key inputs to ensure that modern quality improvement methodologies are applied to managing and providing HIV/AIDS services			**Data Source**	**Notes/Comments**
9.1 Existence of a Quality Management (QM) System: Does the host country government support appropriate QM structures to support continuous quality improvement (QI) at national, sub-national and site levels?	○ A. The host country government does not have structures or resources to support site-level continuous quality improvement ◉ B. The host country government: ☑ Has structures with dedicated focal points or leaders (e.g., committee, focal person, working groups, teams) at the national level, sub-national level and in a majority of sites where HIV/AIDS care and services are offered that are supporting site-level continuous quality improvement ☐ Has a budget line item for the QM program ☐ Supports a knowledge management platform (e.g., web site) and/or peer learning opportunities available to site QI participants to gain insights from other sites and interventions	9.1 Score: 0.67	Local Protocols with point of Contacts for QI (Kryvyj Rih + Poltava)	Government health system has regulations, structures and people dedicated to quality control and assurance, not continuing quality improvement per se. CME curriculum on CQI was developed and approved at Bohomolets National Medical University and Uzhgorod National University in 2017. By the end of calendar year 2017, methodological recommendations on CQI are expected to be approved.
9.2 Quality Management/Quality Improvement (QM/QI) Plan: Is there a current (updated within the last 2 years) QM/QI plan? (The plan may be HIV program-specific or include HIV program-specific elements in a national health sector QM/QI plan.)	◉ A. There is no HIV/AIDS-related QM/QI strategy ○ B. There is a QM/QI strategy that includes HIV/AIDS, but it is not utilized ○ C. There is a current QM/QI strategy that includes HIV/AIDS program specific elements, and it is partially utilized. ○ D. There is a current HIV/AIDS program specific QM/QI strategy, and it is fully utilized.	9.2 Score: 0.00		QI/QM is not an explicit part of the National AIDS Program.
9.3 Performance Data Collection and Use for Improvement: Are HIV program performance measurement data systematically collected and analyzed to identify areas of patient care and services that can be improved through national decision making, policy, or priority setting?	○ A. HIV program performance measurement data are not used to identify areas of patient care and services that can be improved through national decision making, policy, or priority setting. ◉ B. HIV program performance measurement data are used to identify areas of patient care and services that can be improved through national decision making, policy, or priority setting (check all that apply): ☑ The national quality structure has a clinical data collection system from which local performance measurement data on prioritized measures are being collected, aggregated nationally, and analyzed for local and national improvement ☐ There is a system for sharing data at the national, SNU, and local level, with evidence that data is used to identify quality gaps and initiate QI activities ☐ There is documentation of results of QI activities and demonstration of national HIV program improvement through sharing and implementation of best practices across HIV/AIDS sites at all levels	9.3 Score: 0.67	DQA instrument (NPHC web-site)	There is a robust system of HIV/AIDS data collection, reporting and analysis. NPHC = national quality structure There are some elements of the QI/QI system: Informational Newsletters, National Portal of Strategic Information for HIV/AIDS, Mational M&E Working Group

9.4 Health worker capacity for QM/QI: Does the host country government ensure that the health workforce has capacities to apply modern quality improvement methods to HIV/AIDS care and services?	○ A. There is no training or recognition offered to build health workforce competency in QI. ◉ B. There is health workforce competency-building in QI, including: ☐ Pre-service institutions incorporate modern quality improvement methods in curricula ☑ National in-service training (IST) curricula integrate quality improvement training for members of the health workforce (including managers) who provide or support HIV/AIDS services	9.4 Score: 1.00		CME curriculum on CQI was developed and incorporated into the training program at Bogomolets National Medical University in 2017.
9.5 Existence of QI Implementation: Does the host country government QM system use proven systematic approaches for QI?	The national-level QM structure: ☐ Provides oversight to ensure continuous quality improvement in HIV/AIDS care and services ☐ Regularly convenes meetings that include health services consumers ☑ Routinely reviews national, sub-national and clinical outcome data to identify and prioritize areas for improvement Sub-national QM structures: ☑ Provide coordination and support to ensure continuous quality improvement in HIV/AIDS care and services ☐ Regularly convene meetings that includes health services consumers ☐ Routinely review national, sub-national and clinical outcome data to identify and prioritize areas for improvement Site-level QM structures: ☑ Undertake continuous quality improvement in HIV/AIDS care and services to identify and prioritize areas for improvement	9.5 Score: 0.86	Minutes of the meetings, QI Plans, Reports, Weekly management meetings integrated into the operational practice of the sites	National level: Center for Public Health reviews data to identify areas for improvement. Sub-national level: HIV/TB councils, Health Department and AIDS Centers provide coordination and support on quality improvement. Site-level: implement CQI activities, but only within donor-supported TA projects.
	Quality Management Score:	**3.19**		

10. Laboratory: The host country ensures adequate funds, policies, and regulations to ensure laboratory capacity (workforce, equipment, reagents, quality) matches the services required for PLHIV.			**Data Source**	**Notes/Comments**
10.1 Strategic Plan: Does the host country have a national laboratory strategic plan?	○ A. There is no national laboratory strategic plan ◉ B. National laboratory strategic plan is under development ○ C. National laboratory strategic plan has been developed, but not approved ○ D. National laboratory strategic plan has been developed and approved ○ E. National laboratory plan has been developed, approved, and costed ○ F. National laboratory strategic plan has been developed, approved, costed, and implemented	10.1 Score: 0.33	http://www.kmu.gov.ua/control/uk/cardnpd?docid=249618799 (in Ukrainian)	Concept on public health system development in Ukraine, approved by the Government of Ukraine on 11/30/2016, envisages development of the national laboratory strategic plan. As of 10/11/2017 the plan is under development within PEPFAR/CDC funded SILAB project
10.2 Regulations to Monitor Quality of Laboratories and Point of Care Testing (POCT) Sites: To what extent does the host country have regulations in place to monitor the quality of its laboratories and POCT sites? (if exact or approximate percentage known, please note in Comments column)	○ A. Regulations do not exist to monitor minimum quality of laboratories in the country. ○ B. Regulations exist, but are not implemented (0% of laboratories and POCT sites regulated). ○ C. Regulations exist, but are minimally implemented (approx.. 1-9% of laboratories and POCT sites regulated). ◉ D. Regulations exist, but are partially implemented (approx. 10-49% of laboratories and POCT sites regulated). ○ E. Regulations exist and are mostly implemented (approx. 50-89% of laboratories and POCT sites regulated). ○ F. Regulations exist and are fully or almost fully implemented (approx. 90%+ of laboratories and POCT sites regulated).	10.2 Score: 0.83	http://zakon2.rada.gov.ua/laws/show/z0112-15 (in Ukrainian) http://zakon2.rada.gov.ua/laws/show/z1404-05 (in Ukrainian) http://zakon3.rada.gov.ua/laws/show/z0319-11 (in Ukrainian) http://mtd.dec.gov.ua/index.php/uk/temy-v-rozrobtsi/elektronni-konsultatsii-z-hromadskistiu (in Ukrainian)	Existing policies regulate laboratories (MoH Ordinance #4), and to the less extent they allow to monitor quality of POCT (2 other MoH ordinances - 415, 1141). With decentralization of services and expansion of POCT new regulation package is under develelopment (includes new ordinance, and comprehensive consolidated HIV guidelines).
10.3 Capacity of Laboratory Workforce: Does the host country have an adequate number of qualified laboratory personnel (human resources [HR]) in the public sector, to sustain key functions to meet the needs of PLHIV for diagnosis, monitoring treatment and viral load suppression?	○ A. There are not adequate qualified laboratory personnel to achieve sustained epidemic control ◉ B. There are adequate qualified laboratory personnel to perform the following key functions: ☑ HIV diagnosis by rapid testing and point-of-care testing ☑ Routine laboratory testing, including chemistry, hematology, microbiology, serology, blood banking, and malaria ☐ Complex laboratory testing, including HIV viral load, CD4 testing, and molecular assays ☐ TB diagnosis	10.3 Score: 0.83	http://phc.org.ua/uploads/documents/c21991/8a07ad35dc72489d06682eec55d0d1d8.pdf (page 87-91)	

10.4 Viral Load Infrastructure: Does the host country have sufficient infrastructure to test for viral load to reach sustained epidemic control?	◉ A. There is not sufficient infrastructure to test for viral load. ○ B. There is sufficient infrastructure to test for viral load, including: ☐ Sufficient HIV viral load instruments ☐ All HIV viral load laboratories have an instrument maintenance program ☐ Sufficient supply chain system is in place to prevent stock outs ☐ Adequate specimen transport system and timely return of results	10.4 Score: 0.00	http://phc.org.ua/uploads/documents/c21991/8a07ad35dc72489d06682eec55d0d1d8.pdf (page 85, 89-91)	Existing 17 labs do not have sufficient capacity to cover 100% need in viral load testing (in context of ART expansion) CPH developed a plan and negotiated with suppliers of VL equipment to install aditional 8 VL stations which is pending.
10.5 Domestic Funds for Laboratories: To what extent are laboratory services financed by domestic public or private resources (i.e. excluding external donor funding)? (if exact or approximate percentage known, please note in Comments column)	○ A. No (0%) laboratory services are financed by domestic resources. ○ B. Minimal (approx. 1-9%) laboratory services are financed by domestic resources. ○ C. Some (approx. 10-49%) laboratory services are financed by domestic resources. ◉ D. Most (approx. 50-89%) laboratory services are financed by domestic resources. ○ E. All or almost all (approx. 90%+) laboratory services are financed by domestic resources.	10.5 Score: 2.50	No reference available; expert opinion expressed during phone call with HIV reference lab on 10/11/2017	PEPFAR and GFATM cover part of VL testing for KP as well as CD4 and VL for ART monitoring. Major funding comes from the national AIDS program (central and local budgets). CPH experiences some difficulties with coordination of lab supplies procurement due to changes in regulations and procurement procedures in Ukraine (i.e. delays, narrowed list of IVDs approved for in-country use) 80% - % for Ukraine
		Laboratory Score:	**4.50**	

THIS CONCLUDES THE SET OF QUESTIONS ON DOMAIN B

Domain C. Strategic Investments, Efficiency, and Sustainable Financing

What Success Looks Like: Host country government is aware of the financial resources required to effectively and efficiently meet its national HIV/AIDS prevention, care and treatment targets. HCG actively seeks, solicits and or generates the necessary financial resources, ensures sufficient resource commitments, and uses data to strategically allocate funding and maximize investments.

Fiscal Context for Health and HIV/AIDS This section will not be assigned a score, but will provide additional contextual information to complement the questions in Domain C.			Data Source	Notes/Comments
1. What percentage of general government expenditures goes to health?	11%		World Bank database (2014) - Health expenditure, public (% of government expenditure) https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/SH.XPD.PUBL.GX.ZS?view=chart	According to the State Budget Allocation for 2017 - 9%
2. What is the per capita health expenditure all sources?	203 current $ 584 international $		World Bank database (2014) - Health expenditure per capita (current US$) World Bank database (2014) - Health expenditure per capita, PPP (constant 2011 international $)	Держкомстат
3. What is the total health care expenditure all sources as a percent of GDP?	7.10%		World Bank database (2014) - Health expenditure, total (% of GDP) https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/SH.XPD.TOTL.ZS	Держкомстат
4. What percent of total health expenditures is financed by external resources?	0.60%		World Bank database (2014) - External resources for health (% of total expenditure on health) https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/SH.XPD.EXTR.ZS	Держкомстат
5. What percent of total health expenditures is financed by out of pocket spending net of household contributions to medical schemes/pre-payment schemes?	46.20%		World Bank database (2014) - Out-of-pocket health expenditure (% of total expenditure on health) https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/SH.XPD.OOPC.TO.ZS	

11. Domestic Resource Mobilization: The partner country budgets for its HIV/AIDS response and makes adequate resource commitments and expenditures to achieve national HIV/AIDS goals for epidemic control in line with its financial ability.			**Data Source**	**Notes/Comments**
11.1 Long-term Financing Strategy for HIV/AIDS: Has the host country government developed a long-term financing strategy for HIV/AIDS?	Check all that apply: ☑ A. Yes, there is a universal, comprehensive financing scheme that integrates social health insurance, public subsidies, and national budget provisions for public health aspects (e.g., disease surveillance). It includes the following (check all that apply): ☑ ARVs are covered ☑ Non-ARV care and treatment is covered ☑ Prevention services are covered ☐ B. Yes, there is an affordable health insurance scheme available (check one of the following). ☐ It covers 25% or less of the population. ☐ It covers 26 to 50% of the population. ☐ It covers 51 to 75% of the population. ☐ It covers more than 75% of the population. ☐ C. The affordable health insurance scheme in (B.) includes the following (check all that apply): ☐ ARVs are covered. ☐ Non-ARV care and treatment services are covered. ☐ Prevention services are covered. ☐ It includes public subsidies for the affordability of care.	11.1 Score: 0.32	http://zakon3.rada.gov.ua/laws/show/248-2017-%D1%80?lang=en	

11.2 Domestic Budget: To what extent does the national budget explicitly account for the national HIV/AIDS response?	○ A. There is no explicit funding for HIV/AIDS in the national budget. ◉ B. There is explicit HIV/AIDS funding within the national budget. ☑ The HIV/AIDS budget is program-based across ministries ☑ The budget includes or references indicators of progress toward national HIV/AIDS strategy goals ☑ The budget includes specific HIV/AIDS service delivery targets ☑ National budget reflects all sources of funding for HIV, including from external donors	11.2 Score: 0.95	NAP 2014-2018 site of RADA. http://zakon1.rada.gov.ua/laws/show/1708-18. The funds of the NAP depend on the annual budget allocations. Budget Law.	
11.3 Annual Goals/Targets: To what extent does the national budget contain HIV/AIDS goals/targets?	○ A. There are no HIV/AIDS goals/targets articulated in the national budget ◉ B. There are HIV/AIDS goals/targets articulated in the national budget. ☑ The goals/targets are measurable. ☑ Budget items/programs are linked to goals/targets. ☑ The goals/targets are routinely monitored during budget execution. ☐ The goals/targets are routinely monitored during the development of the budget.	11.3 Score: 0.83	Report on NAP implementation 2014: By indicators www.moz.gov.ua/ua/portal/pgrep_AIDS_2014_01.html By funds: www.moz.gov.ua/ua/portal/pgrep_AIDS_2014_03.html BY activities: www.moz.gov.ua/ua/portal/pgrep_AIDS_2014_02.html GARPR	
11.4 HIV/AIDS Budget Execution: For the previous three years, what was the average execution rate for budgeted domestic HIV/AIDS resources (i.e. excluding any donor funds) at both the national and subnational level? (If subnational data does not exist or is not available, answer the question for the national level. Note level covered in the comments column)	○ A. There is no HIV/AIDS budget, or information is not available. ○ B. 0-49% of budget executed ○ C. 50-69% of budget executed ○ D. 70-89% of budget executed ◉ E. 90% or greater of budget executed	11.4 Score: 0.95	Report on NAP 2014 implementation (finance) www.moz.gov.ua/ua/portal/pgrep_AIDS_2014_03.html	http://www.moz.gov.ua/docfiles/bp_2016_2301400.pdf There is practice to have a stratefic plannining for comprehensive needs of NAP, but allocated state annual budget is not capable to adress all these needs. These Financial Gaps are adressing with Interantional Donors support. The principle of mid-term planning is not currently functional in Ukraine.

11.5 Donor Spending: Does the Ministry of Health or Ministry of Finance routinely, and at least on an annual basis, collect all donor spending in the health sector or for HIV/AIDS-specific services?	○ A. Neither the Ministry of Health nor the Ministry of Finance routinely collects all donor spending in the health sector or for HIV/AIDS-specific services. ◉ B. The Ministry of Health or Ministry of Finance routinely collects all donor spending for only HIV/AIDS-specific services. ○ C. The Ministry of Health or Ministry of Finance routinely collects all donor spending all the entire health sector, including HIV/AIDS-specific services.	11.5 Score: 0.67	NASA reporting	
11.6 Domestic Spending: What percent of the annual national HIV response is financed with domestic public and domestic private sector HIV funding? (Domestic funding excludes out-of-pocket, Global Fund grants, and other donor resources)? (if exact or approximate percentage known, please note in Comments column)	○ A. None (0%) is financed with domestic funding. ○ B. Very liitle (approx. 1-9%) is financed with domestic funding. ◉ C. Some (approx. 10-49%) is financed with domestic funding. ○ D. Most (approx. 50-89%) is financed with domestic funding. ○ E. All or almost all (approx. 90%+) is financed with domestic funding.	11.6 Score: 1.67	Finansial gap analysis GFATM 2018-2020 application - for planned resources. MoH Report on NAP, 2014. www.moz.gov.ua/ua/portal/pgrep_AIDS_2014_03.html NASA 2015 (32%)	
11.7 Health Budget Execution: What was the country's execution rate of its budget for health in the most recent year's budget?	○ A. There is no budget for health or no money was allocated. ○ B. 0-49% of budget executed. ○ C. 50-69% of budget executed. ○ D. 70-89% of budget executed. ◉ E. 90% or greater of budget executed.	11.7 Score: 0.95	http://www.moz.gov.ua/docfiles/bp_2016_2301400.pdf http://www.moz.gov.ua/docfiles/bpz_2016_2301400.pdf	
11.8 Data-Driven Reprogramming: Do host country government policies/systems allow for reprograming domestic investments based on new or updated program data during the government funding cycle?	○ A. There is no system for funding cycle reprogramming. ○ B. There is a policy/system that allows for funding cycle reprogramming, but is seldom used. ◉ C. There is a policy/system that allows for funding cycle reprogramming and reprogramming is done as per the policy, but not based on data. ○ D. There is a policy/system that allows for funding cycle reprogramming and reprogramming is done as per the policy, and is based on data.	11.8 Score: 0.63		
	Domestic Resource Mobilization Score:	**6.98**		

12. Technical and Allocative Efficiencies: The host country analyzes and uses relevant HIV/AIDS epidemiological, health, health workforce, and economic data to inform HIV/AIDS investment decisions. For maximizing impact, data are used to choose which high impact program services and interventions are to be implemented, where resources should be allocated, and what populations demonstrate the highest need and should be targeted (i.e. the right thing at the right place and at the right time). Unit costs are tracked and steps are taken to improve HIV/AIDS outcomes within the available resource envelope (or achieves comparable outcomes with fewer resources).			**Data Source**	**Notes/Comments**
12.1 Resource Allocation Process: Does the partner country government utilize a recognized data-driven model to inform the allocation of domestic (i.e. non-donor) public HIV resources? If yes, please note in the comments section when the model was last used and for what purpose (e.g., for Global Fund concept note development) (note: full score achieved by selecting one checkbox)	○ A. The host country government does not use one of the mechanisms listed below to inform the allocation of their resources. ◉ B. The host country government does use the following mechanisms to inform the allocation of their resources (check all that apply): ☑ Optima ☑ Spectrum (including EPP and Goals) ☑ AIDS Epidemic Model (AEM) ☐ Modes of Transmission (MOT) Model ☐ Other recognized process or model (specify in notes column)	12.1 Score: 2.00	Investment Case (Allocative Effic iency study based on Optima) WB,UNAIDS 2014) - policy brief is available. Spectrum data analysis https://phc.org.ua/uploads/documents/c21991/8a07ad35dc72489d06682eec55d0d1d8.pdf Publication by PHC; GARPR and AIDSinfo site for Specturm data in indicators.	
12.2 Geographic Allocation: Of central government HIV-specific resources (excluding any donor funds) allocated to geographic subunits in the most recent year available, what percentage is being allocated in the highest burden geographic areas (i.e. districts that cumulatively account for 80% of PLHIV)? (if exact or approximate percentage known, please note in Comments column)	○ A. Information not available. ○ B. No resources (0%) are targeting the highest burden geographic areas. ○ C. Minimal resources (approx. 1-9%) are targeting the highest burden geographic areas. ◉ D. Some resources (approx. 10-49%) are targeting the highest burden geographic areas. ○ E. Most resources (approx. 50-89%) are targeting the highest burden geographic areas. ○ F. All or almost all resources (approx. 90%+) are targeting the highest burden geographic areas.	12.2 Score: 1.00	PHC site ART distribution in Oblasts http://phc.org.ua/pages/diseases/hiv_aids/treatment-and-prevention/art; Information bulletins http://phc.org.ua/pages/diseases/hiv_aids/monitoring/information-bulletins;	

12.3 Unit Costs: Does the host country government use recent expenditure data or cost analysis (i.e. data from within the last three years) to estimate unit costs of HIV/AIDS services for budgeting or planning purposes? (note: full score can be achieved without checking all disaggregate boxes).	◉ A. The host country government does not have/use recent expenditure data or cost analysis to estimate unit costs ○ B. The host country government uses recent expenditure data or cost analysis to estimate unit costs for (check all that apply): ☐ HIV Testing ☐ Laboratory services ☐ ART ☐ PMTCT ☐ VMMC ☐ OVC Service Package ☐ Key population Interventions	12.3 Score: 0.00	The NAP 2014-2018 budget formulation/costing documents. GFATM 2018-2020 Application budget and analytical documents on the process of costing of services - available as a chapter on Best practices submitted to the GARPR 2015. http://phc.org.ua/pages/diseases/hiv_aids/information	
12.4 Improving Efficiency: Has the partner country achieved any of the following efficiency improvements through actions taken within the last three years?	Check all that apply: ☑ Improved operations or interventions based on the findings of cost-effectiveness or efficiency studies ☐ Reduced overhead costs by streamlining management ☐ Lowered unit costs by reducing fragmentation, i.e. pooled procurement, resource pooling, etc. ☐ Improved procurement competition ☐ Integrated HIV/AIDS into national or subnational insurance schemes (private or public -- need not be within last three years) ☑ Integrated HIV into primary care services with linkages to specialist care (need not be within last three years) ☑ Integrated TB and HIV services, including ART initiation in TB treatment settings and TB screening and treatment in HIV care settings (need not be within last three years) ☐ Integrated HIV and MCH services, including ART initiated and maintained in eligible pregnant and postpartum women and in infants at maternal and child health care settings (need not be within last three years) ☑ Developed and implemented other new and more efficient models of HIV service delivery (specify in comments)	12.4 Score: 0.89	Allocative Efficiency Study (Optima), PHC - Spectrum data, GARPR and AIDSinfo site for Specturm data in indicators.PHC Spectrum publication. Alliance CITI, Case management, RESPOND Project -IDUs with 6 months ART break -Steps to Health.	

12.5 ARV Benchmark prices: How do the costs of ARVs (most common first line regimen) purchased in the previous year by the partner government using domestic resources compare to international benchmark prices for that year? (Use the "factory cost" of purchased commodities, excluding transport costs, distribution costs, etc.)	○ A. Partner government did not pay for any ARVs using domestic resources in the previous year. ● B. Average price paid for ARVs by the partner government in the previous year was more than 50% greater than the international benchmark price for that regimen. ○ C. Average price paid for ARVs by the partner government in the previous year was 10-50% greater than the international benchmark price for that regimen. ○ D. Average price paid for ARVs by the partner government in the previous year was 1-10% greater than the international benchmark price for that regimen. ○ E. Average price paid for ARVs by the partner government in the previous year was below or equal to the international benchmark price for that regimen.	12.5 Score:	0.50	http://apps.who.int/hiv/amds/price/hdd/	The cost of 1 line ART (UNICEF procurement 2016) TDF/FTC+EFV 5,10+3,15=8.25 USD per month. Year cost is 8.25*12=99 USD. 108.35 (регион, 2015) - 164 (Украина 2015) 216 (Украина 2016) 78 (Украина 2017)
Technical and Allocative Efficiencies Score:			**4.39**		

THIS CONCLUDES THE SET OF QUESTIONS ON DOMAIN C

Domain D: Strategic Information

What Success Looks Like: Using local and national systems, the host country government collects, analyzes and makes available timely, comprehensive, and quality HIV/AIDS data (including epidemiological, economic/financial, and performance data) that can be used to inform policy, program and funding decisions.

13.Epidemiological and Health data: Host Country Government routinely collects, analyzes and makes available data on the HIV/AIDS epidemic and its effects on health outcomes. HIV/AIDS epidemiological and health data include size estimates of key populations, PLHIV, HIV incidence, HIV prevalence, viral load and AIDS-related mortality rates.			**Data Source**	**Notes/Comments**
13.1 Who Leads General Population Surveys & Surveillance: To what extent does the host country government lead and manage planning and implementation of the HIV/AIDS portfolio of general population epidemiological surveys and/or surveillance activities (population-based household surveys, case reporting/clinical surveillance, drug resistance surveillance, etc.)?	○ A. No HIV/AIDS general population surveys or surveillance activities have been conducted within the past 5 years ○ B. Surveys & surveillance activities are primarily planned and implemented by external agencies, organizations or institutions ○ C. Surveys & surveillance activities are planned and implemented by the host country government/other domestic institution, with substantial technical assistance from external agencies ◉ D. Surveys & surveillance activities are planned and implemented by the host country government/other domestic institution, with some technical assistance from external agencies ○ E. Surveys & surveillance activities are planned and implemented by the host country government/other domestic institution, with minimal or no technical assistance from external agencies	13.1 Score: 0.71	https://mics-surveys-prod.s3.amazonaws.com/MICS4/Central%20and%20Eastern%20Europe%20and%20the%20Commonwealth%20of%20Independent%20States/Ukraine/2012/Final/Ukraine%202012%20MICS_English.pdf http://phc.org.ua/en/pages/diseases/hiv_aids/monitoring/epidemiological-surveillance http://phc.org.ua/en/pages/diseases/hiv_aids/monitoring/routine-epidemiological-surveillance	1) The latest household Multiple Indicator Cluster Survey (MICS) was conducted in Ukraine in 2012 by the State Statistics Service in collaboration with the Ukrainian Institute for Social Reforms and StatInformConsulting. Technical support (TS) was provided by the United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF), Swiss Cooperation Office in Ukraine (SDC) and the United States Agency for International Development (USAID). 2) Routine epidemiogical surveillance (case reporting/clinical) is led by the Center for Public Health (CPH) 3) DR surveillance is in development, early warning indicators (EWI) introduced in routine surveillance by CPH
13.2 Who Leads Key Population Surveys & Surveillance: To what extent does the host country government lead & manage planning and implementation of the HIV/AIDS portfolio of key population epidemiological surveys and/or behavioral surveillance activities (IBBS, size estimation studies, etc.)?	○ A. No HIV/AIDS key population surveys or surveillance activities have been conducted within the past 5 years ○ B. Surveys & surveillance activities are primarily planned and implemented by external agencies, organizations or institutions ◉ C. Surveys & surveillance activities are planned and implemented by the host country government/other domestic institution, with substantial technical assistance from external agencies ○ D. Surveys & surveillance activities are planned and implemented by the host country government/other domestic institution, with some technical assistance from external agencies ○ E. Surveys & surveillance activities are planned and implemented by the host country government/other domestic institution, without minimal or no technical assistance from external agencies	13.2 Score: 0.48	http://aph.org.ua/en/resources/publications/	IBBS activities among key populations (KP) have ben primarily planned and organized by IN M&E WG MOH
13.3 Who Finances General Population Surveys & Surveillance: To what extent does the host country government fund the HIV/AIDS portfolio of general population epidemiological surveys and/or surveillance activities (e.g., protocol development, printing of paper-based tools, salaries and transportation for data collection, etc.)? (if exact or approximate percentage known, please note in Comments column)	○ A. No HIV/AIDS general population surveys or surveillance activities have been conducted within the past 5 years ○ B. No financing (0%) is provided by the host country government ○ C. Minimal financing (approx. 1-9%) is provided by the host country government ◉ D. Some financing (approx. 10-49%) is provided by the host country government ○ E. Most financing (approx. 50-89%) is provided by the host country government ○ F. All or almost all financing (90% +) is provided by the host country government	13.3 Score: 0.83	https://mics-surveys-prod.s3.amazonaws.com/MICS4/Central%20and%20Eastern%20Europe%20and%20the%20Commonwealth%20of%20Independent%20States/Ukraine/2012/Final/Ukraine%202012%20MICS_English.pdf http://phc.org.ua/en/pages/diseases/hiv_aids/monitoring/epidemiological-surveillance http://phc.org.ua/en/pages/diseases/hiv_aids/monitoring/routine-epidemiological-surveillance	1) The latest household MICS was funded by the United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF), Swiss Cooperation Office in Ukraine (SDC) and the United States Agency for International Development (USAID). 2) Routine epidemiogical surveillance (case reporting/clinical) is dunded by centrel , local budgets and particlly by donors (MIS development) 3) DR surveillance is funded by PEPFAR, GFATM and partially (EWI) by national/local bugets

13.4 Who Finances Key Populations Surveys & Surveillance: To what extent does the host country government fund the HIV/AIDS portfolio of key population epidemiological surveys and/or behavioral surveillance activities (e.g., protocol development, printing of paper-based tools, salaries and transportation for data collection, etc.)? (if exact or approximate percentage known, please note in Comments column)	○ A. No HIV/AIDS key population surveys or surveillance activities have been conducted within the past 5 years ○ B. No financing (0%) is provided by the host country government ◉ C. Minimal financing (approx. 1-9%) is provided by the host country government ○ D. Some financing (approx. 10-49%) is provided by the host country government ○ E. Most financing (approx. 50-89%) is provided by the host country government ○ F. All or almost all financing (approx. 90% +) is provided by the host country government	13.4 Score: 0.42	http://aph.org.ua/en/resources/publications/ Посадова інструкція співробітників ЦГЗ, регіональних центрів	IBBS from 2007 to 2012 were funded by GFATM, since 2013 on biannual basis by PEPFAR (CDC). Minimal financing on IBBS working groups has been provided by the central budgets
13.5 Comprehensiveness of Prevalence and Incidence Data: To what extent does the host country government collect HIV prevalence and incidence data according to relevant disaggregations, populations and geographic units? (Note: Full score possible without selecting all disaggregates.)	Check ALL boxes that apply below. (A.) refers to prevalence data. (B.) refers to incidence data: ☑ A. The host country government collects at least every 5 years HIV prevalence data disaggregated by: ☑ Age (at coarse disaggregates) ☐ Age (at fine disaggregates) ☑ Sex ☑ Key populations (FSW, PWID, MSM, TG, prisoners) ☑ Priority populations (AGYW, clients of sex workers, military, mobile populations, non-injecting drug users) ☑ Sub-national units ☑ B. The host country government collects at least every 5 years HIV incidence disaggregated by: ☑ Age (at coarse disaggregates) ☐ Age (at fine disaggregates) ☑ Sex ☑ Key populations (FSW, PWID, MSM, TG, prisoners) ☐ Priority populations (AGYW, clients of sex workers, military, mobile populations, non-injecting drug users) ☑ Sub-national units	13.5 Score: 0.86	http://phc.org.ua/uploads/documents/c21991/1489e96901f2c3c26f4210ba6a9698cc.pdf (in Ukrainian only)	Bulletin No48, p.5, p.20 (prevalence based on N of registered cases; est N of PLHIV by regions) Prevalence data available from routine and sentinel surveillance and modelling (SPECTRUM, AEM for PLHIV size estimation); Priority groups were assessed only for population of clients of CSW's Incidence availabale mainly by modelling; incidence among KPs is measured within IBBSs since 2013 (LAg-tests for recent HIV infection detection); additional information on HIV incidence in population of PWID is measured with cohort study of PWID population (2013). Incidence data with disaggregation available in SPETRUM modeling files but has not been oficially disseminated To assess HIV prevalence in KP the special studies are conducting (IBBSs). The routine data for Prevalence among KP are not available.

13.6 Comprehensiveness of Viral Load Data: To what extent does the host country government collect/report viral load data according to relevant disaggregations and across all PLHIV? (if exact or approximate percentage known, please note in Comments column)	○ A. The host country government does not collect/report viral load data or does not conduct viral load monitoring ◉ B. The host country government collects/reports viral load data (answer both subsections below): According to the following disaggregates (check ALL that apply): ☐ Age ☐ Sex ☐ Key populations (FSW, PWID, MSM, TG, prisoners) ☐ Priority populations (AGYW, clients of sex workers, military, mobile populations, non-injecting drug users) For what proportion of PLHIV (select ONE of the following): ☐ Less than 25% ☐ 25-50% ☑ 50-75% ☐ More than 75%	13.6 Score: 0.36	http://phc.org.ua/uploads/documents/c21991/8a07ad35dc72489d06682eec55d0d1d8.pdf (in Ukrainian)	Bulletin No47, p.144-145. In 2016 69,118 HIV patients out of 132,945 PLHIV registered in care had VL test at least once per year (52% of total PLHIV registered). Data om age/sex disaggregation is available in patients' charts but not collected/reported routinely.
13.7 Comprehensiveness of Key and Priority Populations Data: To what extent does the host country government conduct IBBS and/or size estimation studies for key and priority populations? (Note: Full score possible without selecting all disaggregates.) Please note most recent survey dates in comments section.	○ A. The host country government does not conduct IBBS or size estimation studies for key populations (FSW, PWID, MSM, TG, prisoners) or priority populations (Military, etc.). ◉ B. The host country government conducts (answer both subsections below): IBBS for (check ALL that apply): ☑ Female sex workers (FSW) ☑ Men who have sex with men (MSM) ☐ Transgender (TG) ☑ People who inject drugs (PWID) ☑ Prisoners ☑ Priority populations (AGYW, clients of sex workers, military, mobile populations, non-injecting drug users) Size estimation studies for (check ALL that apply): ☑ Female sex workers (FSW) ☑ Men who have sex with men (MSM) ☐ Transgender (TG) ☑ People who inject drugs (PWID) ☐ Prisoners ☐ Priority populations (AGYW, clients of sex workers, miliitary, mobile populations, non-injecting drug users)	13.7 Score: 0.83	http://aph.org.ua/en/resources/publications/	Priority groups include only group of cliens of CSWs

13.8 Timeliness of Epi and Surveillance Data: To what extent is a timeline for the collection of epidemiologic and surveillance data outlined in a national HIV/AIDS surveillance and survey strategy (or a national surveillance and survey strategy with specifics for HIV)?	○ A. There is no national HIV surveillance and surveys strategy, or a national surveillance and surveys strategy exists but does not include specifics for HIV surveillance and surveys ○ B. A national HIV surveillance and surveys strategy exists (or a national surveillance and surveys strategy exists and includes specifics for HIV), but the strategy does not outline a timeline for data collection for all relevant population groups ◉ C. A national HIV surveillance and surveys strategy exists (or a national surveillance and surveys strategy exists and includes specifics for HIV), and outlines a timeline for data collection for all relevant population groups	13.8 Score: 0.95	National M&E Plan for 2014-2018 NAP: https://goo.gl/dGGBMU or http://www.aidsalliance.org.ua/ru/meti da/2015/ME%20Plan.pdf MOH Order on National M&E WG establishment and Annual Workplan (available only in Ukrainian)	
13.9 Quality of Surveillance and Survey Data: To what extent does the host country government define and implement policies, procedures and governance structures that assure quality of HIV/AIDS surveillance and survey data?	○ A. No governance structures, procedures or policies designed to assure surveys & surveillance data quality exist/could be documented. ◉ B. The following structures, procedures or policies exist to assure quality of surveys & surveillance data (check all that apply): ☑ A national surveillance unit or other entity is responsible for assuring the quality of surveys & surveillance data ☐ A national, approved surveys & surveillance strategy is in place, which outlines standards, policies and procedures for data quality assurance ☐ Standard national procedures & protocols exist for reviewing surveys & surveillance data for quality and sharing feedback with appropriate staff responsible for data collection ☑ An in-country internal review board (IRB) exists and reviews all protocols.	13.9 Score: 0.48	National M&E Plan for 2014-2018 NAP: https://goo.gl/dGGBMU or http://www.aidsalliance.org.ua/ru/meti da/2015/ME%20Plan.pdf MOH Order on National M&E WG establishment and Annual Workplan (available only in Ukrainian) M&E Unit at National Public Health Center functions and responsibilities in area of S&S Protocols and Meeting minutes of IBBS protocol approval	Only the separate elements of Quallity Assurance of S&S are available (SOPs for IBBS)
	Epidemiological and Health Data Score:	**5.92**		

14. Financial/Expenditure data: Government collects, tracks and analyzes and makes available financial data related to HIV/AIDS, including the financing and spending on HIV/AIDS expenditures from all financing sources, costing, and economic evaluation, efficiency and market demand analyses for cost-effectiveness.			**Data Source**	**Notes/Comments**
14.1 Who Leads Collection of Expenditure Data: To what extent does the host country government lead & manage a national expenditure tracking system to collect HIV/AIDS expenditure data?	○A. No tracking of public HIV/AIDS expenditures has occurred within the past 5 years ○B. Collection of public HIV/AIDS expenditure data occurs using a standard tool (i.e. NASA, NHA), but planning and implementation is primarily led by external agencies, organizations, or institutions ◉C. Collection of public HIV/AIDS expenditure data occurs using a standard tool (i.e. NASA, NHA) and planning and implementation is led by the host country government, with substantial external technical assistance ○D. Collection of public HIV/AIDS expenditure data occurs using a standard tool (i.e. NASA, NHA) and planning and implementation is led by the host country government, with some external technical assistance ○E. Collection of public HIV/AIDS expenditure data occurs using a standard tool (i.e. NASA, NHA), and planning and implementation is led by the host country government, with minimal or no external technical assistance	14.1 Score: 1.67	MOH Order on conducting NASA NASA Guidelines Other reporting financial documents: MOH Annual report to CMU on annual expenditures of state programs	
14.2 Comprehensiveness of Expenditure Data: To what extent does the host country government collect HIV/AIDS public sector expenditures according to funding source, expenditure type, program and geographic area?	○A. No HIV/AIDS expenditure tracking has occurred within the past 5 years ◉B. HIV/AIDS expenditure data are collected (check all that apply): ☑ By source of financing, such as domestic public, domestic private, out-of-pocket, Global Fund, PEPFAR, others ☑ By expenditures per program area, such as prevention, care, treatment, health systems strengthening ☑ By type of expenditure, such as training, overhead, vehicles, supplies, commodities/reagents, personnel ☑ Sub-nationally	14.2 Score: 3.33	GAM reporting (NASA data for 2015), indicator #6.1	
14.3 Timeliness of Expenditure Data: To what extent are expenditure data collected in a timely way to inform program planning and budgeting decisions?	○A. No HIV/AIDS expenditure data are collected ○B. HIV/AIDS expenditure data are collected irregularly, and more than 3 years ago ○C. HIV/AIDS expenditure data were collected at least once in the past 3 years ○D. HIV/AIDS expenditure data are collected annually but represent more than one year of expenditures ◉E. HIV/AIDS expenditure data are collected annually and represent only one year of expenditures	14.3 Score: 3.33	NASA data and GAM reporting NAP reporting	
		Financial/Expenditure Data Score: 8.33		

15. Performance data: Government routinely collects, analyzes and makes available HIV/AIDS service delivery data. Service delivery data are analyzed to track program performance, i.e. coverage of key interventions, results against targets, and the continuum of care and treatment cascade, including linkage to care, adherence and retention.			**Data Source**	**Notes/Comments**
15.1 Who Leads Collection of Service Delivery Data: To what extent is the routine collection of HIV/AIDS service delivery data institutionalized in an information system and managed and operated by the host country government?	○A. No system exists for routine collection of HIV/AIDS service delivery data ◉B. Multiple unharmonized or parallel information systems exist that are managed and operated separately by various government entities, local institutions and/or external agencies/institutions ○C. One information system, or a harmonized set of complementary information systems, exists and is primarily managed and operated by an external agency/institution ○D. One information system, or a harmonized set of complementary information systems, exists and is managed and operated by the host country government with technical assistance from external agency/institution ○E. One information system, or a harmonized set of complementary information systems, exists and is managed and operated by the host country government	15.1 Score: 0.33	Prevention Programs: Syrex database http://aph.org.ua/en/resources/syrex2/ Care and Support Programs: Case+ database Routine State reporting: MOH Order #180 http://www.moz.gov.ua/ua/portal/dn_20130305_0180.html	Comprehensive MIS sysytem is currently under development
15.2 Who Finances Collection of Service Delivery Data: To what extent does the host country government finance the routine collection of HIV/AIDS service delivery data (e.g., salaries of data clerks/M&E staff, printing & distribution of paper-based tools, electronic reporting system maintenance, data quality supervision, etc.)? (if exact or approximate percentage known, please note in Comments column)	○A. No routine collection of HIV/AIDS service delivery data exists ○B. No financing (0%) is provided by the host country government ○C. Minimal financing (approx. 1-9%) is provided by the host country government ◉D. Some financing (approx. 10-49%) is provided by the host country government ○E. Most financing (approx. 50-89%) is provided by the host country government ○F. All or almost all financing (90% +) is provided by the host country government	15.2 Score: 1.67	TOR for M&E Unit (available only in Ukrainian)	M&E Units of NPHC in the regions funded by the GOU.

15.3 Comprehensiveness of Service Delivery Data: To what extent does the host country government collect HIV/AIDS service delivery data by population, program and geographic area? (Note: Full score possible without selecting all disaggregates.)	Check ALL boxes that apply below: ☑ A. The host country government routinely collects & reports service delivery data for: ☑ HIV Testing ☑ PMTCT ☐ Adult Care and Support ☑ Adult Treatment ☐ Pediatric Care and Support ☐ Orphans and Vulnerable Children ☐ Voluntary Medical Male Circumcision ☐ HIV Prevention ☑ AIDS-related mortality ☑ B. Service delivery data are being collected: ☐ By key population (FSW, PWID, MSM, TG, prisoners) ☐ By priority population (AGYW, clients of sex workers, military, mobile populations, non-injecting drug users) ☑ By age & sex ☐ From all facility sites (public, private, faith-based, etc.) ☐ From all community sites (public, private, faith-based, etc.)	15.3 Score: 0.56	MOH Orders on data recording and reporting: http://www.moz.gov.ua/ua/portal/dn_20130305_0180.html http://www.moz.gov.ua/ua/portal/dn_20151203_0816.html http://www.moz.gov.ua/ua/portal/dn_20120321_182.html	

15.4 Timeliness of Service Delivery Data: To what extent are HIV/AIDS service delivery data collected in a timely way to inform analysis of program performance?	○ A. The host country government does not routinely collect/report HIV/AIDS service delivery data ○ B. The host country government collects & reports service delivery data annually ○ C. The host country government collects & reports service delivery data semi-annually ◉ D. The host country government collects & reports service delivery data at least quarterly	15.4 Score: 1.33	http://phc.org.ua/en/pages/diseases/hiv_aids/statistics/art	
15.5 Analysis of Service Delivery Data: To what extent does the host country government routinely analyze service delivery data to measure program performance (i.e., continuum of care cascade, coverage, retention, AIDS-related mortality rates)?	○ A. The host country government does not routinely analyze service delivery data to measure program performance ◉ B. Service delivery data are being analyzed to measure program performance in the following ways (check all that apply): ☐ Continuum of care cascade for each identified priority population (AGYW, clients of sex workers, military, mobile populations, non-injecting drug users), including HIV testing, linkage to care, treatment, adherence and retention ☐ Continuum of care cascade for each relevant key population (FSW, PWID, MSM, TG, prisoners), including HIV testing, linkage to care, treatment, adherence and retention ☑ Results against targets ☑ Coverage of key treatment & prevention services (ART, PMTCT, VMMC, etc.) ☑ Site-specific yield for HIV testing (HTC and PMTCT) ☑ AIDS-related mortality rates ☑ Variations in performance by sub-national unit ☑ Creation of maps to facilitate geographic analysis	15.5 Score: 1.00	http://hiv.phc.org.ua/nationalportal/?locale=en https://phc.org.ua/pages/diseases/hiv_aids/monitoring/information-bulletins GAM reporting data http://www.unaids.org/ru/regionscountries/countries/ukraine/	
15.6 Quality of Service Delivery Data: To what extent does the host country government define and implement policies, procedures and governance structures that assure quality of HIV/AIDS service delivery data?	○ A. No governance structures, procedures or policies designed to assure service delivery data quality exist/could be documented. ◉ B. The following structures, procedures or policies exist to assure quality of service delivery data (check all that apply): ☑ A national, approved data quality strategy is in place, which outlines standards, policies and procedures for HIV/AIDS data quality assurance ☑ A national protocol exists for routine (at least annual) Data Quality Audits/Assessments of key HIV program indicators, which are led and implemented by the host country government ☑ Standard national procedures & protocols exist for routine data quality checks at the point of data entry ☐ Data quality reports are published and shared with relevant ministries/government entities & partner organizations ☑ The host country government leads routine (at least annual) data review meetings at national & subnational levels to review data quality issues and outline improvement plans	15.6 Score: 1.07	http://www.moz.gov.ua/ua/portal/dn_20130305_0180.html Накази, інструкці\, СОПи, інструменти (І. Сорока)	DQA Commission
		Performance Data Score: 5.96		

THIS CONCLUDES THE SET OF QUESTIONS ON DOMAIN D