2016 Sustainability Index and Dashboard Summary: Haiti

The HIV/AIDS Sustainability Index and Dashboard (SID) is a tool completed periodically by PEPFAR teams and partner stakeholders to sharpen the understanding of each country’s sustainability landscape and to assist PEPFAR and others in making informed HIV/AIDS investment decisions. Based on responses to 90 questions, the SID assesses the current state of sustainability of national HIV/AIDS responses across 15 critical elements. Scores for these elements are displayed on a color-coded dashboard, together with other contextual charts and information. As the SID is completed over time, it will allow stakeholders to track progress and gaps across these key components of sustainability.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score Description</th>
<th>Points Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dark Green Score (sustainable and requires no additional investment at this time)</td>
<td>8.50-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light Green Score (approaching sustainability and requires little or no investment)</td>
<td>7.00-8.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yellow Score (emerging sustainability and needs some investment)</td>
<td>3.50-6.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red Score (unsustainable and requires significant investment)</td>
<td>&lt;3.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The process to complete the Sustainability Index and Dashboard (SID) was very participatory this year. Contrary to last year, where we had separate meetings with key stakeholders on distinct domains or elements, this year, the PEPFAR team held a one day workshop with the support of UNAIDS and under the leadership of the National AIDS Control Program (PNLS). UNAIDS and PNLS were very active, reviewed the tool and helped identify key stakeholders for the workshop including different entities of the Ministry of Health (MOH), Ministry of Finance, Global Fund, UNFPA, Civil Society, Implementing Partners, etc. During the workshop, attendees were divided into five groups, one for each domain except for the services delivery domain for which there were two groups given the number of elements and the number of questions. We had two group sessions: one to answer the questions and a second to identify strengths of and threats to the sustainability as well as the main priorities that the program should focus on over the next few years.

Sustainability Strengths

Quality Management

One of the greatest sustainability strengths of the program is its Quality Management component. Quality management is well integrated at different levels including national, regional and site level with a national coordination entity chaired by the General Director of the MOH. In a collaborative effort, health facilities develop continuous quality improvement activities to address weaknesses and improve health services while key stakeholders including sanitary departments (regional level) and other partners provide technical assistance. Bi-annual national and regional forums represent opportunities, not only to share best practices, but also to publicly recognize sites and departments with best quality
improvement projects. The MOH will continue to work with all involved entities to maintain this level and even further strengthen this element through the inclusion of health service consumers.

Planning and Coordination

Over the last ten years, the MOH through the National AIDS control Program (PNLS, French acronym) has made significant progress in its capacity to plan and coordinate the HIV response in Haiti. The multi-year multi-sectoral national strategic plan for HIV is timely revised to address the new challenges and reflect new evidence in the fight against the epidemic. National guidelines for HIV care and treatment and for PMTCT are written by and periodically updated by PNLS. These are participatory processes with strong leadership from the MOH and minimal assistance from external stakeholders. However, PNLS will need to make the necessary effort to reach the private sector and foster their involvement in the planning and coordination of the response and advocate for their financial contribution.

Public Access to Information

The government of Haiti has improved its capacity to collect data and generate reports that are publically available; for example, the periodically published “epidemiologic bulletin”. PNLS publishes data on service delivery and overall performance of the HIV program. One limitation, however, is “procurement transparency.” The Haitian government does not fund the procurement of HIV/AIDS commodities and therefore is not able to publish detailed data on procurement activities (tenders, awards, etc...) exclusively supported by PEPFAR and GF.

Sustainability Vulnerabilities

Domestic resources mobilization

One of the greatest threats to sustainability of the HIV response in the country is the lack of capacity to mobilize domestic financial resources. Despite the work of advocacy groups over the last few years, HIV services are funded almost exclusively through international support, namely PEPFAR (90%) and GF (9%). The Haitian government allocates very limited funding to the health sector and almost the entire budget supports salaries. Last year a budget line was added to the MOH budget to specifically support HIV/AIDS activities. However, the political turmoil, leading to the dysfunction of the parliament for an extended period of time, has prevented a vote on the new budget.

Commodity Security and Supply Chain

The government of Haiti does not provide any funding for the procurement of HIV commodities including antiretroviral medicines and rapid test kits which are essential to reach the UNAIDS goals for 2020. However, the MOH participates actively in national quantification exercises, to plan for the future needs of drugs and test kits.

Technical and Allocative Efficiency

Since the government does not fund any HIV/AIDS commodities and currently only has an in-kind contribution to the HIV program (infrastructure and some cross-cutting personnel), there is no system in place to ensure the maximum efficiency from HIV spending or to reprogram unused funds. On the other
hand, expenditure data is available in Haiti and has been recently used to estimate cost for HIV programming.

Overall threats to sustainability of the program are closely linked to one underlying issue: the quasi-absence of funding from the government of Haiti to support the HIV program in general.

**Priorities Identified**

- Stakeholders have identified the following priorities for the program:
  - Strengthen the capacity of the MOH to advocate for and allocate domestic government resources to support the fight against HIV
  - Evaluate and implement innovative integrated service delivery models to reduce cost and expand the HIV response despite limited resources
  - Make available and use good epidemiologic HIV data at the arrondissement and commune levels including data on key populations as well as services delivery (viral load) to support programmatic decisions and advocacy for domestic funding
  - Advocacy to increase private sector involvement in the response to the epidemic and foster their financial contribution
  - Advocacy with Parliament to pass the bill on HIV and approve the new penal code
    - While there are no specific laws criminalizing homosexuality or prostitution, there is no National HIV/AIDS Policy or set of policies and laws fostering non-discriminatory and safe access to HIV/AIDS services.

During COP16, PEPFAR will focus on supporting the implementation of innovative integrated service delivery models to reduce cost. The program will also support the Government of Haiti in increasing the availability of epidemiologic HIV data at the arrondissement (district) level.

All priority points outlined above receive some level of support from one or multiple development partners. However, in many instances this support is scarce and intermittent

- Several partners of the Haitian Government including USG, GF, WHO, UNICEF, and other local groups (SEROVIE, FOSREF, GIPA, ASON, POZ) are working with the MOH to develop policies. This work has contributed to improving the environment. The PNLS has held a meeting on key populations and plans to integrate a module on key populations into the HIV care and treatment guidelines, soon to be revised. The penal code has been revised and awaiting approval by parliament.

- The USG, through its implementing partners, is the main donor supporting activities aiming at increasing availability of good epidemiologic HIV data (ANC, DHS, HIA, IBBS, PLACE, etc.). Other donors including Global Fund, UNFPA, also contribute to support the demographic health survey (DHS). However, in addition to its leadership in coordinating the core surveillance activities, the MOH should continue to advocate for an increase in health expenditures in the national budget and use the domestic resources to support these activities. Good epidemiologic HIV data also
depends on the availability of good census and vital registry data. The last census was conducted in 2003 and vital statistics are greatly under-reported.

- One area that needs greater focus is the engagement of the Haitian private sector in the HIV response in Haiti. So far the private sector has not been engaged beyond very limited contribution to institutions like GHESKIO. Donors need to support the MOH in its advocacy efforts to engage the Haitian private sector in the national HIV response.
Sustainability Analysis for Epidemic Control: Haiti

**Epidemic Type:** Generalized  
**Income Level:** Low-income  
**PEPFAR Categorization:** Long-term Strategy  
**PEPFAR COP 16 Planning Level:** 94,500,000

### Governance, Leadership, and Accountability

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Score 2016</th>
<th>Score 2017</th>
<th>Score 2018</th>
<th>Score 2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planning and Coordination</td>
<td>8.33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policies and Governance</td>
<td>5.41</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Civil Society Engagement</td>
<td>5.76</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private Sector Engagement</td>
<td>3.19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Access to Information</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### National Health System and Service Delivery

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Score 2016</th>
<th>Score 2017</th>
<th>Score 2018</th>
<th>Score 2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Service Delivery</td>
<td>4.49</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Resources for Health</td>
<td>6.08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commodity Security and Supply Chain</td>
<td>3.10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality Management</td>
<td>9.05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laboratory</td>
<td>6.71</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Strategic Investments, Efficiency, and Sustainable Financing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Score 2016</th>
<th>Score 2017</th>
<th>Score 2018</th>
<th>Score 2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Domestic Resource Mobilization</td>
<td>1.94</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technical and Allocative Efficiencies</td>
<td>2.38</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Strategic Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Score 2016</th>
<th>Score 2017</th>
<th>Score 2018</th>
<th>Score 2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Epidemiological and Health Data</td>
<td>5.81</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial/Expenditure Data</td>
<td>5.42</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performance Data</td>
<td>6.29</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Financing the HIV Response

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Financial Data</strong></th>
<th><strong>USD Millions</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016 (projected)</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>GNI Per Capita (Atlas Method)</strong></th>
<th><strong>Current U.S. dollars</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>800</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Population Pyramid (2015)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Group</th>
<th>Female %</th>
<th>Male %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-4</td>
<td>10.0%</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-9</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-14</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-19</td>
<td>10.0%</td>
<td>10.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-24</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-29</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-34</td>
<td>10.0%</td>
<td>10.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-39</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40-44</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-49</td>
<td>10.0%</td>
<td>10.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50-54</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55-59</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60-64</td>
<td>10.0%</td>
<td>10.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65-69</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70-74</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-79</td>
<td>10.0%</td>
<td>10.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80+</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### National Clinical Cascade

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>Adult Males (&gt;15)</th>
<th>Pediatric Males (&lt;15)</th>
<th>Adult Females (&gt;15)</th>
<th>Pediatric Females (&lt;15)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PLHIV Diagnosed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linked to Care</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On ART</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retained on Tx</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virally Suppressed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Epidemiological Data

- **Adult Prevalence (%)**
- **Adult Incidence (%)**
- **PLHIV**
- **AIDS-related Deaths**

### Population and Fertility

- **Total Pop. (millions)**
- **Pop. Growth Rate (%)**
- **Fertility Rate (%)**

**Contextual Data**

- **Financing the HIV Response**
- **GNI Per Capita (Atlas Method)**
- **Population Pyramid (2015)**
# Domain A. Governance, Leadership, and Accountability

What Success Looks Like: Host government upholds a transparent and accountable resolve to be responsible to its citizens and international stakeholders for achieving planned HIV/AIDS results, is a good steward of HIV/AIDS finances, widely disseminates program progress and results, provides accurate information and education on HIV/AIDS, and supports mechanisms for eliciting feedback. Relevant government entities take actions to create an enabling policy and legal environment, ensure good stewardship of HIV/AIDS resources, and provide technical and political leadership to coordinate an effective national HIV/AIDS response.

## 1. Planning and Coordination

Host country develops, implements, and oversees a costed multiyear national strategy and serves as the preeminent architect and convener of a coordinated HIV/AIDS response in the country across all levels of government and key stakeholders, civil society and the private sector.

### 1.1 Content of National Strategy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Notes/Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Data Source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1 Score:</td>
<td>2.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1 There is no national strategy for HIV/AIDS</td>
<td>In country source: National Multisectoral strategic plan on HIV 2012 -2015 revised and extended to 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. There is a multiyear national strategy. Check all that apply:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. It is costed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. It is updated at least every five years</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strategy includes all crucial response components for prevention and treatment (HIV testing, treatment and care [including children and adolescents], PMTCT, transition from ‘catchup’ to sustainable VMMC if country performs VMMCs, scale-up of viral load, EID, and other key metrics)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strategy includes explicit plans and activities to address the needs of key populations.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strategy includes all crucial response components to mitigate the impact of HIV on vulnerable children</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 1.2 Participation in National Strategy Development

Who actively participates in development of the country's national HIV/AIDS strategy?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Notes/Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Data Source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2 Score:</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. There is no national strategy for HIV/AIDS</td>
<td>National Multisectoral strategic plan on HIV 2012 -2015 revised and extended to 2018 Workshop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. The national strategy is developed with participation from the following stakeholders (check all that apply):</td>
<td>Private sector did not participate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Its development was led by the host country government</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Civil society actively participated in the development of the strategy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private health sector providers, facilities, and training institutions, actively participated in the development of the strategy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Businesses and the corporate sector actively participated in the development of the strategy including workplace development and corporate social responsibility (CSR)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External agencies (i.e. donors, other multilateral orgs., etc.) supporting HIV services in-country participated in the development of the strategy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 1.3 Coordination of National HIV Implementation

To what extent does the host country government coordinate all HIV/AIDS implemented activities in the country, including those funded or implemented by CSOs, private sector, and donor implementing partners?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Check all that apply:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☐ There is an effective mechanism within the host country government for internally coordinating HIV/AIDS activities implemented by various government ministries, institutions, offices, etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ The host country government routinely tracks and maps HIV/AIDS activities of:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Civil society organizations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Private sector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Donors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The host country government leads a mechanism or process (i.e. committee, working group, etc.) that routinely convenes key internal and external stakeholders and implementers of the national response for planning and coordination purposes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Joint operational plans are developed that include key activities of implementing organizations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Duplications and gaps among various government, CSO, private sector, and donor activities are systematically identified and addressed.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Score:** 1.33

**In country source, i.e., Coordination data or reports:**
- Donor coordination meeting reports: CCM meeting reports, PEPFAR implementing partners meeting report, "table sectorielle" meeting report
- Departmental level partner meeting report, Departmental action plan

**Information:** There is not an effective interministry coordination, the National AIDS control program of the MOH oversee the program with very limited involvement of other Ministry. The Government has limited control over the private sector. There is an effort to identify duplications and gaps but its very limited.

### 1.4 Sub-national Unit Accountability

Is there a mechanism by which sub-national units are accountable to national HIV/AIDS goals or targets? (note: equal points for B and C)

| A. There is no formal link between the national plan and sub-national service delivery. |
| B. Sub-national units have performance targets that contribute to aggregate national goals or targets. |
| C. The central government is responsible for service delivery at the sub-national level. |

**Score:** 2.50

**www.mesi.ht**

**Information:** There is an effective mechanism within the host country government for internally coordinating HIV/AIDS activities implemented by various government ministries, institutions, offices, etc. The host country government leads a mechanism or process (i.e. committee, working group, etc.) that routinely convenes key internal and external stakeholders and implementers of the national response for planning and coordination purposes. Joint operational plans are developed that include key activities of implementing organizations. Duplications and gaps among various government, CSO, private sector, and donor activities are systematically identified and addressed.

**Planning and Coordination Score:** 8.33
2. Policies and Governance:  Host country develops, implements, and oversees a wide range of policies, laws, and regulations that will achieve coverage of high impact interventions, ensure social and legal protection and equity for those accessing HIV/AIDS services, eliminate stigma and discrimination, and sustain epidemic control within the national HIV/AIDS response.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2.1 WHO Guidelines for ART Initiation:</th>
<th>Data Source</th>
<th>Notes/Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Options</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. Adults (&gt;19 years)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Test and START (current WHO Guideline)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ CD4 &lt;500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Pregnant and Breastfeeding Mothers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Test and START/Option B+ (current WHO Guideline)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Option B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Adolescents (10-19 years)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Test and START (current WHO Guideline)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ CD4&lt;500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Children (&lt;10 years)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Test and START (current WHO Guideline)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ CD4&lt;500 or clinical eligibility</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.1 Score: 1.07
### 2.2 Enabling Policies and Legislation: Are there policies or legislation that govern HIV/AIDS service delivery or policies and legislation on health care which is inclusive of HIV service delivery?

Check all that apply:
- [ ] A national public health services act that includes the control of HIV
- [ ] A task-shifting policy that allows trained non-physician clinicians, midwives, and nurses to initiate and dispense ART
- [ ] A task-shifting policy that allows trained and supervised community health workers to disperse ART between regular clinical visits
- [ ] Policies that permit patients stable on ART to have reduced clinical visits (i.e. every 6-12 months)
- [ ] Policies that permit patients stable on ART to have reduced ARV pickups (i.e. every 3-6 months)
- [ ] Policies that permit streamlined ART initiation, such as same day initiation of ART for those who are ready
- [ ] Legislation to ensure the well-being and protection of children, including those orphaned and made vulnerable by HIV/AIDS

2.2 Score: **0.41**

National HIV care and treatment guidelines for adolescents and adults
Nurse practitioner curriculum

No explicit laws or policies but this is clearly lay out in the National HIV care and treatment guidelines for adolescents and adults. It has not been published by the MOH as a policy but there is curriculum for nurse practitioner that is being used and nurses are allowed to enrolled patients on ART.

### 2.3 Non-discrimination Protections: Does the country have non-discrimination laws or policies that specify protections (not specific to HIV) for specific populations? Are these fully implemented? (Full score possible without checking all boxes.)

Check all that apply:

**Adults living with HIV (women):**
- [ ] Law/policy exists
- [ ] Law/policy is fully implemented

**Adults living with HIV (men):**
- [ ] Law/policy exists
- [ ] Law/policy is fully implemented

**Children living with HIV:**
- [ ] Law/policy exists
- [ ] Law/policy is fully implemented

**Gay men and other men who have sex with men (MSM):**
- [ ] Law/policy exists
- [ ] Law/policy is fully implemented

2.3 Score: **0.00**

This question aligns with the revised UNAIDS NCPI (2015). If your country has completed the new NCPI, you may use it as a data source to answer this question.

There is no specific law criminalizing HIV patients, MSM, migrants, CSW though there is an article in the penal code against infraction of acceptable behavioral norms. However, the penal code has been revised and no longer has this article waiting for parliament approval. It has not been used before to prosecute MSM and FSW. There is currently an article in the penal code to criminalize ALL forms of abortion. However a new penal code where abortion before 12 weeks is legal has been draft waiting for parliament approval.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Law/policy exists</th>
<th>Law/policy is fully implemented</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Migrants:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>People who inject drugs (PWID):</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>People with disabilities:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prisoners:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex workers:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transgender people:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women and girls:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This question aligns with the revised UNAIDS NCPI (2015). If your country has completed the new NCPI, you may use it as a data source to answer this question.

There is no specific law criminalizing HIV patients, MSM, migrants, CSW though there is an article in the penal code against infraction of acceptable behavioral norms. However, the penal code has been revised and no longer has this article waiting for parliament approval. It has not been used before to prosecute MSM and FSW.

There is currently an article in the penal code to criminalize ALL forms of abortion. However, a new penal code where abortion before 12 weeks is legal has been drafted waiting for parliament approval.

2.3 Non-discrimination Protections: Does the country have non-discrimination laws or policies that specify protections (not specific to HIV) for specific populations? Are these fully implemented? (Full score possible without checking all boxes.)

- Law/policy exists
- Law/policy is fully implemented
### 2.4 Structural Obstacles

Does the country have laws and/or policies that present barriers to delivery of HIV prevention, testing and treatment services or the accessibility of these services? Are these laws/policies enforced? (Enforced means any instances of enforcement even if periodic)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Law/policy exists</th>
<th>Law/policy is enforced</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Criminalization of sexual orientation and gender identity:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Criminalization of cross-dressing:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Criminalization of drug use:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Criminalization of sex work:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ban or limits on needle and syringe programs for people who inject drugs (PWID):</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ban or limits on opioid substitution therapy for people who inject drugs (PWID):</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ban or limits on needle and syringe programs in prison settings:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ban or limits on opioid substitution therapy in prison settings:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**2.4 Score: 1.43**

This question aligns with the revised UNAIDS NCPI (2015). If your country has completed the new NCPI, you may use it as a data source to answer this question.

There is no specific law that presents barriers to delivery of HIV prevention, testing and treatment services or the accessibility of these services. See above.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Ban or limits on the distribution of condoms in prison settings:</strong></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☐ Law/policy exists</td>
<td>☐ Law/policy is enforced</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Ban or limits on accessing HIV and SRH services for adolescents and young people:</strong></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☐ Law/policy exists</td>
<td>☐ Law/policy is enforced</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Criminalization of HIV non-disclosure, exposure or transmission:</strong></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☐ Law/policy exists</td>
<td>☐ Law/policy is enforced</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Travel and/or residence restrictions:</strong></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☐ Law/policy exists</td>
<td>☐ Law/policy is enforced</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Restrictions on employment for people living with HIV:</strong></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☐ Law/policy exists</td>
<td>☐ Law/policy is enforced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5 Rights to Access Services: Recognizing the right to nondiscriminatory access to HIV services and support, does the government have efforts in place to educate and ensure the rights of PLHIV, key populations, and those who may access HIV services about these rights?</td>
<td>There are host country government efforts in place as follows (check all that apply):</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To educate PLHIV about their legal rights in terms of access to HIV services</td>
<td>2.5 Score: 1.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To educate key populations about their legal rights in terms of access to HIV services</td>
<td>PREVSIDA Project report 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National law exists regarding health care privacy and confidentiality protections</td>
<td>Through coordination with key stakeholders such as PEPFAR and Global Fund the National AIDS Control Program has leverage resources for advocacy and sensitization on expansion of access to HIV prevention, care and treatment for Key populations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government provides financial support to enable access to legal services if someone experiences discrimination, including redress where a violation is found</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2.6 Audit: Does the host country government conduct a national HIV/AIDS program audit or audit of Ministries that work on HIV/AIDS on a regular basis (excluding audits of donor funding that are through government financial systems)?</th>
<th>2.6 Score: 0.71</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. No audit is conducted of the National HIV/AIDS Program or other relevant ministry.</td>
<td>Midterm evaluation of the national strategic plan report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. An audit is conducted of the National HIV/AIDS program or other relevant ministries every 4 years or more.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. An audit is conducted of the National HIV/AIDS program or other relevant ministries every 3 years or less.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2.7 Audit Action: To what extent does the host country government respond to the findings of a HIV/AIDS audit or audit of Ministries that work on HIV/AIDS?</th>
<th>2.7 Score: 0.71</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. Host country government does not respond to audit findings, or no audit of the national HIV/AIDS program is conducted.</td>
<td>Midterm evaluation of the national strategic plan report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. The host country government does respond to audit findings by implementing changes as a result of the audit.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. The host country government does respond to audit findings by implementing changes which can be tracked by legislature or other bodies that hold government accountable.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Policies and Governance Score: | 5.41 |
### 3. Civil Society Engagement: Local civil Society is an active partner in the HIV/AIDS response through service delivery provision when appropriate, advocacy efforts as needed, and as a key stakeholder to inform the national HIV/AIDS response. There are mechanisms for civil society to review and provide feedback regarding public programs, services and fiscal management and civil society is able to hold government institutions accountable for the use of HIV/AIDS funds and for the results of their actions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3.1 Civil Society and Accountability for HIV/AIDS: Are there any laws or policies that restrict civil society from playing an oversight role in the HIV/AIDS response?</th>
<th>Data Source</th>
<th>Notes/Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. There exists a law or laws that restrict civil society from playing an oversight role in the HIV/AIDS response.</td>
<td>3.1 Score: 1.67</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. There are no laws that restrict civil society from playing a role in providing oversight of the HIV/AIDS response but in practice, it does not happen.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. There are no laws or policies that prevent civil society from providing an oversight of the HIV/AIDS response and civil society is very actively engaged in providing oversight.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Check A, B, or C; if C checked, select appropriate disaggregates:

- A. There are no formal channels or opportunities.
- B. There are formal channels or opportunities, but civil society is called upon in an ad hoc manner to provide inputs and feedback.
- C. There are functional formal channels and opportunities for civil society engagement and feedback. Check all that apply:

  - [ ] During strategic and annual planning
  - [ ] In joint annual program reviews
  - [ ] For policy development
  - [ ] As members of technical working groups
  - [ ] Involvement on government HIV/AIDS program evaluation teams
  - [ ] Involvement in surveys/studies
  - [ ] Collecting and reporting on client feedback

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3.2 Government Channels and Opportunities for Civil Society Engagement: Does host country government have formal channels or opportunities for diverse civil society groups to engage and provide feedback on its HIV/AIDS policies, programs, and services (not including Global Fund CCM civil society engagement requirements)?</th>
<th>Data Source</th>
<th>Notes/Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. There are no formal channels or opportunities.</td>
<td>3.2 Score: 1.43</td>
<td>National AIDS Control Program Meeting reports</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. There are formal channels or opportunities, but civil society is called upon in an ad hoc manner to provide inputs and feedback.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. There are functional formal channels and opportunities for civil society engagement and feedback. Check all that apply:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

  - [ ] During strategic and annual planning
  - [ ] In joint annual program reviews
  - [ ] For policy development
  - [ ] As members of technical working groups
  - [ ] Involvement on government HIV/AIDS program evaluation teams
  - [ ] Involvement in surveys/studies
  - [ ] Collecting and reporting on client feedback

### 3.3 Impact of Civil Society Engagement: Does civil society engagement substantively impact policy and budget decisions related to HIV/AIDS?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>Civil society does not actively engage, or civil society engagement does not impact policy and budget decisions related to HIV/AIDS.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3.4 Domestic Funding of Civil Society: To what extent are HIV/AIDS related Civil Society Organizations funded domestically (either from government, private sector, or self generated funds)?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>No funding (0%) for HIV/AIDS related civil society organizations comes from domestic sources.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3.5 Civil Society Enabling Environment: Is the legislative and regulatory framework conducive to Civil Society Organizations (CSOs) or not-for-profit organizations to engage in HIV service provision or health advocacy?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>The legislative and regulatory framework is not conducive for engagement in HIV service provision or health advocacy.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**National AIDS Control Program Meeting reports**

**Décret du 14 septembre 1989 modifiant la loi du 13 décembre 1982 régissant les ONG.**

**CHAPITRE QUATRIEME PREROGATIVES ET OBLIGATIONS DES ORGANISATIONS NON GOUVERNEMENTALES D’AIDE AU DEVELOPPEMENT**

**Civil Society Engagement Score:** 5.76
4. Private Sector Engagement: Global as well as local private sector (both private health care providers and private business) is an active partner in the HIV/AIDS response through service delivery provision when appropriate, advocacy efforts as needed, innovation, and as a key stakeholder to inform the national HIV/AIDS response. There are supportive policies and mechanisms for the private sector to engage and to review and provide feedback regarding public programs, services and fiscal management of the national HIV/AIDS response. The public uses the private sector for HIV service delivery at a similar level as other health care needs.

4.1 Government Channels and Opportunities for Private Sector Engagement: Does host country government have formal channels and opportunities for diverse private sector entities to engage and provide feedback on its HIV/AIDS policies, programs, and services?

| 4.1 Score: 0.83 |
| Notes/Comments: This response came from a consensus from the group of stakeholders working on governance, leadership and accountability at the SID workshop, February 19, 2016. |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A.</td>
<td>There are no formal channels or opportunities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B.</td>
<td>There are formal channels or opportunities, but private sector is called upon in an ad hoc manner to provide inputs and feedback</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C.</td>
<td>There are functional formal channels and opportunities for private sector engagement and feedback. Check all that apply:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ Corporate contributions, private philanthropy and giving</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ Joint (i.e. public-private) supervision and quality oversight of private facilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ Collection of service delivery and client satisfaction data from private providers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ Tracking of private training institution HRH graduates and placements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ Contributing to develop innovative solutions, both technology and systems innovation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ For technical advisory on best practices and delivery solutions</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 4.2 Private Sector Partnership:

Do private sector partnerships with government result in stronger policy and budget decisions for HIV/AIDS programs?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A. Private sector does not actively engage, or private sector engagement does not influence policy and budget decisions in HIV/AIDS.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B. Private sector engagement influences HIV/AIDS policy and budget decisions in the following areas (check all that apply):</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ In patient advocacy and human rights</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ In programmatic decision making</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ In technical decision making</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ In service delivery for both public and private providers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ In HIV/AIDS basket or national health financing decisions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ In advancing innovative sustainable financing models</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ In HRH development, placement, and retention strategies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ In building capacity of private training institutions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ In supply chain management of essential supplies and drugs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.2 Score: 0.00
### 4.3 Legal Framework for Private Health Sector:
Does the legislative and regulatory framework make provisions for the needs of the private health sector (including hospitals, networks, and insurers)?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Provision</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Systems are in place for service provision and/or research reporting by private sector facilities to the government.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechanisms exist to ensure that private providers receive, understand and adhere to national guidelines/protocols for ART.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax deductions for private health providers.</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax deductions for private training institutions training health workers.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open competition for private health providers to compete for government services.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General or HIV/AIDS-specific service agreement frameworks exist between local government authorities/municipalities and private providers at the sub-national unit (e.g. district) levels.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freedom of private providers to advocate for policy, legal, and regulatory frameworks.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standardized processes for developing public-private partnerships (PPP) and memorandums of understanding (MOUs) between public and private providers.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 4.4 Legal Framework for Private Businesses:
Does the legislative and regulatory framework make provisions for the needs of private businesses (local or multinational corporations)?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Provision</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tax deductions for health-related private businesses (i.e. pharmacists, supply chain, etc.).</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systematic and timely process for private company registration and/or testing of new health products; drugs, diagnostics kits, medical devices.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standardized processes for developing public-private partnerships (PPP) and memorandums of understanding (MOUs) between local government and private business.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) tax policies (compulsory or optional) contributing private corporate resources to the HIV/AIDS response.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workplace policies support HIV-related services and/or benefits for employees.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Existing forums between business community and government to engage in dialogue to support HIV/AIDS and public health programs.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Health related private businesses are considered under Haitian laws for general private businesses. There is a law on HIV that was drafted several years ago but it is yet to be voted by the parliament. This law includes article against stigma and discrimination of HIV in the workplace as well as health benefits for people living with HIV.
### 4.5 Private Health Sector Supply

Does the host country government enable private health service provision for lower and middle-income HIV patients?

- **A.** There are no enablers for private health service provision for lower and middle-income HIV patients.
- **B.** The host country government enables private health service provision for lower and middle-income patients in the following ways (check all that apply):
  - Private for-profit providers are eligible to procure HIV and/or ART commodities via public sector procurement channels and/or vertical programs.
  - The private sector scope of practice for physicians, nurses and midwives serving low and middle-income patients currently includes HIV and/or ART service provision.

**4.5 Score:** 1.67

This response came from a consensus from the group of stakeholders working on governance, leadership and accountability at the SID workshop, February 19, 2016. There has been work done with private practice physicians and private institutions to define modalities for accessing commodities, however there are very limited number of patients receiving treatment via the private sector.

### 4.6 Private Health Sector Demand

Is the percentage of people accessing HIV treatment services through the private sector similar to (or approaching) the percentage of those seeking other curative services through the private sector?

- **A.** The percentage of people accessing HIV treatment services through the private sector is significantly lower than the percentage seeking other curative services through the private sector.
- **B.** The percentage of people accessing HIV treatment services through the private sector is similar to (or approaching) the percentage seeking other curative services through the private sector due to the following factors (check all that apply):
  - HIV-related services/products are covered by national health insurance.
  - HIV-related services/products are covered by private or other health insurance.
  - Adequate risk pooling exists for HIV services.
  - Models currently exist for cost-recovery for ART.
  - HIV drugs are not subject to higher pharmaceutical mark-ups than other drugs in the market.

**4.6 Score:** 0.00

There is very limited data on proportion of individuals accessing HIV services in the private sector. However accepted belief is that compared to other services very low number of individuals access HIV services in the private sector.

**Epidemiologic Bulletin of the national AIDS control program, www.mesi.ht**
5. Public Access to Information: Host government widely disseminates timely and reliable information on the implementation of HIV/AIDS policies and programs, including goals, progress and challenges towards achieving HIV/AIDS targets, as well as fiscal information (public revenues, budgets, expenditures, large contract awards, etc.) related to HIV/AIDS. Program and audit reports are published publicly. Efforts are made to ensure public has access to data through print distribution, websites, radio or other methods of disseminating information.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of Data</th>
<th>Notes/Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

5.1 Surveillance and Survey Transparency: Does the host country government ensure that HIV/AIDS surveillance and survey data, or at least a summary report of data, and analyses are made available to stakeholders and general public in a timely way?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>2.00</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

| A. The host country government does not make HIV/AIDS surveillance and survey summary reports available to stakeholders and the general public, or they are made available 3 or more years after the date of collection. |
|-------|------|
| B. The host country government makes HIV/AIDS surveillance and survey summary reports available to stakeholders and the general public within 1-3 years. |
| C. The host country government makes HIV/AIDS surveillance and survey summary reports available to stakeholders and the general public within the same year. |

5.2 Expenditure Transparency: Does the host country government make annual HIV/AIDS expenditure data, or at a minimum at least a summary of it, available to stakeholders and the public in a timely way?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>2.00</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

| A. The host country government does not make HIV/AIDS expenditure summary reports available to stakeholders and the general public or they are made available 3 or more years after the date of expenditures. |
|-------|------|
| B. The host country government makes HIV/AIDS expenditure summary reports available to stakeholders and the general public or website within 1-3 years after date of expenditures. |
| C. The host country government makes HIV/AIDS expenditure summary reports available to stakeholders and the general public within 1 year after expenditures. |

5.3 Performance and Service Delivery Transparency: Does the host country government make annual HIV/AIDS program performance and service delivery data (or at a minimum of summary of it) available to stakeholders and the public in a timely way?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>2.00</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

| A. The host country government does not make HIV/AIDS program performance and service delivery summary reports available to stakeholders and the general public or they are made available 3 or more years after the date of programming. |
|-------|------|
| B. The host country government makes HIV/AIDS program performance and service delivery summary reports available to stakeholders and the general public within 1-3 years after date of programming. |
| C. The host country government makes HIV/AIDS program performance and service delivery summary reports available to stakeholders and the general public within the same year. |
5.4 **Procurement Transparency:** Does the host country government make government HIV/AIDS procurements public in a timely way?

- A. Host country government does not make any HIV/AIDS procurements.
- B. Host country government makes HIV/AIDS procurements, but neither procurement tender nor award details are publicly available.
- C. Host country government makes HIV/AIDS procurements, and tender, but not award, details are publicly available.
- D. Host Country government makes HIV/AIDS procurements, and both tender and award details available.

| 5.4 Score: | 0.00 |

| 5.5 **Institutionalized Education System:** Is there a government agency that is explicitly responsible for educating the public about HIV? |

- A. There is no government institution that is responsible for this function and no other groups provide education.
- B. There is no government institution that is responsible for this function but at least one of the following provides education:
  - Civil society
  - Media
  - Private sector
- C. There is a government institution that is responsible for, and is providing, scientifically accurate information on HIV/AIDS.

| 5.5 Score: | 2.00 | Epidemiologic Bulletin of the National AIDS Control Program | In addition the National AIDS control Program has different cluster/technical working group (TWG) including treatment, PMTCT and a TWG on communication to ensure culturally sensitive and accurate information are provided to the general population |

| **Public Access to Information Score:** | 8.00 |

**THIS CONCLUDES THE SET OF QUESTIONS ON DOMAIN A**
### Domain B. National Health System and Service Delivery

What Success Looks Like: Host country institutions (inclusive of government, NGOs, civil society, and the private sector), the domestic workforce, and local health systems constitute the primary vehicles through which HIV/AIDS programs and services are managed and delivered. Optimally, national, sub-national and local governments have achieved high and appropriate coverage of a range of quality, life-saving, prevention, treatment, and care services and interventions. There is a high demand for HIV/AIDS services, which are accessible and affordable to poor and vulnerable populations at risk of infection (i.e., key populations, discordant couples, exposed infants), are infected and/or are affected by the HIV/AIDS epidemic.

#### 6. Service Delivery

The host country government at national, sub-national and facility levels facilitates planning and management of, access to and linkages between facility- and community-based HIV services.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>6.1 Responsiveness of facility-based services to demand for HIV services:</th>
<th>Data Source</th>
<th>Notes/Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do public facilities respond to and generate demand for HIV services to meet local needs? (Check all that apply.)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public facilities are able to tailor services to accommodate demand (e.g., modify or add hours/days of operations; add/second additional staff during periods of high patient influx; customize scope of HIV services offered; adapt organization/model of service delivery to patient flow)</td>
<td>6.1 Score: 0.74</td>
<td>DHS 2012, District health service reports, maps of health services (unpublished), The public health sector is limited in terms of capacity to modified providers’ schedule to meet the influx of patients resulting demand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public facilities are able to situate services in proximity to high-HIV burden locations or populations (e.g., mobile clinics)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>There is evidence that public facilities in high burden areas and/or serving high-burden populations generate demand for HIV services</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>6.2 Responsiveness of community-based HIV/AIDS services:</th>
<th>Data Source</th>
<th>Notes/Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Has the host country standardized the design and implementation of community-based HIV services?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The host country has standardized the following design and implementation components of community-based HIV services through (check all that apply):</td>
<td>6.2 Score: 0.93</td>
<td>National Multisectoral strategic plan on HIV 2012-2015 revised and extended to 2018 National HIV care and treatment guidelines Training curriculum for community health workers, The government of Haiti provides very limited support to HIV. Community based activities are funded through PEPFAR and Global Fund mainly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formalized mechanisms of participation by communities, high-burden populations and/or civil society engagement in delivery or oversight of services</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National guidelines detailing how to operationalize HIV services in communities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Providing official recognition to skilled human resources (e.g. community health workers)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Providing financial support for community-based services</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Providing supply chain support for community-based services</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supporting linkages between facility- and community-based services through formalized bidirectional referral services (e.g., use of national reporting systems to refer and monitor referrals for completeness)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>6.3 Domestic Financing of Service Delivery: To what extent do host country institutions (public, private, or voluntary sector) finance the delivery of HIV/AIDS services in high burden areas (i.e. excluding any external financial assistance from donors)? (If exact or approximate percentage known, please note in Comments column)</th>
<th>Data Source</th>
<th>Notes/Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. Host country institutions provide no (0%) financing for delivery of HIV/AIDS services in high burden areas</td>
<td>6.3 Score: 0.42</td>
<td>National Budget National Multisectoral strategic plan on HIV 2012-2015 revised and extended to 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Host country institutions provide minimal (approx. 1-9%) financing for delivery of HIV/AIDS services in high burden areas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Host country institutions provide some (approx. 10-49%) financing for delivery of HIV/AIDS services in high burden areas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Host country institutions provide most (approx. 50-89%) financing for delivery of HIV/AIDS services in high burden areas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. Host country institutions provide all or almost all (approx. 90%+) financing for delivery of HIV/AIDS services in high burden areas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6.4 Domestic Provision of Service Delivery: To what extent do host country institutions (public, private, or voluntary sector) deliver HIV/AIDS services in high burden areas without external technical assistance from donors?

- A. HIV/AIDS services in high burden areas are primarily delivered by external agencies, organizations, or institutions.
- B. Host country institutions deliver HIV/AIDS services in high burden areas but with substantial external technical assistance.
- C. Host country institutions deliver HIV/AIDS services in high burden areas with some external technical assistance.
- D. Host country institutions deliver HIV/AIDS services in high burden areas with minimal or no external technical assistance.

6.5 Domestic Financing of Service Delivery for Key Populations: To what extent do host country institutions (public, private, or voluntary sector) finance the delivery of HIV/AIDS services to key populations in high burden areas (i.e. without external financial assistance from donors)?

(if exact or approximate percentage known, please note in Comments column)

- A. Host country institutions provide no or minimal (0%) financing for delivery of HIV/AIDS services to key populations in high burden areas.
- B. Host country institutions provide minimal (approx. 1-9%) financing for delivery of HIV/AIDS services to key populations in high burden areas.
- C. Host country institutions provide some (approx. 10-49%) financing for delivery of HIV/AIDS services to key populations in high burden areas.
- D. Host country institutions provide most (approx. 50-89%) financing for delivery of HIV/AIDS services to key populations in high burden areas.
- E. Host country institutions provide all or almost all (approx. 90%+) financing for delivery of HIV/AIDS services to key populations in high burden areas.

6.6 Domestic Provision of Service Delivery for Key Populations: To what extent do host country institutions (public, private, or voluntary sector) deliver HIV/AIDS services to key populations in high burden areas without external technical assistance from donors?

- A. HIV/AIDS services to key populations are primarily delivered by external agencies, organizations, or institutions.
- B. Host country institutions deliver HIV/AIDS services to key populations but with substantial external technical assistance.
- C. Host country institutions deliver HIV/AIDS services to key populations with some external technical assistance.
- D. Host country institutions deliver HIV/AIDS services to key populations with minimal or no external technical assistance.

6.7 National Service Delivery Capacity: Do national health authorities have the capacity to effectively plan and manage HIV services in high HIV burden areas?

The national MOH (check all that apply):
- Translates national policies/strategies into sub-national level HIV/AIDS strategic plan and response activities.
- Uses epidemiologic and program data to measure effectiveness of sub-national level programs in delivering needed HIV/AIDS services in right locations.
- Assesses current and future staffing needs based on HIV/AIDS program goals and objectives.
- Develops sub-national level budgets that allocate resources to high burden service delivery locations.
- Effectively engages with civil society in program planning and evaluation of services.
- Designs a staff performance management plan to assure that staff working at high burden sites maintain good clinical and technical skills, such as through training and/or mentorship.

6.4 Score: 0.37

6.5 Score: 0.00

6.6 Score: 0.00

6.7 Score: 1.11

COP 15 (PEPFAR); Concept Note (Global Fund)

National Multisectoral strategic plan on HIV 2012-2015 revised and extended to 2018 COP 15 (PEPFAR); Concept Note (Global Fund)

The national AIDS control program works collaborative with the key stakeholders to plan and manage HIV services. National health authorities were deeply engage in the prioritization process during COP 15 and have worked with Global Fund to harmonize activities, avoid duplications and expand services to high burden areas and to key populations.
### 6.8 Sub-national Service Delivery Capacity: Do sub-national health authorities (i.e., district, provincial) have the capacity to effectively plan and manage HIV services sufficiently to achieve sustainable epidemic control?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sub-national health authorities (check all that apply):</th>
<th>Departmental for a reports, departmental integrated operational plans</th>
<th>Notes/Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☐ Translate national policies/strategies into sub-national level HIV/AIDS strategic plan and response activities.</td>
<td>6.8 Score: 0.93</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Use epidemiologic and program data to measure effectiveness of sub-national level programs in delivering needed HIV/AIDS services in right locations.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Assess current and future staffing needs based on HIV/AIDS program goals and budget realities for high burden locations.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Develop sub-national level budgets that allocate resources to high burden service delivery locations.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Effectively engage with civil society in program planning and evaluation of services.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Design a staff performance management plan to assure that staff working at high burden sites maintain good clinical and technical skills, such as through training and/or mentorship.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Service Delivery Score**: 4.49

### 7. Human Resources for Health: HRH staffing decisions for those working on HIV/AIDS are based on use of HR data and are aligned with national plans. Host country has sufficient numbers and categories of competent health care workers and volunteers to provide quality HIV/AIDS prevention, care and treatment services in health facilities and in the community. Host country trains, deploys and compensates health workers providing HIV/AIDS services through local public and/or private resources and systems. Host country has a strategy or plan for transitioning staff funded by donors.

#### 7.1 HRH Supply: To what extent is the health worker supply adequate to enable the volume and quality of HIV/AIDS services needed for sustained epidemic control at the facility and/or comm site level?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Check all that apply:</th>
<th>Data Source</th>
<th>Notes/Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☐ The country’s pre-service education institutions are producing an adequate supply and skills mix of health care providers</td>
<td>reference ddhrh/mspp:plan de retention</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ The country’s health workers are adequately deployed to, or distributed within, facilities and communities with high HIV burden</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ The country has developed retention schemes that address health worker vacancy or attrition in high HIV burden areas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ The country’s pre-service education institutions are producing an adequate supply and appropriate skills mix of social service workers to deliver social services to vulnerable children</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**7.1 Score**: 0.33

### 7.2 HRH transition: What is the status of transitioning PEPFAR and other donor supported HIV/AIDS health worker salaries to local financing/compensation?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A. There is no inventory or plan for transition of donor-supported health workers</th>
<th>Budget national</th>
<th>Contacter OMRH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☐ B. There is an inventory of donor-supported health workers, but no official plan to transition these staff to local support</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ C. There is an inventory and plan for transition of donor-supported workers, but it has not yet been implemented</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ D. There is an inventory and plan for donor-supported workers to be transitioned, and staff are being transitioned according to this plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ E. No plan is necessary because all HIV/AIDS health worker salaries are already locally financed/compensated</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**7.2 Score**: 0.33
### 7.3 Domestic funding for HRH:

What proportion of health worker (doctors, nurses, midwives, and CHW) salaries are supported with domestic public or private resources (i.e. excluding donor resources)?

- A. Host country institutions provide no (0%) health worker salaries
- B. Host country institutions provide minimal (approx. 1-9%) health worker salaries
- C. Host country institutions provide some (approx. 10-49%) health worker salaries
- D. Host country institutions provide most (approx. 50-89%) health worker salaries
- E. Host country institutions provide all or almost all (approx. 90%+) health worker salaries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>7.3 Score</th>
<th>Budget national contacter OMRH-DDRH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 7.4 Pre-service:

Do current pre-service education curricula for health workers providing HIV/AIDS services include HIV content that has been updated in last three years?

- A. Pre-service education institutions do not have HIV content, or HIV content used by pre-service education institutions is out of date (not updated within 3 years)
- B. Pre-service institutions have updated HIV/AIDS content within the last three years (check all that apply):
  - Updated content reflects national standards of practice for cadres offering HIV/AIDS-related services
  - Institutions maintain process for continuously updating content, including HIV/AIDS content
  - Updated curricula contain training related to stigma & discrimination of PLWHA
  - Institutions track student employment after graduation to inform planning

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>7.4 Score</th>
<th>Nursing school curriculum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 7.5 In-service Training:

To what extent does the host country government (through public, private, and/or voluntary sectors) plan and implement HIV/AIDS in-service training necessary to equip health workers for sustained epidemic control? (if exact or approximate percentage known, please note in Comments column)

- A. The host country government provides the following support for in-service training in the country (check ONE):
  - Host country government provides no (0%) HIV/AIDS related in-service training
  - Host country government provides minimal (approx. 1-9%) HIV/AIDS related in-service training
  - Host country government provides some (approx. 10-49%) HIV/AIDS in-service training
  - Host country government provides most (approx. 50-89%) HIV/AIDS in-service training
  - Host country government provides all or almost all (approx. 90%+) HIV/AIDS in-service training
- B. The host country government has a national plan for institutionalizing (establishing capacity within local institutions to deliver) donor-supported in-service training in HIV/AIDS
- C. The host country government requires continuing professional development, a form of in-service training, for re-licensure for key clinicians
- D. The host country government maintains a database to track training for HIV/AIDS, and allocates training based on need (e.g. focusing on high burden areas)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>7.5 Score</th>
<th>National curriculum for HIV care and treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.58</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The major revision of the curriculum to integrate HIV content has taken place from more than three years, however, the revision of the HIV guidelines led to content updates.

There is no national training plan, training sessions are scheduled based on sanitary department/partners needs.
## 7.6 HR Data Collection and Use: Does the country systematically collect health workforce data, such as through a Human Resource Information Systems (HRIS), for HIV/AIDS services and/or health workforce planning and management?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>7.6 Score: 1.17</th>
<th>Head of the HR of the Ministry of Health</th>
<th>Notes/Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A.</td>
<td>There is no HRIS in country and data on the health workforce is not collected systematically for planning and management</td>
<td>The HRIS developed for the Ministry of Health (MOH) with the support of the Canadian agency for international development is currently managed and funded by the MOH.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B.</td>
<td>There is no HRIS in country, but some data is collected for planning and management</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Registration and re-licensure data for key professionals is collected and used for planning and management</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MOH health worker employee data (number, cadre, and location of employment) is collected and used</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Routine assessments are conducted regarding health worker staffing at health facility and/or community sites</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C.</td>
<td>There is an HRIS (an interoperable system that captures at least regulatory and deployment data on health workers) in country:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The HRIS is primarily financed and managed by host country institutions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>There is a national strategy or approach to interoperability for HRIS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The government produces HR data from the system at least annually</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Host country institutions use HR data from the system for planning and management (e.g. health worker deployment)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Human Resources for Health Score 6.08

## 8. Commodity Security and Supply Chain: The National HIV/AIDS response ensures a secure, reliable and adequate supply and distribution of quality products, including drugs, lab and medical supplies, health items, and equipment required for effective and efficient HIV/AIDS prevention, diagnosis and treatment. Host country efficiently manages product selection, forecasting and supply planning, procurement, warehousing and inventory management, transportation, dispensing and waste management reducing costs while maintaining quality.

### 8.1 ARV Domestic Financing: What is the estimated percentage of ARV procurement funded by domestic sources? (Domestic sources includes public sector and private sector but excludes donor and out-of-pocket funds)

- A. This information is not known.
- B. No (0%) funding from domestic sources
- C. Minimal (approx. 1-9%) funding from domestic sources
- D. Some (approx. 10-49%) funded from domestic sources
- E. Most (approx. 50 – 89%) funded from domestic sources
- F. All or almost all (approx. 90%+) funded from domestic sources

### 8.1 Score: 0.00 | Data Source: Report from Supply Chain management IM (ARV and OI drugs Quantification Report - 2015) |

### 8.2 Test Kit Domestic Financing: What is the estimated percentage of HIV Rapid Test Kit procurement funded by domestic sources? (Domestic sources includes public sector and private sector but excludes donor and out-of-pocket funds)

- A. This information is not known
- B. No (0%) funding from domestic sources
- C. Minimal (approx. 1-9%) funding from domestic sources
- D. Some (approx. 10-49%) funded from domestic sources
- E. Most (approx. 50 – 89%) funded from domestic sources
- F. All or almost all (approx. 90%+) funded from domestic sources

### 8.2 Score: 0.00 | Notes/Comments: No Government of Haiti domestic resources fund HIV test kits |

---
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>8.3 Condom Domestic Financing: What is the estimated percentage of condom procurement funded by domestic (not donor) sources?</th>
<th>No Government of Haiti domestic resources fund condoms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Note: The denominator should be the supply of free or subsidized condoms provided to public or private sector health facilities or community based programs. (If exact or approximate percentage known, please note in Comments column)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>○ A. This information is not known</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>○ B. No (0%) funding from domestic sources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>○ C. Minimal (approx. 1-9%) funding from domestic sources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>○ D. Some (approx. 10-49%) funded from domestic sources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>○ E. Most (approx. 50-89%) funded from domestic sources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>○ F. All or almost all (approx. 90%+) funded from domestic sources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.3 Score:</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SNDAI Transition plan; SNDAI terms of reference</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>8.4 Supply Chain Plan: Does the country have an agreed-upon national supply chain plan that guides investments in the supply chain?</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>○ A. There is no plan or thoroughly annually reviewed supply chain standard operating procedure (SOP).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>○ B. There is a plan/SOP that includes the following components (check all that apply):</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ Human resources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ Training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ Warehousing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ Distribution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ Reverse Logistics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ Waste management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ Information system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ Procurement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ Forecasting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ Supply planning and supervision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ Site supervision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.4 Score:</td>
<td>1.62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>8.5 Supply Chain Plan Financing: What is the estimated percentage of financing for the supply chain plan that is provided by domestic sources (i.e. excluding donor funds)?</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(If exact or approximate percentage known, please note in Comments column)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>○ A. This information is not available.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>○ B. No (0%) funding from domestic sources.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>○ C. Minimal (approx. 1-9%) funding from domestic sources.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>○ D. Some (approx. 10-49%) funding from domestic sources.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>○ E. Most (approx. 50-89%) funding from domestic sources.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>○ F. All or almost all (approx. 90%+) funding from domestic sources.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.5 Score:</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 8.6 Stock: Does the host country government manage processes and systems that ensure appropriate ARV stock levels?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Check all that apply:</th>
<th>National Supply Chain meeting reports (PNLS, DPM, Key stakeholders)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- The group making re-supply decisions for ARVs have timely visibility into the ARV stock on hand at facilities</td>
<td>8.6 Score: 1.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Facilities are stocked with ARVs according to plan (above the minimum and below the maximum stock level) 90% of the time</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- MOH or other host government personnel make re-supply decisions with minimal external assistance:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Decision makers are not seconded or implementing partner staff</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Supply chain data are maintained within the Ministry of Health and not solely stored by donor-funded projects</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Team that conducts analysis of facility data is at least 50% host government</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 8.7 Assessment: Was an overall score of above 80% achieved on the SCMS National Supply Chain Assessment or top quartile for an equivalent assessment conducted within the last three years? (If exact or approximate percentage known, please note in Comments column)

| Commodity Security and Supply Chain Score: | 3.10 |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>8.6 Stock:</th>
<th>8.7 Assessment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 9. Quality Management: Host country has institutionalized quality management systems, plans, workforce capacities and other key inputs to ensure that modern quality improvement methodologies are applied to managing and providing HIV/AIDS services

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data Source</th>
<th>Notes/Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>National Supply Chain meeting reports (PNLS, DPM, Key stakeholders)</td>
<td>HEALTHQUAL semi-annual and annual reports</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 9.1 Existence of a Quality Management (QM) System: Does the host country government support appropriate QM structures to support continuous quality improvement (QI) at national, sub-national and site levels?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Notes/Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Afghanistan</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>HEALTHQUAL semi-annual and annual reports</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>9.1 Existence of a Quality Management (QM) System:</th>
<th>9.1 Score: 1.33</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9.1.1 A. The host country government does not have structures or resources to support site-level continuous quality improvement</td>
<td>HEALTHQUAL semi-annual and annual reports</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.1.2 B. The host country government:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Has structures with dedicated focal points or leaders (e.g., committee, focal person, working groups, teams) at the national level, sub-national level and in a majority of sites where HIV/AIDS care and services are offered that are supporting site-level continuous quality improvement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Has a budget line item for the QM program</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Supports a knowledge management platform (e.g., web site) and/or peer learning opportunities available to site QI participants to gain insights from other sites and interventions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.2 Quality Management/Quality Improvement (QM/QI) Plan: Is there a current (updated within the last 2 years) QM/QI plan? (The plan may be HIV program-specific or include HIV program-specific elements in a national health sector QM/QI plan.)</td>
<td>9.2 Score: 2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. There is no HIV/AIDS-related QM/QI strategy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. There is a QM/QI strategy that includes HIV/AIDS, but it is not current (updated within the last 2 years)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. There is a current QM/QI strategy that includes HIV/AIDS program specific elements</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. There is a current HIV/AIDS program specific QM/QI strategy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.3 Performance Data Collection and Use for Improvement: Are HIV program performance measurement data systematically collected and analyzed to identify areas of patient care and services that can be improved through national decision making, policy, or priority setting?</td>
<td>9.3 Score: 2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. HIV program performance measurement data are not used to identify areas of patient care and services that can be improved through national decision making, policy, or priority setting.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. HIV program performance measurement data are used to identify areas of patient care and services that can be improved through national decision making, policy, or priority setting (check all that apply):</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The national quality structure has a clinical data collection system from which local performance measurement data on prioritized measures are being collected, aggregated nationally, and analyzed for local and national improvement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>There is a system for sharing data at the national, SNU, and local level, with evidence that data is used to identify quality gaps and initiate QI activities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>There is documentation of results of QI activities and demonstration of national HIV program improvement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.4 Health worker capacity for QM/QI: Does the host country government ensure that the health workforce has capacities to apply modern quality improvement methods to HIV/AIDS care and services?</td>
<td>9.4 Score: 2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. There is no training or recognition offered to build health workforce competency in QI.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. There is health workforce competency-building in QI, including:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-service institutions incorporate modern quality improvement methods in curricula</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National in-service training (IST) curricula integrate quality improvement training for members of the health workforce (including managers) who provide or support HIV/AIDS services</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 9.5 Existence of QI Implementation: Does the host country government QM system use proven systematic approaches for QI?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The national-level QM structure:</th>
<th>HEALTHQUAL semi-annual and annual reports; Sites quality plans</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☐ Provides oversight to ensure continuous quality improvement in HIV/AIDS care and services</td>
<td>9.5 Score: 1.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Regularly convenes meetings that includes health services consumers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Routinely reviews national, sub-national and clinical outcome data to identify and prioritize areas for improvement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sub-national QM structures:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☐ Provides coordination and support to ensure continuous quality improvement in HIV/AIDS care and services</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Regularly convenes meetings that includes health services consumers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Routinely review national, sub-national and clinical outcome data to identify and prioritize areas for improvement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site-level QM structures:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☐ Undertake continuous quality improvement in HIV/AIDS care and services to identify and prioritize areas for improvement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Quality Management Score: 9.05

### 10. Laboratory: The host country ensures adequate funds, policies, and regulations to ensure laboratory capacity (workforce, equipment, reagents, quality) matches the services required for PLHIV.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data Source</th>
<th>Notes/Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>National public health laboratory strategic plan 2010-2015</td>
<td>The plan is to be revised</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 10.1 Strategic Plan: Does the host country have a national laboratory strategic plan?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>10.1 Score: 1.25</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☐ A. There is no national laboratory strategic plan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ B. National laboratory strategic plan is under development</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ C. National laboratory strategic plan has been developed, but not approved</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ D. National laboratory strategic plan has been developed and approved</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☒ E. National laboratory plan has been developed, approved, and costing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 10.2 Regulations to Monitor Quality of Laboratories and Point of Care Testing (POCT) Sites: To what extent does the host country have regulations in place to monitor the quality of its laboratories and POCT sites? (If exact or approximate percentage known, please note in Comments column)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>10.2 Score: 1.67</th>
<th>Guide of implementation and management of national EQA program; Harmonization et standardization of the national network of medical laboratory in Haiti; Minimum requirements guide for medical laboratory in Haiti.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☐ A. Regulations do not exist to monitor minimum quality of laboratories in the country.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ B. Regulations exist, but are not implemented (0% of laboratories and POCT sites regulated).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ C. Regulations exist, but are minimally implemented (approx. 1-9% of laboratories and POCT sites regulated).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ D. Regulations exist, but are partially implemented (approx. 10-49% of laboratories and POCT sites regulated).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ E. Regulations exist and are mostly implemented (approx. 50-89% of laboratories and POCT sites regulated).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☒ F. Regulations exist and are fully or almost fully implemented (approx. 90%+ of laboratories and POCT sites regulated).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 10.3 Capacity of Laboratory Workforce: Does the host country have an adequate number of qualified laboratory personnel (human resources [HR]) in the public sector, to sustain key functions to meet the needs of PLHIV for diagnosis, monitoring treatment and viral load suppression?

- **A.** There are not adequate qualified laboratory personnel to achieve sustained epidemic control
- **B.** There are adequate qualified laboratory personnel to perform the following key functions:
  - HIV diagnosis in laboratories and point-of-care settings
  - TB diagnosis in laboratories and point-of-care settings
  - CD4 testing in laboratories and point-of-care settings
  - Viral load testing in laboratories and point-of-care settings
  - Early Infant Diagnosis in laboratories
  - Malaria infections in laboratories and point-of-care settings
  - Microbiology in laboratories and point-of-care settings
  - Blood banking in laboratories and point-of-care settings
  - Opportunistic infections including Cryptococcal antigen in laboratories and point-of-care settings

### 10.4 Viral Load Infrastructure: Does the host country have sufficient infrastructure to test for viral load to reach sustained epidemic control?

- **A.** There is not sufficient infrastructure to test for viral load.
- **B.** There is sufficient infrastructure to test for viral load, including:
  - Sufficient viral load instruments and reagents
  - Appropriate maintenance agreements for instruments
  - Adequate specimen transport system and timely return of results

### 10.5 Domestic Funds for Laboratories: To what extent are laboratory services financed by domestic public or private resources (i.e. excluding external donor funding)?

- **A.** No (0%) laboratory services are financed by domestic resources.
- **B.** Minimal (1-9%) laboratory services are financed by domestic resources.
- **C.** Some (10-49%) laboratory services are financed by domestic resources.
- **D.** Most (50-89%) laboratory services are financed by domestic resources.
- **E.** All or almost all (90%+) laboratory services are financed by domestic resources.

### Laboratory Score: 6.71

THIS CONCLUDES THE SET OF QUESTIONS ON DOMAIN B
### Domain C. Strategic Investments, Efficiency, and Sustainable Financing

What Success Looks Like: Host country government is aware of the financial resources required to effectively and efficiently meet its national HIV/AIDS prevention, care and treatment targets. HCG actively seeks, solicits and or generates the necessary financial resources, ensures sufficient resource commitments, and uses data to strategically allocate funding and maximize investments.


| A. There is no explicit funding for HIV/AIDS in the national budget. | 11.1 Score: 1.11 | Proposed National Budget 2015 |
| B. There is explicit HIV/AIDS funding within the national budget. | | |
| The HIV/AIDS budget is program-based across ministries. | | |
| The budget includes or references indicators of progress toward national HIV/AIDS strategy goals. | | |
| The budget includes specific HIV/AIDS service delivery targets. | | |
| National budget reflects all sources of funding for HIV, including from external donors. | | |

**11.1 Domestic Budget:** To what extent does the national budget explicitly account for the national HIV/AIDS response?

- A. There is no explicit funding for HIV/AIDS in the national budget.
- B. There is explicit HIV/AIDS funding within the national budget.
- The HIV/AIDS budget is program-based across ministries.
- The budget includes or references indicators of progress toward national HIV/AIDS strategy goals.
- The budget includes specific HIV/AIDS service delivery targets.
- National budget reflects all sources of funding for HIV, including from external donors.

**11.2 Annual Targets:** Did the most recent budget as executed achieve stated annual HIV/AIDS goals?

(if exact or approximate percentage known, please note in Comments column)

- A. There are no HIV/AIDS goals/targets articulated in the national budget.
- B. There are annual HIV/AIDS goals/targets articulated in the most recent national budget, but none (0%) were attained.
- C. There are annual HIV/AIDS goals/targets articulated in the most recent national budget, but very few (approx. 1-9%) were attained.
- D. There are annual HIV/AIDS goals/targets articulated in the most recent national budget, and some (approx. 10-49%) were reached.
- E. There are annual HIV/AIDS goals/targets articulated in the most recent national budget, and most (approx. 50-89%) were reached.
- F. There are annual HIV/AIDS goals/targets articulated in the most recent national budget, and all or almost all (approx. 90%+) were reached.

<p>| 11.2 Score: 0.00 | Proposed National Budget 2015 |
| There is a line item in national budget for MOH that includes a line item for HIV/AIDS. However, Parliament has not yet voted on this budget. MOH has not received a specific HIV/AIDS budget, but they have expended public resources on HIV from the allocated MOH budget (last voted on in 2011). |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>11.3 Budget Execution:</strong> For the previous three years, what was the average execution rate for budgeted domestic HIV/AIDS resources (i.e. excluding any donor funds) at both the national and subnational level?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>National Budget</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>See above in regards to the proposed MOH budget. To date, MOH has not received a specific HIV/AIDS budget line item to execute against.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>11.4 Placeholder</strong> for future indicator measuring country's financial ability to pay for its HIV response (will not be included in SID for COP 16)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| |}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>11.5 Domestic Spending:</strong> What percent of the annual national HIV response is financed with domestic public and domestic private sector HIV funding (excluding out-of-pocket and donor resources)?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>National Health Accounts 2013</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Currently, there is no method for measuring private sector contribution.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Domestic Resource Mobilization Score:** 1.94

- A. None (0%) is financed with domestic funding.
- B. Very little (approx. 1-9%) is financed with domestic funding.
- C. Some (approx. 10-49%) is financed with domestic funding.
- D. Most (approx. 50-89%) is financed with domestic funding.
- E. All or almost all (approx. 90%+) is financed with domestic funding.

**11.3 Score:** 0.00

- A. Information is not available
- B. There is no national HIV/AIDS budget, or the execution rate was 0%.
- C. 1-9%
- D. 10-49%
- E. 50-89%
- F. 90% or greater

**11.6 Score:** 0.83

- A. Information is not available
- B. There is no national HIV/AIDS budget, or the execution rate was 0%.
- C. 1-9%
- D. 10-49%
- E. 50-89%
- F. 90% or greater
- G. All or almost all (approx. 90%+) is financed with domestic funding.
**12. Technical and Allocative Efficiencies:** The host country analyzes and uses relevant HIV/AIDS epidemiological, health, health workforce, and economic data to inform HIV/AIDS investment decisions. For maximizing impact, data are used to choose which high impact program services and interventions are to be implemented, where resources should be allocated, and what populations demonstrate the highest need and should be targeted (i.e. the right thing at the right place and at the right time). Unit costs are tracked and steps are taken to improve HIV/AIDS outcomes within the available resource envelope (or achieves comparable outcomes with fewer resources).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data Source</th>
<th>Notes/Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12.1 Score: 0.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**12.1 Resource Allocation Process:** Does the partner country government utilize a recognized data-driven model to inform the allocation of domestic (i.e. non-donor) public HIV resources?

(note: full score achieved by selecting one checkbox)

- [ ] A. The host country government does not use one of the mechanisms listed below to inform the allocation of their resources.
- [ ] B. The host country government does use the following mechanisms to inform the allocation of their resources (check all that apply):
  - [ ] Optima
  - [ ] Spectrum (Including EPP and Goals)
  - [ ] AIDS Epidemic Model (AEM)
  - [ ] Modes of Transmission (MOT) Model
  - [ ] Other recognized process or model (specify in notes column)

**12.2 High Impact Interventions:** What percentage of site-level point of service HIV domestic public sector resources (excluding any donor funds) are being allocated to the following set of interventions: provision of ART, VMMC, PMTCT, HTC, condoms, and targeted prevention for key and priority populations?

(if exact or approximate percentage known, please note in Comments column)

- [ ] A. Information not available
- [ ] B. No (0%) site-level, point-of-service domestic HIV resources are allocated to the listed set of interventions.
- [ ] C. Minimal (approx. 1-9%) of site-level, point-of-service domestic HIV resources are allocated to the listed set of interventions.
- [ ] D. Some (approx. 10-49%) of site-level, point-of-service domestic HIV resources are allocated to the listed set of interventions.
- [ ] E. Most (approx. 50-89%) of site-level, point-of-service domestic HIV resources are allocated to the listed set of interventions.
- [ ] F. All or almost all (approx. 90%+) of site-level, point-of-service domestic HIV resources are allocated to the listed set of interventions.

**12.2 Score: 0.00**
### 12.3 Geographic Allocation

**Score:** 0.00

**Question:** Of central government HIV-specific resources (excluding any donor funds) allocated to geographic subunits in the most recent year available, what percentage is being allocated in the highest burden geographic areas (i.e. districts that cumulatively account for 80% of PLHIV)?

*Note:* If exact or approximate percentage known, please note in Comments column.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Choice</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A.</td>
<td>Information not available.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B.</td>
<td>No resources (0%) are targeting the highest burden geographic areas.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C.</td>
<td>Minimal resources (approx. 1-9%) are targeting the highest burden geographic areas.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D.</td>
<td>Some resources (approx. 10-49%) are targeting the highest burden geographic areas.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E.</td>
<td>Most resources (approx. 50-89%) are targeting the highest burden geographic areas.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F.</td>
<td>All or almost all resources (approx. 90%+) are targeting the highest burden geographic areas.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 12.4 Data-Driven Reprogramming

**Score:** 0.00

**Question:** Do host country government policies/systems allow for reprogramming domestic investments based on new or updated program data during the government funding cycle?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Choice</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A.</td>
<td>There is no system for funding cycle reprogramming</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B.</td>
<td>There is a policy/system that allows for funding cycle reprogramming, but it is seldom used.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C.</td>
<td>There is a system that allows for funding cycle reprogramming and reprogramming is done as per the policy but not based on data.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D.</td>
<td>There is a policy/system that allows for funding cycle reprogramming and reprogramming is done as per the policy and is based on data.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 12.5 Unit Costs

**Score:** 1.43

**Question:** Does the host country government use recent expenditure data or cost analysis (i.e. data from within the last three years) to estimate unit costs of HIV/AIDS services for budgeting or planning purposes?

*Note:* Full score can be achieved without checking all disaggregate boxes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program Area</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HIV Testing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Care and Support</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ART</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMTCT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VMMC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OVC Service Package</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Key population Interventions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note:* Though the government provide very limited in-kind contribution to HIV services, expenditure data or cost analysis are used during the consultation with development partners as part of strategic planning led by MOH.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CCM meeting report/ Global fund Concept note/ PEPFAR COP</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
### 12.6 Improving Efficiency: Has the partner country achieved any of the following efficiency improvements through actions taken within the last three years?

- [ ] Improved operations or interventions based on the findings of cost-effectiveness or efficiency studies
- [ ] Reduced overhead costs by streamlining management
- [ ] Lowered unit costs by reducing fragmentation, i.e. pooled procurement, resource pooling, etc.
- [ ] Improved procurement competition
- [ ] Integrated HIV/AIDS into national or subnational insurance schemes (private or public — need not be within last three years)
- [ ] Integrated HIV into primary care services with linkages to specialist care (need not be within last three years)
- [ ] Integrated TB and HIV services, including ART initiation in TB treatment settings and TB screening and treatment in HIV care settings (need not be within last three years)
- [ ] Integrated HIV and MCH services, including ART initiated and maintained in eligible pregnant and postpartum women and in infants at maternal and child health care settings (need not be within last three years)
- [ ] Developed and implemented other new and more efficient models of HIV service delivery (specify in comments)

### 12.7 ARV Benchmark prices: How do the costs of ARVs (most common first line regimen) purchased in the previous year by the partner government using domestic resources compare to international benchmark prices for that year?

(Use the "factory cost" of purchased commodities, excluding transport costs, distribution costs, etc.)

- [ ] A. Partner government did not pay for any ARVs using domestic resources in the previous year.
- [ ] B. Average price paid for ARVs by the partner government in the previous year was more than 50% greater than the international benchmark price for that regimen.
- [ ] C. Average price paid for ARVs by the partner government in the previous year was 10-50% greater than the international benchmark price for that regimen.
- [ ] D. Average price paid for ARVs by the partner government in the previous year was 1-10% greater than the international benchmark price for that regimen.
- [ ] E. Average price paid for ARVs by the partner government in the previous year was below or equal to the international benchmark price for that regimen.

### Technical and Allocative Efficiencies Score:

2.38

**ANC Guidelines ; National Multisectoral strategic plan on HIV 2012 -2015 revised and extended to 2018**

Task shifting to enable nurses to enroll HIV patients on ART is one example of implementing more efficient models of HIV service delivery.

Multimonth prescription of ARVs

**THIS CONCLUDES THE SET OF QUESTIONS ON DOMAIN C**
### Domain D: Strategic Information

#### What Success Looks Like: Using local and national systems, the host country government collects, analyzes and makes available timely, comprehensive, and quality HIV/AIDS data (including epidemiological, economic/financial, and performance data) that can be used to inform policy, program and funding decisions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>13.1 Who Leads General Population Surveys &amp; Surveillance: To what extent does the host country government lead and manage planning and implementation of the HIV/AIDS portfolio of general population epidemiological surveys and/or surveillance activities (population-based household surveys, case reporting/clinical surveillance, drug resistance surveillance, etc.)?</th>
<th>Data Source</th>
<th>Notes/Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>O A. No HIV/AIDS general population surveys or surveillance activities have been conducted within the past 5 years</td>
<td>13.1 Score: 0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>O B. Surveys &amp; surveillance activities are primarily planned and implemented by external agencies, organizations or institutions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>O C. Surveys &amp; surveillance activities are planned and implemented by the host country government/other domestic institution, with substantial technical assistance from external agencies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>O D. Surveys &amp; surveillance activities are planned and implemented by the host country government/other domestic institution, with some technical assistance from external agencies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>O E. Surveys &amp; surveillance activities are planned and implemented by the host country government/other domestic institution, with minimal or no technical assistance from external agencies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>13.2 Who Leads Key Population Surveys &amp; Surveillance: To what extent does the host country government lead &amp; manage planning and implementation of the HIV/AIDS portfolio of key population epidemiological surveys and/or behavioral surveillance activities (IBBS, size estimation studies, etc.)?</th>
<th></th>
<th>13.2 Score: 0.71</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>O A. No HIV/AIDS key population surveys or surveillance activities have been conducted within the past 5 years</td>
<td>IBBS, 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>O B. Surveys &amp; surveillance activities are primarily planned and implemented by external agencies, organizations or institutions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>O C. Surveys &amp; surveillance activities are planned and implemented by the host country government/other domestic institution, with substantial technical assistance from external agencies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>O D. Surveys &amp; surveillance activities are planned and implemented by the host country government/other domestic institution, with some technical assistance from external agencies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>O E. Surveys &amp; surveillance activities are planned and implemented by the host country government/other domestic institution, without minimal or no technical assistance from external agencies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>13.3 Who Finances General Population Surveys &amp; Surveillance: To what extent does the host country government fund the HIV/AIDS portfolio of general population epidemiological surveys and/or surveillance activities (e.g., protocol development, printing of paper-based tools, salaries and transportation for data collection, etc.)? (If exact or approximate percentage known, please note in Comments column)</th>
<th></th>
<th>13.3 Score: 0.42</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>O B. No financing (0%) is provided by the host country government</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>O C. Minimal financing (approx. 1-9%) is provided by the host country government</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>O D. Some financing (approx. 10-49%) is provided by the host country government</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>O E. Most financing (approx. 50-89%) is provided by the host country government</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>O F. All or almost all financing (90% +) is provided by the host country government</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 13.4 Who Finances Key Populations Surveys & Surveillance

To what extent does the host country government fund the HIV/AIDS portfolio of key population epidemiological surveys and/or behavioral surveillance activities (e.g., protocol development, printing of paper-based tools, salaries and transportation for data collection, etc.)?  

(If exact or approximate percentage known, please note in Comments column)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>13.4.1</td>
<td>A. No HIV/AIDS key population surveys or surveillance activities have been conducted within the past 5 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.4.2</td>
<td>B. No financing (0%) is provided by the host country government</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.4.3</td>
<td>C. Minimal financing (approx. 1-9%) is provided by the host country government</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.4.4</td>
<td>D. Some financing (approx. 10-49%) is provided by the host country government</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.4.5</td>
<td>E. Most financing (approx. 50-89%) is provided by the host country government</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.4.6</td>
<td>F. All or almost all financing (approx. 90%+) is provided by the host country government</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

13.4 Score: 0.00

The government has led the process but receive financial and technical assistance from external donors fro the IBBS (2015)

### 13.5 Comprehensiveness of Prevalence and Incidence Data

To what extent does the host country government collect HIV prevalence and incidence data according to relevant disaggregations, populations and geographic units?  

(Note: Full score possible without selecting all disaggregates.)

Check ALL boxes that apply below:

- A. The host country government collects at least every 5 years HIV prevalence data disaggregated by:
  - Age
  - Sex
  - Key populations (FSW, PWID, MSM/transgender)
  - Priority populations (e.g., military, prisoners, young women & girls, etc.)
  - Sub-national units

- B. The host country government collects at least every 5 years HIV incidence data disaggregated by:
  - Age
  - Sex
  - Key populations (FSW, PWID, MSM/transgender)
  - Priority populations (e.g., military, prisoners, young women & girls, etc.)
  - Sub-national units

13.5 Score: 0.95


---
### 13.6 Comprehensiveness of Viral Load Data

**Data:** To what extent does the host country government collect/report viral load data according to relevant disaggregations and across all PLHIV? (if exact or approximate percentage known, please note in Comments column)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>13.6</th>
<th>Comprehensiveness of Viral Load Data:</th>
<th>To what extent does the host country government collect/report viral load data according to relevant disaggregations and across all PLHIV? (if exact or approximate percentage known, please note in Comments column)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>A. The host country government does not collect/report viral load data or does not conduct viral load monitoring</td>
<td><strong>13.6 Score:</strong> 0.48  Viral Load Pilot project evaluation report (draft), 2015  Through collaboration with key stakeholders Viral load diagnosis capacity will be expanded nationally and system is in place to ensure adequate reporting</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
|       | B. The host country government collects/reports viral load data (answer both subsections below): | According to the following disaggregates (check ALL that apply):

- Age
- Sex
- Key populations (FSW, PWID, MSM/transgender)
- Priority populations (e.g., military, prisoners, young women & girls, etc.)

For what proportion of PLHIV (select ONE of the following):

- Less than 25%
- 25-50%
- 50-75%
- More than 75% |

### 13.7 Comprehensiveness of Key and Priority Populations Data

**Data:** To what extent does the host country government conduct IBBS and/or size estimation studies for key and priority populations? (Note: Full score possible without selecting all disaggregates.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>13.7</th>
<th>Comprehensiveness of Key and Priority Populations Data:</th>
<th>To what extent does the host country government conduct IBBS and/or size estimation studies for key and priority populations? (Note: Full score possible without selecting all disaggregates.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>A. The host country government does not collect/report viral load data or does not conduct viral load monitoring</td>
<td><strong>13.7 Score:</strong> 0.63  IBBS, 2015  The government has led the process but receive financial and technical assistance from external donors fro the IBBS (2015)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
|       | B. The host country government collects/reports viral load data (answer both subsections below): | IBBS for (check ALL that apply):

- Female sex workers (FSW)
- Men who have sex with men (MSM)/transgender
- People who inject drugs (PWID)
- Priority populations (e.g., military, prisoners, young women & girls, etc.)

Size estimation studies for (check ALL that apply):

- Female sex workers (FSW)
- Men who have sex with men (MSM)/transgender
- People who inject drugs (PWID)
- Priority populations (e.g., military, prisoners, young women & girls, etc.) |

### 13.8 Timeliness of Epi and Surveillance Data

**Data:** To what extent is a timeline for the collection of epidemiologic and surveillance data outlined in a national HIV/AIDS surveillance and survey strategy (or a national surveillance and survey strategy with specifics for HIV)?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>13.8</th>
<th>Timeliness of Epi and Surveillance Data:</th>
<th>To what extent is a timeline for the collection of epidemiologic and surveillance data outlined in a national HIV/AIDS surveillance and survey strategy (or a national surveillance and survey strategy with specifics for HIV)?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>A. The host country government does not collect/report viral load data or does not conduct viral load monitoring</td>
<td><strong>13.8 Score:</strong> 0.95  HIV case notification protocol</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
|       | B. The host country government collects/reports viral load data (answer both subsections below): | A. The host country government does not conduct IBBS or size estimation studies for key populations (FSW, PWID, MSM) or priority populations (Military, etc.).

B. The host country government conducts (answer both subsections below):

IBBS for (check ALL that apply):

- Female sex workers (FSW)
- Men who have sex with men (MSM)/transgender
- People who inject drugs (PWID)
- Priority populations (e.g., military, prisoners, young women & girls, etc.)

Size estimation studies for (check ALL that apply):

- Female sex workers (FSW)
- Men who have sex with men (MSM)/transgender
- People who inject drugs (PWID)
- Priority populations (e.g., military, prisoners, young women & girls, etc.) |

- There is no national HIV surveillance and surveys strategy, or a national surveillance and surveys strategy exists but does not include specifics for HIV surveillance and surveys |

- A national HIV surveillance and surveys strategy exists (or a national surveillance and surveys strategy exists and includes specifics for HIV, but the strategy does not outline a timeline for data collection for all relevant population groups)

- A national HIV surveillance and surveys strategy exists (or a national surveillance and surveys strategy exists and includes specifics for HIV), and outlines a timeline for data collection for all relevant population groups |
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### 13.9 Quality of Surveillance and Survey Data

**To what extent does the host country government define and implement policies, procedures and governance structures that assure quality of HIV/AIDS surveillance and survey data?**

- A. No governance structures, procedures or policies designed to assure surveys & surveillance data quality exist/could be documented.
- B. The following structures, procedures or policies exist to assure quality of surveys & surveillance data (check all that apply):
  - A national surveillance unit or other entity is responsible for assuring the quality of surveys & surveillance data
  - A national, approved surveys & surveillance strategy is in place, which outlines standards, policies and procedures for data quality assurance
  - Standard national procedures & protocols exist for reviewing surveys & surveillance data for quality and sharing feedback with appropriate staff responsible for data collection
  - An in-country internal review board (IRB) exists and reviews all protocols.

#### 13.9 Score: 0.95

*In country source: Unite d'Etude et de Programmation “ of the MOH*  
*A specific readily accessible source could not be identified but the information provided by the “Unite d’Etude et de Programmation “ of the MOH*  

### 14. Financial/Expenditure data

Government collects, tracks and analyzes and makes available financial data related to HIV/AIDS, including the financing and spending on HIV/AIDS expenditures from all financing sources, costing, and economic evaluation, efficiency and market demand analyses for cost-effectiveness.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data Source</th>
<th>Notes/Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>National Health Accounts, 2013</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 14.1 Who Leads Collection of Expenditure Data

**To what extent does the host country government lead & manage a national expenditure tracking system to collect HIV/AIDS expenditure data?**

- A. No tracking of public HIV/AIDS expenditures has occurred within the past 5 years
- B. Collection of public HIV/AIDS expenditure data occurs using a standard tool (i.e. NASA, NHA), but planning and implementation is primarily led by external agencies, organizations, or institutions
- C. Collection of public HIV/AIDS expenditure data occurs using a standard tool (i.e. NASA, NHA) and planning and implementation is led by the host country government, with substantial external technical assistance
- D. Collection of public HIV/AIDS expenditure data occurs using a standard tool (i.e. NASA, NHA) and planning and implementation is led by the host country government, with some external technical assistance
- E. Collection of public HIV/AIDS expenditure data occurs using a standard tool (i.e. NASA, NHA), and planning and implementation is led by the host country government, with minimal or no external technical assistance

#### 14.1 Score: 1.25

*National Health Accounts, 2013*

#### 14.2 Who Finances Collection of Expenditure Data

**To what extent does the host country government finance the collection of HIV/AIDS expenditure data (e.g., printing of paper-based tools, salaries and transportation for data collection, etc.)?**

- A. No HIV/AIDS expenditure tracking has occurred within the past 5 years
- B. No financing (0%) is provided by the host country government
- C. Minimal financing (approx. 1-9%) is provided by the host country government
- D. Some financing (approx. 10-49%) is provided by the host country government
- E. Most financing (approx. 50-89%) is provided by the host country government
- F. All or almost all financing (90% +) is provided by the host country government

#### 14.2 Score: 0.00

*National Health Accounts, 2013*
14.3 Comprehensive Expenditure Data: To what extent does the host country government collect HIV/AIDS public sector expenditures according to funding source, expenditure type, program and geographic area?

- **A.** No HIV/AIDS expenditure tracking has occurred within the past 5 years
- **B.** HIV/AIDS expenditure data are collected (check all that apply):
  - By source of financing, such as domestic public, domestic private, out-of-pocket, Global Fund, PEPFAR, others
  - By expenditures per program area, such as prevention, care, treatment, health systems strengthening
  - By type of expenditure, such as training, overhead, vehicles, supplies, commodities/reagents, personnel
  - Sub-nationally

**14.3 Score:** 1.25

Data Source: National Health Accounts, 2013

14.4 Timeliness of Expenditure Data: To what extent are expenditure data collected in a timely way to inform program planning and budgeting decisions?

- **A.** No HIV/AIDS expenditure data are collected
- **B.** HIV/AIDS expenditure data are collected irregularly, and more than 3 years ago
- **C.** HIV/AIDS expenditure data were collected at least once in the past 3 years
- **D.** HIV/AIDS expenditure data are collected annually but represent more than one year of expenditures
- **E.** HIV/AIDS expenditure data are collected annually and represent only one year of expenditures

**14.4 Score:** 1.67

Data Source: Donors coordination meeting reports

The MOH send request to all partners for data on HIV expenditures which is compiled in an annual report.

14.5 Economic Studies: Does the host country government conduct health economic studies or analyses for HIV/AIDS?

- **A.** The host country government does not conduct health economic studies or analyses for HIV/AIDS
- **B.** The host country government conducts (check all that apply):
  - Costing
  - Economic evaluation (e.g., cost-effectiveness analysis and cost-benefit analysis)
  - Efficiency analysis (e.g., efficiency of service delivery by public and private sector, resource allocation)
  - Market demand analysis

**14.5 Score:** 1.25

Data Source: Cost study by ABT Associates, 2014

15. Performance data: Government routinely collects, analyzes and makes available HIV/AIDS service delivery data. Service delivery data are analyzed to track program performance, i.e. coverage of key interventions, results against targets, and the continuum of care and treatment cascade, including linkage to care, adherence and retention.

**Financial/Expenditure Data Score:** 5.42

| 15.1 Who Leads Collection of Service Delivery Data: To what extent is the routine collection of HIV/AIDS service delivery data institutionalized in an information system and managed and operated by the host country government? |
|---|---|
| A. No system exists for routine collection of HIV/AIDS service delivery data |
| B. Multiple unharmonized or parallel information systems exist that are managed and operated separately by various government entities, local institutions and/or external agencies/institutions |
| C. One information system, or a harmonized set of complementary information systems, exists and is primarily managed and operated by an external agency/institution |
| D. One information system, or a harmonized set of complementary information systems, exists and is managed and operated by the host country government with technical assistance from external agency/institution |
| E. One information system, or a harmonized set of complementary information systems, exists and is managed and operated by the host country government |

**15.1 Score:** 1.00

Data Source: National Health information System (SISNU, french Acronym)
### 15.2 Who Finances Collection of Service Delivery Data

To what extent does the host country government finance the routine collection of HIV/AIDS service delivery data (e.g., salaries of data clerks/M&E staff, printing & distribution of paper-based tools, electronic reporting system maintenance, data quality supervision, etc.)?

(if exact or approximate percentage known, please note in Comments column)

- **A.** No routine collection of HIV/AIDS service delivery data exists
- **B.** No financing (0%) is provided by the host country government
- **C.** Minimal financing (approx. 1-9%) is provided by the host country government
- **D.** Some financing (approx. 10-49%) is provided by the host country government
- **E.** Most financing (approx. 50-89%) is provided by the host country government
- **F.** All or almost all financing (90% +) is provided by the host country government

**15.2 Score:** 0.83

National Health Accounts, 2013

### 15.3 Comprehensiveness of Service Delivery Data

To what extent does the host country government collect HIV/AIDS service delivery data by population, program and geographic area? (Note: Full score possible without selecting all disaggregates.)

Check ALL boxes that apply below:

- **A.** The host country government routinely collects & reports service delivery data for:
  - HIV Testing
  - PMTCT
  - Adult Care and Support
  - Adult Treatment
  - Pediatric Care and Support
  - Orphans and Vulnerable Children
  - Voluntary Medical Male Circumcision
  - HIV Prevention
  - AIDS-related mortality
- **B.** Service delivery data are being collected:
  - By key population (FSW, PWID, MSM/transgender)
  - By priority population (e.g., military, prisoners, young women & girls, etc.)
  - By age & sex
  - From all facility sites (public, private, faith-based, etc.)
  - From all community sites (public, private, faith-based, etc.)

**15.3 Score:** 1.22

Epidemiologic Bulletin of the national AIDS control program, www.mesi.ht

### 15.4 Timeliness of Service Delivery Data

To what extent are HIV/AIDS service delivery data collected in a timely way to inform analysis of program performance?

- **A.** The host country government does not routinely collect/report HIV/AIDS service delivery data
- **B.** The host country government collects & reports service delivery data annually
- **C.** The host country government collects & reports service delivery data semi-annually
- **D.** The host country government collects & reports service delivery data at least quarterly

**15.4 Score:** 1.33

Epidemiologic Bulletin of the national AIDS control program, www.mesi.ht
15.5 Analysis of Service Delivery Data: To what extent does the host country government routinely analyze service delivery data to measure program performance (i.e., continuum of care cascade, coverage, retention, AIDS-related mortality rates)?

☐ A. The host country government does not routinely analyze service delivery data to measure program performance

☐ B. Service delivery data are being analyzed to measure program performance in the following ways (check all that apply):

- Continuum of care cascade for each identified priority population (e.g., military, prisoners, young women & girls, etc.), including HIV testing, linkage to care, treatment, adherence and retention
- Continuum of care cascade for each relevant key population (FSW, PWID, MSM/transgender), including HIV testing, linkage to care, treatment, adherence and retention
- Results against targets
- Coverage of key treatment & prevention services (ART, PMTCT, VMMC, etc.)
- Site-specific yield for HIV testing (HTC and PMTCT)
- AIDS-related mortality rates
- Variations in performance by sub-national unit
- Creation of maps to facilitate geographic analysis

15.5 Score: 0.83

Epidemiologic Bulletin of the national AIDS control program
Annual Statistics report, 2014
HIV/AIDS epidemiologic profil (MSPP/PNLS, 2014)

15.6 Quality of Service Delivery Data: To what extent does the host country government define and implement policies, procedures and governance structures that assure quality of HIV/AIDS service delivery data?

☐ A. No governance structures, procedures or policies designed to assure service delivery data quality exist/could be documented.

☐ B. The following structures, procedures or policies exist to assure quality of service delivery data (check all that apply):

- A national, approved data quality strategy is in place, which outlines standards, policies and procedures for HIV/AIDS data quality assurance
- A national protocol exists for routine (at least annual) Data Quality Audits/Assessments of key HIV program indicators, which are led and implemented by the host country government
- Standard national procedures & protocols exist for routine data quality checks at the point of data entry
- Data quality reports are published and shared with relevant ministries/government entities & partner organizations
- The host country government leads routine (at least annual) data review meetings at national & subnational levels to review data quality issues and outline improvement plans

15.6 Score: 1.07

Monthly meeting of the National Committee on Data Quality (CNQD) reports
Regional meeting on Data quality reports

Performance Data Score: 6.29

THIS CONCLUDES THE SET OF QUESTIONS ON DOMAIN D